KIN DAH LICHI'I OLTA/3-18-14

Page 1	Page 3
In the matter of: No. 14F-FSRV-003-BCS	1 2 FOR THE CHARTER SCHOOL: 3 4 WITNESS: Theresa Serapiglia Page 5 6 Mr. Tucker 131 7 Ms. Anderson 175 8 9 WITNESS: Ora James 10 11 Mr. Tucker 204 12 Ms. Anderson 212 13 14 15 WITNESS: Christine Wallace 16 17 Mr. Tucker 213 18 Ms. Anderson 221 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
Page 2 1 INDEX 2 3 FOR THE CHARTER BOARD: 4 5 WITNESS: DeAnna Rowe 6 7 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 8 Ms. Anderson 22, 70 9 Mr. Tucker 65 10 11 12 WITNESS: Steve Sarmento 13 14 Ms. Anderson 73, 128 15 Mr. Tucker 125 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 4 1 EXHIBITS MARKED 2 S FOR THE BOARD: 4 Exhibits Description Admitted 6 No. 1 ASBCS Academic Framework and Guidance 130 No. 2 Portfolio prepared for ASBCS, 12/9/13 130 9 No. 2A Summary for 12/9/13 meeting 130 11 No. 2B 9/6 & 9/13 emails and 8/30/13 email, James 130 12 No. 2C Letter, 9/12/13, to Youvella, from Morgan 130 14 No. 2D Letter, 10/17/13, to Youvella, from Morgan 130 15 No. 2E DSP and SIP 2013-2014 130 17 No. 2F DSP Evaluation Instrument (11/14/13;11/29/13) 130 18 No. 2G DSP Evidence Reviewed at Site Visit, 11/21/13 130 No. 2H FPREI, and KDLO Financial Response 2013-2014 130 21 No. 2I Additional Financial Information 130

KIN DAH LICHI'I OLTA/3-18-14

Page 5	Page 7
1 INDEX (cont'd.) 2 No. 3 KDLO Dashboard for FY2012 and FY2013 130 4 No. 4 All 7th Grade Math Progress, 2013-2014 130 5 No. 5 7th Grade Units Benchmark Assessment 130 No. 6 Students Below 25th Percentile Reading, '13-14 130 8 No. 7 Students Below 25th Percentile Math, '13-14 130 No. 8 Classroom Observation Walk Through Forms 130 No. 9 Report on Professional Learning Workshop 130 No. 10 2013-2014 Professional Development Plan 130 No. 11 Lesson Plan Check/Report 130 14 No. 11 Lesson Plan Check/Report 130 15 No. 11 Lesson Plan Check/Report 130	1 (Exhibits cont'd.) 2 Page Description Admitted 3 No. 18 7th Reading Curriculum Map 2013-14 225 4 No. 19 Spring 2013 6th AlMS, Reading Strand N/A 5 No. 20 Spring 2013 6th AlMS Math Strand N/A 6 No. 21 2012-13 AlMS Results, 6th Grade N/A 7 No. 22 ACS, 2013-14, Wauneka/D'Alesandro, 7th 225 8 No. 23 ACS, 2013-14, Curley/Wauneka, 8th 225 9 No. 24 8th Prentice Hall Math Progression, Below 25th Percentile 225 10 No. 25 8th Prentice Hall Reading Progression, Below 25th Percentile 225 12 No. 26 8th Prentice Hall Reading Progression 225 13 No. 27 2013-14 NWEA RIT Scores Fall to Winter 225 14 No. 28 Curriculum & Instruction Staff Development 225 15 No. 29 Report on Professional Learning Workshop 225 16 No. 30 2013-14 School Improvement Systems 225 17 No. 31 2013-14 Demonstration of Sufficient Progress and School Improvement Plan 225 18 No. 32 Assessment Plan & Schedule 2013-2014 225 19 No. 33 AZ Republic Article, 3/12/14 N/A 20 No. 34 The New York Times Article, 2/16/14 N/A 21 No. 35 Item Analysis Report, 7th Grade Reading 225 22 No. 36 8th Prentice Hall Math, all students 225 23 No. 37 8th Math Growth, Lowest 25th Percentile 225
Page 6 1. FOR THE CHARTER SCHOOL: 2. Exhibit Description Admitted 4. No. 1 2/24/14, AZ Education News Article N/A 6. No. 2 '13-14 KDLO Charter, 7th Grade Comparison 225 7. No. 3 7th Grade Reading Progress, 2013-14 225 8. No. 4 7th Grade Reading, Below 25th Percentile 225 9. No. 5 Data Driven Instructional System 225 10. No. 6 2013-14 NWEA RIT Scores 225 11. No. 7 7th Math: NWEA, 2013-14 225 12. No. 8 7th Reading: NWEA, 2013-14, lowest 25th Percentile 225 13. No. 9 7th Reading: NWEA: 2013-14, lowest 25th Percentile 225 14. No. 10 7th Math, NWEA, NWEA, 2013-4, Lowest 25th Percentile 225 15. No. 11 8th Reading: NWEA, 2013-14, Lowest 25th Percentile 225 16. No. 12 8th Reading: NWEA, 2013-14, Lowest 25th Percentile 225 17. No. 12 8th Reading, NWEA, 2013-14 225 18. No. 13 Math Growth, 8th, Fall 2013-Winter 2014 225 19. No. 14 8th Grade Math Assessment 225 19. No. 15 NWEA 2013-14 Reading 7 Math Progress (replaced with corrected exhibit) 225 20. No. 16 2013-14 PDP 225 21. No. 17 Instructional Employee Performance Evaluation Plan 225	A HEARING was taken upon oral examination by the parties through their respective attorneys before DEBORAH J. BOYETTE, RPR, a Certified Reporter in the State of Arizona, at the OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, 1400 West Washington Street, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona, on the 18th day of March, 2014, commencing at 8:00 a.m. of said day. Further, this hearing was taken pursuant to the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. COUNSEL APPEARING: Kim S. Anderson, Esq. ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for Arizona State Board for Charter Schools R. Gehl Tucker, Esq. HUFFORD, HORSTMAN, MONGINI, PARNELL & TUCKER, P.C. 120 N. Beaver Street Flagstaff, Arizona 86002 Attorneys for the Charter School Brian Brendan Tully Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 W. Washington, Ste. 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Administrative Law Judge

	Page 9		Page 11		
1	1 PROCEEDINGS		exhibits.		
2	March 18, 2014 8:15 a.m.	2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.		
3		3	MS. ANDERSON: The parties have stipulated to the		
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: This is Docket No.	4	admission of a majority of the exhibits without the		
5	14F-FSRV-003-BCS, before the Office of Administrative	re 5 requirement to lay foundation.			
6	Hearings, State of Arizona, in the matter of Kin dah	6	As to the Charter Board, all of the Charter Board's		
7	Lichi'i Olta', Inc., a nonprofit corporation, operating	7	Exhibits, No. 1 through 11, including the subparts of		
8	Kin dah Lichi'i Olta', a charter school.	8	Exhibit 2, have been stipulated to.		
9	Pursuant to the Arizona State Board of Charter	9	For the record, Pages BCS 000143 and 144 have been		
10	School's Notice of Intent to Revoke Charter and Notice	10	removed from Exhibit 2, at Tab I, because in Bates		
11	of Hearing, this matter comes on for hearing before the	11	stamping them they were inadvertently included in there		
12	Office of Administrative Hearings, an independent	12	when they actually had already been included in Tab B.		
13	agency, today, March, 18, 2014.	13	I just wanted to indicate those two pages to The Court.		
14	I am Administrative Law Judge Brian Brendan Tully,	14	With regard to the exhibits for Kin dah Lichi'i		
15	with the Office of Administrative Hearings.	15	Olta', the parties have stipulated to the admission of		
16	The Arizona Board of Charter School is represented	16	all of those without the need to lay foundation except		
17	by Assistant Attorney General Kim Anderson.	17	for Exhibits 1, 19, 20, 21, 33 and 34.		
18	The Respondent is represented by its attorney, R.	18	For the record, Kin dah Lichi'i Exhibit 29 is the		
19	Gehl Tucker.	19	same as Board Exhibit 9, and Kin dah Lichi'i Exhibit 31		
20	The hearing is to determine whether grounds exist	20	is the same as Board Exhibit 2-E.		
21	to revoke the charter held by the Respondent to operate	21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Did both sides use		
22	the charter school.	22	numerical designations?		
23	I'd like to explain the procedure we're going to	23	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.		
24	use at the hearing today.	24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Then let's use the		
25	We're going to begin with the presentations. Each	25	prefix B, for the Board, and R for the Respondent, just		
23	We'le going to begin with the presentations.		·		
	Page 10		Page 12		
1	of the parties may introduce witnesses who will testify	1	so the record is clear.		
2	under oath or affirmation, subject, at the appropriate	2	MS. ANDERSON: That is the party's stipulation with		
3	time, to cross-examination by the opposing side.	3			
4	"Cross-examination" means that the opposing side	4	With regard to witnesses, pursuant to Rule		
5	may ask the witness questions about the witness's	5	2-19-118, I would request that the witnesses be excluded		
6	testimony. However, it is not the time to respond,	6	from the hearing room prior to their testimony.		
7	debate, or get into an argument.	7			
8	The order of presentations will be:	8	MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, that's the correct		
9	The Board, who has the burden of proof in the this	9	stipulations that we've entered to, and I have no		
10	matter, will go first, followed by the Respondent.	10	objection to sequestration of witnesses.		
11	There is a court reporter present. The court	11	It's my understanding that Ms. James will be		
	reporter's transcript will be deemed the official record	12	allowed to stay as the representative of Kin dah		
12 13	when a copy of the transcript is filed with the Office	13	Lichi'i, as Ms. Rowe is the representative of the Board.		
	of Administrative Hearings, at the Board's sole cost and	14	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor, Ms. Rowe will b		
14		15	the representative for the Board.		
15	expense. We are also digitally recording the proceeding. I	16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That will work.		
16	would ask that you speak directly into the microphone.	17	Do you want the witnesses excluded now or after		
17	The microphone does not amplify, but it is tied into the	18	I don't know if you want to make opening statements?		
18		19	MS. ANDERSON: I do wish to make an opening		
19	digital recorder I have here. The digital recording will be deemed the official	20	statement, but the witnesses are requested they be		
20	The digital recording will be decided the Office of	21	excluded at this time.		
21	record dittil the transcript is med with the states of		22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.		
22	Administrative Hearings.	Ive flearings.			
23	Are there any preliminary matters? MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor, there are some	24	excluded from the hearing room at this point except for		
24	preliminary matters with regard to the stipulation to	25	a representative for each of the parties.		
25	prenminary maners with regard to the supulation to				
1					

	Page 13		Page 15	
1	So I'm going to ask that if you're going to be a	1	Under this system, the Arizona Department of	
2	witness, if you would, wait outside.	2	Education compiles student performance data into a	
3	There is only a small little area here. So if you	3	yearly profile for every charter school and district	
4	want and you're more comfortable, you can go down to	4	school in the State.	
5	either the reception area or the first floor where you	5	The achievement profile classifies schools using a	
6	have a little more elbow room.	6	letter grade system. A for schools that demonstrate an	
7	All I would ask is that if you're leaving the	7	excellent level of performance, B for schools that	
8	building, that you let the receptionist know so that if	8	demonstrate an above average level of performance, C for	
9	Counsel need you for your testimony, they will know	9	schools that demonstrate an average level of	
10	where you are, okay?	10	performance, D for schools that demonstrate a below	
11	So at this time, would you please leave.	11	average level of performance, and an F for schools that	
12	(Witnesses left the hearing room.)	12	demonstrate a failing level of performance.	
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any other preliminary	13	Two years ago, this letter grade system was	
14	matters?	14	transitioned from a labeling system. The labels were:	
15	MS. ANDERSON: Not from the Board, Your Honor, no.	15	Excelling, highly performing, performing,	
16	MR. TUCKER: No, Your Honor.	16	underperforming, and failing to meet academic standards.	
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.	17	If a school is assigned a letter grade of D and/or	
18	All right. We'll begin with an opportunity for	18	an underperforming label or combination for three	
19	opening statements.	19	consecutive years, the Department of Education reviews	
20	Does the Board wish to make an opening statement?	20	the school's data, provides a process through which the	
21	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	21	school can appeal the assignment of the third year D,	
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may.	22	present mitigating factors about its performance.	
23	MS. ANDERSON: Thank you.	23	And if the school is not successful in its appeal,	
24	Charter schools are public schools that are	24	then the school is assigned a letter grade of F.	
25	established by a contract, also known as a charter,	25	If a charter school's assigned a letter grade of F,	
	Page 14		Page 16	
1	between a sponsor and a public body, or a private person	1	the Department Of Education notifies the charter	
2	or private organization.	2	school's sponsor, who must take action to restore the	
3	The charter at issue today was entered into between	3	school to acceptable performance or revoke the charter	
4	the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools and	4	school's charter.	
5	nonprofit educational corporation, Kin dah Lichi'i	5	Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' is a charter school that has	
6	Olta,' to operate a charter school to serve students in	6	been assigned a letter grade of F.	
7	grades 7 and 8.	7	It was assigned a letter grade of F because for the	
8	The charter school also goES by the name Kin dah	8	year of 2010-11, it's achievement profile was a label of	
9	Lichi'i Olta'.	9	underperforming; for school year 2011-12, its	
10	By its statutory definition and purpose, a charter	10	achievement profile was a D; and for school year 12-13,	
11	school is established to provide a learning environment	11	it was a third-year D that was then assigned a letter	
12	that will improve pupil achievement.	12	grade of F.	
13	What are the mechanisms by which a charter school	13	Parallel to the Arizona Department of Education's	
14	is determined whether it is or is not improving pupil	14	yearly profiling of public schools, the Arizona State	
15	achievement?	15	Board for Charter Schools also has adopted a framework	
16	One mechanism is the Arizona Department of	16	for the charter schools that it sponsors.	
17	Education's annual achievement profiles.	17	Its adoption of the framework sets forth the	
18	In November of 2001, Arizona voters approved	18	academic expectations and the measurement of sufficient	
19	Proposition 301.	19	progress toward those academic expectations.	
20	That, among other things, required the Arizona	20	The adoption of the framework is required by	
21	Department of Education to develop a system to measure	21 statute. The Charter Board adopted its academic		
22	school performance that was based on student	22 performance framework in 2012.		
23	achievement, including student performance on Arizona	1	23 Kin dah Lichi'i Olta's performance under the	
24	Statewide Assessment; the Arizona Instruments and	24	Charter Board's academic framework is that it is a	
2 5	Measure Standards, also known as AIMS.	25	school that does not meet the Charter Board's academic	
25	11101100110	i		

Page 19 Page 17 sufficient progress toward those expectations and that 1 performance expectations. 1 2 their charter should be revoked. In fact, Kin dah Lichi'i Olta's academic 2 3 Thank you. performance for the '12-13 school year falls far below 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 4 the academic performance expectations of the Charter 4 Mr. Tucker. 5 5 Board. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. Kin dah Lichi'i Olta's academic performance in 6 6 I believe much of what we'll hear today will have a 7 2012-2013 school year is preceded by its academic 7 8 unique overlay, an overlay of Navajo. performance for the 2011-12 school year under the 8 Navajo compromises a large area in the Four Corners 9 Board's framework, which was that it did not meet the 9 area. It's an extremely remote area. Homes are remote, 10 Board's academic performance expectations, nor was Kin 10 often on dirt roads that are washed out or filled with 11 dah Lichi'i Olta' able to demonstration that it is 11 12 making sufficient progress toward those academic 12 It's not uncommon for children not to have 13 performance expectations. 13 electricity or running water in their residence. 14 Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' failed to demonstrate in its 14 Extreme unemployment, in excess of 20 percent. implementation of a system of curriculum, instruction, 15 15 Dysfunctional and sometimes violent families. 16 student assessment tools and professional development 16 This is our context, and this is part of our 17 that this had a system that worked together such that 17 story. It's not an excuse, and we are not looking for the school could demonstrate measurable improvement in 18 18 sympathy for that, but it is reality. 19 student academic growth and proficiency. 19 The reality has led to a point where we believe 20 Because of Kin dah Lichi'i Olta's letter grade of 20 that we want standards and we need standards, but we F, its worsening failure on the scale to meet the 21 21 need standards that are related to our environment. 22 Charter Board's academic performance expectations, and 22 Four out of the five Navajo charter schools are 23 its failure to demonstrate sufficient progress toward 23 24 revoked or being revoked. meeting those academic performance expectations, the 24 In my opinion, which doesn't matter much, it's not 25 Charter Board voted to issue a Notice of Intent to 25 Page 20 Page 18 because they're bad schools and it's not because they're 1 Revoke the charter of Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. 1 2 bad kids. In this hearing, you'll hear from DeAnna Rowe. She 2 It's because I think there is a sample that's not is the Charter Board's executive director, and she will 3 3 dealt with in the numbers and ratings and so forth. 4 take you through the Charter Board's academic 4 KDLO has performed -- that's our abbreviation for 5 performance framework, the mechanisms by which the 5 б Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. Charter Board identifies its academic performance 6 KDLO has performed in the past. It has failed 7 expectations, and the process for schools that don't 7 recently, and failed miserably. KDLO -- when the Board 8 meet those expectations to be able to demonstrate 8 found out, it took significant action. 9 9 sufficient progress. One of the things they've done is rehired Ms. Ora 10 She'll take you through the detail of Kin dah 10 James, who was principal at KDLO when it was performing, 11 Lichi'i Olta's failed performance under the Charter 11 and she has initiated and is determined to change, and 12 Board's academic performance framework. 12 the school is in the midst of change. 13 You'll also hear from Steve Sarmento. He's the 13 It's unfortunate that we're here because there's 14 Charter Board's program and project specialist, and 14 been lots of good work. The school has really turned 15 he'll take you through his evaluation of Kin dah Lichi'i 15 the corner, created change, and we'll tell you about 16 Olta's curriculum, instruction, student assessment 16 tools, and professional development, and student growth 17 that. 17 But I also acknowledge that the Charter Board has and proficiency data, and his findings upon which the 18 18 done its work, and they have a hard job, a difficult 19 Board determined that Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' failed to 19 20 demonstrate sufficient progress and its charter should 20 Put together an academic performance framework and 21 21 be revoked. guidance that, for the most part, is excellent. I'm not 22 At the conclusion of the hearing, the Board is 22 sure it includes our type of people or our type of 23 confident that you will find that the Board has 23 students, and I think it even allows for us -- and it's established that Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' failed to meet 24 24 the Board's academic performance expectations or make 25 in Exhibit 1, the last page, Page 23. 25

			Page 23		
	Page 21				
1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The Board's Exhibit 1 or	1	A. For almost 13 years now.		
2	the Respondent's Exhibit 1?	2	Q. What is your educational and professional		
3	MR. TUCKER: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	3	background and experience?		
4	It's the Board's Exhibit 1.	4 A. My educational background, I have a bachelor's			
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.	5	degree in business administration; and I have a master's		
6	MR. TUCKER: You're welcome.	6	degree in education, focused on curriculum and instruction.		
7	On Page 23.	7	Professionally, I was a high school teacher for 10		
8	The phrase that caught my eye and I think is	8	years prior to developing and operating a charter school for		
9	applicable is in the last paragraph, starting with the	9	four years before coming to work for the Board.		
10	second sentence:	10 O. What are your duties in your cu			
11	However, it is important to keep in mind that	11	A. As the executive director, I have responsibility		
12	making complex judgments about school performance often	12	for the overall operations of the agency and its reporting		
13	requires a nuance of understanding of the school's	13	environments, and it is my responsibility to ensure that the		
14	outcomes that may be obscured by an oversimplified	14	Board's operations and policies are implemented according to		
15	grading scale.	15	their desires.		
16	The academic framework provides an effective means	16	Q. As part of your duties, are you charged with		
17	to use ratings, in quotes, to flag a school for certain	17	monitoring the academic performance of charter schools that		
18	consequences, and then make a judgment about how to	18	are sponsored by the Charter Board?		
19	apply the consequences, all things considered.	19	A. Iam.		
20	So KDLO has been flagged, and now we're at the	20	Q. Are you familiar with the charter school Kin dah		
21	stage of consequences, when we have to consider all	21	Lichi'i Olta'?		
22	things.	22	A. Yes.		
23	We believe when Your Honor sees what has been	23	Q. Does Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' operate as a charter		
24	done. And when all things are considered, that you will	24	school pursuant to a charter contract between Kin dah Lichi'i		
25	agree that KDLO deserves another chance to make good and	25	and the State Board for Charter Schools?		
23					
	Page 22		Page 24		
	_	1	A. Yes, it does.		
1	meet these standards.	1 2	A. Yes, it does.		
2	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor.	2	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i.		
2 3	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir.	•	 A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter 		
2 3 4	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective	2 3 4	 A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter 		
2 3 4 5	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations.	2 3	 A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. 		
2 3 4 5 6	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative?		
2 3 4 5 6 7	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, 		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. DeAnna Rowe, R-o-w-e.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any compliance matters and respond accordingly to any compliance		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. DeAnna Rowe, R-o-w-e. O. Where are you currently employed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any compliance matters and respond accordingly to any compliance matters that are brought before it.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. DeAnna Rowe, R-o-w-e. Q. Where are you currently employed? A. At the State Board for Charter Schools.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any compliance matters and respond accordingly to any compliance matters that are brought before it. Q. What grades does KDLO serve?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. DeAnna Rowe, R-o-w-e. Q. Where are you currently employed? A. At the State Board for Charter Schools. Q. In what position?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any compliance matters and respond accordingly to any compliance matters that are brought before it. Q. What grades does KDLO serve? A. KDLO serves 7th and 8th grade.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. DeAnna Rowe, R-o-w-e. Q. Where are you currently employed? A. At the State Board for Charter Schools. Q. In what position? A. I'm the executive director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any compliance matters and respond accordingly to any compliance matters that are brought before it. Q. What grades does KDLO serve? A. KDLO serves 7th and 8th grade. O. Where is the school located?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meet these standards. Thank you, Your Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, sir. Both sides having concluded their respective opening statements, we will now go to the presentations. We will begin with the Board. Call your first witness. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. The Board calls DeAnna Rowe. DEANNA ROWE, having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ANDERSON: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. DeAnna Rowe, R-o-w-e. Q. Where are you currently employed? A. At the State Board for Charter Schools. Q. In what position?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, it does. Q. When did and I'll probably use the term "KDLO" to refer to Kin dah Lichi'i. When did KDLO first begin operating a charter school pursuant to a charter contract with the Charter Board. A. That was in 1999. Q. Who is KDLO's current charter representative? A. The contract reflects two charter representatives, Ronald Arias and Linda Youvella. Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of the charter representative? A. The charter representative is the individual or individuals who have direct responsibility or authority to contract on behalf of the entity. Because they have that authority and are identified as our primary source of contact, all communication regarding the charter is directed to the charter representative. And because of that communication, there is an expectation that the charter representative will convey any compliance matters and respond accordingly to any compliance matters that are brought before it. Q. What grades does KDLO serve? A. KDLO serves 7th and 8th grade.		

Page 25 Page 27 assigned to KDLO by the Arizona Department of Education? the Navajo reservation. 1 1 2 To put it geographically, it is east of 2 A. In 2011, KDLO was assigned an underperforming 3 3 profile. Flagstaff -- northeast of Flagstaff. 4 Q. Approximately how many students does KDLO report 4 Q. Was the underperforming profile representative of 5 KDLO's academic performance during the 2010-2011 school year? 5 are currently attending their school? 6 6 A. Yes, that is correct. A. There are about 37. 7 7 Q. In August of 2012, what academic profile was Q. Does the Arizona Department of Education compile 8 assigned to KDLO by the Arizona Department of Education? 8 achievement profiles for all Arizona charter schools and 9 school districts? 9 A. In 2012, is that what you're asking me? 10 10 A. Yes, it does. 11 A. In 2012, it was a letter grade of a D. 11 Q. Is this done on a yearly basis? 12 Q. Was the letter grade of D representative of KDLO's 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Is the Department of Education's assignment of an 13 academic performance during the 2011-2012 school year? 14 A. Yes, it was. achievement profile based in part on the academic progress or 14 15 Q. Is it possible for a school to be assigned a letter 15 the growth of -- made by pupils at the particular school? 16 16 grade of F? A. Yes. 17 About 50 percent of the profile is based on 17 A. Yes, it is. 18 O. How does that occur? 18 academic growth. 19 Q. Is Department of Education's assignment of an 19 A. After a school has received three letter grades of 20 achievement profile also based in part on students 20 a D or a combination in the transition phase of an 21 underperforming profile, and then two letter grades of a D, 21 performance on standardized State testing? the Department then determines whether or not that letter 22 22 A. Yes. 23 grade of a D should be reassigned to something else and could 23 The other half is based on proficiency. 24 Q. In the assignment of an achievement profile, are 24 be assigned a letter grade of an F. 25 Q. Does an achievement profile of F demonstrate that 25 schools currently assigned letter grades? Page 26 Page 28 1 A. Yes, they are. 1 the school is demonstrating a failing level of performance? A. Yes. 2 Q. What grades can be assigned? 2 3 3 Q. If a charter school is assigned a letter grade of A. A school can earn an A, a B, a C, a D, or an F. 4 Q. If a school is assigned a letter grade of D under 4 F, then what happens next? 5 5 A. Pursuant to statute, if a charter school is Department of Education's annual achievement profile, does it 6 assigned a letter grade of an F, the Board must be notified 6 mean that the school demonstrates at a below average level of 7 7 and must make a determination of whether to restore the performance? 8 charter school to acceptable performance or to revoke the 8 A. That's correct, yes. 9 9 Q. Prior to the assignment of letter grades, did the charter. Department use a different classification? 10 Q. In September of 2013, was the Charter Board 10 11 notified by the Department of Education that KDLO had been 11 A. They did, yes. 12 We called those legacy labels. 12 assigned a letter grade of F? 13 13 Q. What were those labels? A. Yes. Q. Was that letter grade of F representative of KDLO's 14 A. The legacy labels were excelling, highly 14 15 performing, performing, underperforming, or failing to meet 15 academic performance during the 2012-2013 school year? 16 the standards. 16 A. Yes, it was. 17 Q. And then the Department of Education transitioned 17 Q. Could you please refer to Board's Exhibit 2-B. 18 What is contained in Exhibit 2-B? 18 from using these legacy labels, these descriptive labels, to 19 19 A. 2-B contains two separate correspondence. letter grades? 20 20 The first correspondence is e-mail between Martha A. That's correct. Morgan, who was the director of charter accountability for 21 21 Q. Are a school's letter grades published by the 22 the Board, asking Robert Gray, who is the director of 22 Department of Education in the late summer or early fall of 23 each year? 23 operations at the Department of Education and in charge of 24 school improvement, for documentation that would provide 24 A. Yes, they are. 25 information regarding the schools that had been notified by 25 Q. In October of 2011, what achievement profile was

Page 29

the Department that they had earned a letter grade of an F.
On Page 61 is a separate correspondence that was
provided to the Board.

It is a copy of a letter that was sent to Ora James, who is the principal at KDLO, from Robert Gray, the director of operations in charge of school improvement at the Department of Education, that specifically tells the school that as a result of the committee's deliberations referencing the appeal process it was provided, KDLO will now have a State accountability level of an F.

- Q. Just for the record, when you refer to the page number, we'll be referring to the page numbers at the bottom right-hand corner of the page that's preceded by the letters BCS, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. How does the Charter Board determine which action it will take with regard to an F school, whether to restore a failing charter school to acceptable performance or to revoke a charter school's charter?
- A. The Board has established a Demonstration of Sufficient Progress process that is provided to the charter school.

It's an opportunity for them to demonstrate the effort that has been made to meet or demonstrate progress toward the Board's academic performance expectations.

expected as well as the measures of those academic expectations.

So what the Board is looking at, how it's going to measure what it's evaluating, the measurable outcomes.

And then it also provides for the process and the description of a charter holder that doesn't meet the Board's academic performance expectations, the criteria which will be evaluated to determine whether sufficient progress has been demonstrated.

- Q. How was the academic performance framework that is Exhibit 1 developed?
- A. The framework was developed, evaluating best practices of other charter operators across the country.

The Board enlisted its academic subcommittee to review those best practices.

It engaged stakeholders to assist in the identification of the indicators, the measures, the metrics, and the targets that would be used in evaluating the measurable objectives that were set.

And then the subcommittee also looked at the methodology that would be used in calculating the measures and the use of AIMS data toward those calculations.

After the subcommittee met a number of times to review and establish its recommendation, the framework was adopted by the full Board.

Page 30

- Q. With regard to the Charter Board's academic performance expectations of the charter schools that it sponsors, has the Charter Board developed an academic performance framework?
 - A. It has, yes.
 - Q. And why has it developed that framework?
- A. The Board was statutorily charged with creating a performance framework for measuring the performance of its schools that it sponsors.
 - Q. And what is the purpose of the academic framework?
- A. The purpose of the framework is to communicate the academic expectations of the Board to inform stakeholders of the expectations of the charter holders in the Board's portfolio that would provide them an opportunity to -- it lays out the academic expectations and provides the measurable objectives which must be met.
 - Q. Would you please refer to the Board's Exhibit 1. What is contained in the Board's Exhibit 1?
- A. Exhibit 1 is the academic performance framework and guidance as it was adopted by the Board.
- Q. What's communicated through the academic performance framework and guidance that's in the Board's Exhibit 1?
- A. The academic performance framework and guidance document provides both the academic expectations that are

Page 32

- Q. When you say "stakeholders," who are you referencing?
- A. Stakeholders included a variety of people, but specifically charter authorized representatives, charter representatives who have a stake in meeting the Board's academic expectations.
- Q. How is the academic performance framework used by the Charter Board?
- A. The framework itself is used by the Board to evaluate those measurable outcomes and to determine whether or not a charter school has met the academic expectations specifically when it comes to high stakes decisions.

So it's used in conducting interval reviews, and it's also considered in the determination of expansion requests, whether a charter should be renewed, and if a charter should be in a position where there is a question of whether the charter should be revoked, as in this case with KDLO.

- Q. In referencing Board's Exhibit 1, can you -- how is the document organized?
- A. The document is organized, specifically, first in listing, generally speaking, the process that is used in establishing indicators, measures, metrics, and the targets.

And then there is a section that describes how the framework is used.

Page 33

Page 35

And then followed by the determinations of an explanation of how the weighting is applied, what a dashboard would look like, and then actual examples of the framework itself in a table format for the various indicators and the measures.

And then it's followed by a section for the process that's used for how the intervention schedule would be applied, as well as the process for demonstrating sufficient progress if Board's academic expectations have not been met.

- Q. So the Board uses indicators -- starts off with the use of indicators to evaluate a charter school's academic performance?
 - A. It does, yes.

- Q. What are the indicators that the Board uses?
- A. There are four indicators.

The first one is student progress over time with a measurement of growth.

The second one is student achievement or proficiency, which is the demonstration of the mastery of the State standards.

The third one is the A through F letter grade as it occurs in the State accountability system.

And the fourth one is post-secondary readiness, which is used specifically for high schools, or schools serving grades 9 through 12.

purposes, to just reflect growth, which is a growth or student progress over time.

No. 2 is proficiency, which is the percent passing or the percent of students that are demonstrating mastery of the State standards.

And then the third one is the State accountability the A through F letter grade.

- Q. While we will go into the indicators and measures in more detail shortly, what are, briefly, the measures used within each of these three indicators?
- A. So under the growth measure, we have an SGP, which stands for Student Growth Percentile.
- 1-A SGP is the overall growth or the improvement of a school's student performance over time.
- 1-B SGP, bottom 25 percent, refers to the academic growth and improvement of students who specifically were the poorest performing students at the beginning of the year based on the prior year AIMS scores or per state assessment results.

Under proficiency, we have five measurements. The first one being percent passing, which is the overall percent passing for the school in comparison to the State.

And I should say, in each of these measures, we look separately at the performance of the students in math and reading.

Page 34

- Q. Within these four indicators, does the framework also provide a number of measures to evaluate the school?
 - A. It does, yes.
- Q. Are the results of a charter school's performance within each of these indicators incorporated into a graph that demonstrates the school's performance in these areas?
 - A. It is, yes.
 - Q. What is the graphic called?
- A. The graphic is referred to as their academic dashboard.
 - Q. Would you please refer to the Board's Exhibit 3.
 And what is contained in that exhibit?
 - A. This is the academic dashboard for Kin dah Lichi'i Olta', reflecting their performance in accordance with the Board's measures for the 2012 and the 2013 school year.
 - Q. Does KDLO's dashboard identify the three indicators that you testified are used to evaluate the academic performance of a school that serves other than grades 9 through 12?
 - A. It does, yes.
 - Q. Where are those three indicators reflected on the dashboard?
 - A. The three indicators are identified in the dashboard numerically.
 - So we have No. 1, which is shortened, for table

Page 36

Then 2-B is the composite school comparison, which is a virtual comparison of the school's performance to like subgroups.

And then 2-C is broken out into three separate areas.

ELL is English Language Learners, which are students who are deficient in speaking or reading English.

We have the free and reduced -- FRL is free and reduced lunch eligible students based on income.

And then subgroup SPED refers to Special Education or Disabled students.

And then we have the on measure under State accountability, which is the State's letter grade.

- Q. And why are the measures focusing on math and reading?
- A. Math and reading are the two content areas that are assessed statewide at each grade level, 3 through 8.
- Q. What is the source of the data or the numbers that are contained in the measure columns of the dashboard?
- A. The data comes from both the school's individual student academic data or performance on the State assessments, as well as the statewide data for student performance on the State assessment.
- Q. When do schools first have access to their statewide assessment data at the student level?

Page 39 Page 37 17, in the table in the middle of the page are the overall 1 A. The test results are available to the school, 1 2 ratings described in this table? generally, in early June. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. How do charter schools access their academic 3 Q. Is a school's overall rating also color coded on 4 performance rating, also known as the dashboard? 4 the dashboard that's Exhibit 3? 5 A. It's available through our website. 5 6 A. They are. Q. Can the public access charter school's dashboards 6 The color coding is the same as they are for each 7 7 as well? 8 of the measures. A. They can, yes. 8 An exceeds, again, is dark green. A meets is a 9 Q. Does the academic framework and the dashboard rate 9 light green. Does not meet is a pink. And falls far below each school's performance within each of these measures? 10 10 would be red. 11 A. Yes, it does. 11 Q. As we talk about the contents of KDLO's dashboard, 12 Q. For the ratings of the individual measures, what 12 if you could, refer to Board's Exhibit 3. 13 rating categories does the Board use? 13 Let's talk about the growth indicator. 14 A. The Board uses an exceeds, a meets, a does not 14 What is being measured in the growth indicator of 15 meet, or a falls far below the standard. 15 the Board's dashboard? 16 Q. And if you would, please, refer to the Board's 16 A. The growth measure looks at and evaluates the 17 Exhibit 1, Page BCS6. 17 school's success in improving the academic learning of a At the top of the page, where the bullets are 18 18 student over the course of the year. 19 contained, are those ratings you just described -- the 19 And it does that by identifying and matching the 20 ratings that you just named, are those described at the top 20 students at the school to their academic peers. 21 of Page 6 of Board's Exhibit 1? 21 So it matches students who were academically like 22 A. Yes, they are. 22 them, meaning, scored at the same level on prior year AIMS 23 Q. Are those ratings color coded in the dashboard 23 tests, and then aligning their -- looking at their 24 that's Exhibit 3? 24 improvement -- each student's improvement based on their 25 25 A. They are, yes. Page 40 Page 38 performance on the subsequent test given at the end of the 1 If a school exceeds the standard in a measure, it's 1 year, how well those students performed in comparison to 2 demonstrated with a dark green. 2 3 their academic peers. If they were to have a measure that met the 3 Q. Does the student have to be in attendance at the 4 standard, it would be light green. 4 school for a particular period of time before their 5 If they are does not meet the standard, it's in 5 assessment results are included in the dashboard? 6 6 pink. 7 A. Yes. And a falls far below the standard is color coded 7 The dashboard and the calculations only consider 8 8 students who were enrolled at the school for a full academic red. 9 Q. Are the ratings and the colors that reflect KDLO's 9 year. performance in each of the dashboards, indicators, and 10 10 Q. Is that the same for the students inclusion in the measures accurately reflected on the dashboard that's Board's 11 11 Arizona Department of Education achievement profile system, 12 12 Exhibit 3? they have to be students who were there for a full academic 13 A. Yes. 13 Q. Does the Board's academic framework and dashboard 14 year? 14 A. Yes. 15 also provide for an overall rating of the school's academic 15 There is a definition for -- we call them FAY, Full 16 performance? 16 Academic Year -- FAY students, and those students are 17 A. It does, yes. 17 enrolled within the first 10 days of school, and they stay Q. For the overall rating, what categories does the 18 18 continuously enrolled up to and including the first day of 19 Board use? 19 the State assessments. A. Categories of the same that we use for each of the 20 20 Q. What is the significance of distinguishing between 21 21 measures. FAY and nonFAY students? 22 So a school could have an overall rating of exceeds 22 A. While a school is charged with educating all the standard, meets the standard, does not meet the standard, 23 23 students that come through its doors, you want to be sure 24 or falls far below standard. 24 that, in considering the academic performance expectations of 25 Q. If you refer to exhibit -- Board Exhibit 1, at Page 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Page 41

a school, that you specifically give them credit for those students who have been enrolled for the entire year.

And so by only including those full academic, or FAY, students, you're evaluating the success of the school in educating those students who are actually enrolled at the school for the entire school year.

Q. Within the growth indicator on the dashboard, there's two measures, 1-A SGP and 1-B SGP, bottom 25 percent.

What are those measures?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. The first one, 1-A SGP, is the overall performance, so it includes all full academic year students from the school in comparison to the their academic peers.
- 1-B, the SGP bottom 25, again, looks at the overall academic performance, but looks specifically at those students who were identified as being in the bottom percentile of performance based on their year assessments.
- Q. Why, in this dashboard, is there an "NR" in the SGP bottom 25 percent measure?
- A. An "NR" will be used in the dashboard for two purposes.

The first purpose would be: If there are less than 11 students identified within that measure to protect the personally identifying information of those students, we can't include a number that would be calculated using less than 11 students.

Page 43

receive in the SGP, student growth percentile, measure? 1

- A. The range of scores is between 1 and 99.
 - Q. What the 1 versus 99 represent?
 - A. A one would be in the poor performing.

And the higher of the number, the higher the percentile rating of that student.

- Q. What would the SGP that would meet or exceed the Board's standards in this measure under its academic performance framework with?
- A. The Board set a standard for student growth percentile of 50.
 - Q. Why?
- A. 50 represents a number -- when you look at the median SGP, an SGP of 50 would mean that, in assessing your school's academic performance, at least -- well, 50 percent of your students performed at least as well as or better than their academic peers.
- Q. In referring to KDLO's dashboard that's in Board's Exhibit 3, what does KDLO's SGP of 39 for math for 2013 mean?
- A. A 39 would mean that the median growth percentile of the KDLO students was 39.

So if you look at that comparatively, then, you would say that 61 percent of those students academic peers outperformed the KDLO students.

Q. And that would be outperform them on the statewide

Page 42

The other reason for an NR would be if in fact there was a subgroup that had no students represented.

- Q. For Kin dah Lichi'i Olta', the NR in the SGP bottom 25 percent, is that because of -- because they had no students in the bottom 25 percent, or because there was just too few numbers of students in that measure to include them in the dashboard?
- A. They do in fact have students in the bottom 25 percent.

But because of the size of the school, there are too few students to include in that bottom 25 percent measure.

- Q. Is the Board's framework inclusion and use of student growth percentile in determining a school's academic performance something that's unique to the Charter Board?
 - A. No, it's not.

In fact, there are a number of States that have incorporated a growth percentile process very similar to the State's process.

There's the Charter Board's process.

And the Department of Education and, specifically, the State Board of Education included the student growth percentile in its calculations of the A through F letter grades.

Q. What is the range of scores that a school can

assessment, AIMS; is that correct?

A. Yes.

So they made more improvement than their -- their peers made more improvement than they did over the course of

Q. What does, on the dashboard, KDLO's SGP of 23 on reading mean?

A. Similarly, the SGP of 23 indicates the median growth percentile for the KDLO students, which then indicates that KDLO students were outperformed by 77 percent of their academic peers.

Q. If you would, please, refer to the Board's Exhibit 1, at Page 8.

At the top of the page, does the Board's framework identify the median standard growth percentiles that a charter school must receive in order to fall within one of the four standards, or four ratings?

- A. Yes, it does.
- O. As reflected in KDLO's dashboard, Board Exhibit 3, for 2013, KDLO's overall SGP for math did not meet the 20 Board's standard for math; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. And that's reflected by the color code of pink, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

Page 44

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Page 47 Page 45 1 students was 22 percent. Q. And as reflected in KDLO's dashboard, that's 1 Whereas, when you look at the proficiency rate or Board's Exhibit 3, KDLO's overall student growth percentile 2 2 the percent of students passing the AIMS test for 7th and 8th for reading fell far below the Board's standard; is that 3 3 4 grade across the State is 61.1 percent. 4 correct? Similarly, for reading, the 27 represents that the 5 5 A. That's correct as well. percent of students passing their AIMS reading test for 7th 6 6 Q. That's reflected by the color coding of dark red? 7 and 8th grade for KDLO students is 27 percent. 7 A. Yes. Q. Are students at KDLO making adequate student growth 8 Conversely, the State passing rate for 7th and 8th 8 9 graders is 77.7 percent. 9 based on their median student growth percentiles? 10 Q. What is the proficiency level that would meet the 10 A. No, they're not. Board's standard in this measure under of the Board's Q. In the box in the dashboard that's Board's Exhibit 11 11 12 academic performance framework? 12 3 for proficiency, there's five measures within the A. In order to meet the Board's expectations, the 13 13 proficiency indicator. 14 school would need to be performing at least as well as the What is being measured by the indicator 14 15 overall percent passing for the State. 15 proficiency? A. Proficiency measures the percent of students who 16 So in this case, in order to meet, the school would 16 17 pass the AIMS test, so that -- that meet the State standards have to be at a 61.1 or better for math. 17 Q. And as reflected in the KDLO dashboard, that is the 18 in reading and math for their grade level assessment. 18 Board's Exhibit 3, for 2013, the percent of students passing 19 Q. As it did with the measures within the indicator of 19 statewide assessments at KDLO in both math and reading did 20 20 SGP, does the Board's academic performance also identify the not meet the Board's standard under the academic framework in 21 proficiency rates that a charter school must receive in each 21 22 this measure; is that correct? 22 of these measures in order to fall within one of the four 23 A. That's correct. 23 ratings of falls far below, or does not meet, or meets? 24 It's color coded in the pink. 24 A. Yes. Q. Moving on to the measure 2-B, composite school 25 25 Q. Where in the framework is that located? Page 48 Page 46 1 comparison. A. The proficiency section begins on Page BCS9, and 1 2 What is being measured in the composite school 2 includes the percent passing overall on Page 10, the 3 comparison measure? 3 composite comparisons on Page 11, and the subgroup 4 A. The composite school comparison is another way of 4 comparisons on Page 12. looking at the percent passing, but understanding that the 5 5 Q. In the proficiency indicator, the particular 6 varying subgroups can have an impact on the performance. 6 measure to a percent passing, what is being measured in that 7 A composite school is a virtual composite 7 by percent passing? 8 A. When we look at percent passing, we're looking at development of like students. 8 9 So comparing the schools demographic, including 9 the students that were full academic year students at the 10 their English language learner, their free and reduced lunch school by their grade level, and comparing their percent 10 eligible students, and special education population, compared passing to the overall percent passing or students that 11 11 passed the AIMS test for statewide at those same grade 12 in students across the State, in those same grade levels, 12 having those same characteristics, and evaluating how well 13 13 levels. the schools's performance was compared to what the overall 14 Q. So KDLO is a charter school serving grades 7 and 8 14 15 group would be. is being compared to the percentage of the schools statewide 15 And so we set that and say that if a school is 16 that also serve grades 7 and 8 in terms of their students 16 doing as well as expected, then their composite school 17 17 passing AIMS? comparison score would be a zero, meaning that they were on 18 A. Their students, yes, are being compared to all 18 19 par with the similar virtual composite group. 19 other 7th and 8th grade students in the State. 20 O. So the school can receive a positive or a negative Q. What do the numbers in the box titled 2-A percent 20 21 number in the composite school comparison measure? passing for math, which is 22/61.1? 21 22 A. That's correct. 22 What do those numbers mean? 23 Q. What does it mean, then, for a school to receive a And the number underneath, which is 27/77.7, mean? 23

positive versus a negative number?

A. If a school receives a positive number, then

A. The 22/61.1 for math specifically means that the

percent of students passing the AIMS test for the KDLO

24

25

24

25

Page 49

they're doing better than what would be expected based on the performance of that similarly characterized group of students.

And if they have a negative number, then they're not doing as well as you would expect them to do based on the performance of other students with similar characteristics.

- Q. So what is the proficiency level that would meet the Board's standard?
 - A. In order to meet, you would be a zero or better.
- Q. In looking at KDLO's dashboard, that's Board Exhibit 3, in the composite school comparison for 2013, KDLO scored a negative 35.4 in math and a negative 49.1 in reading.

As a result of its performance on those measures, did KDLO rate far far -- rate fall far below standard in both of those measures under the Board's academic performance framework?

A. It did.

So a zero would have met. Up to a negative 15 would have been a does not meet.

So they're significantly below even the does not meet category.

Q. In addition to evaluating school level proficiency, does the framework also look at the performance of various subgroups within the school?

Page 51

a number that is lower than 11 and is not included to protect identifying information of specific children.

- Q. In looking at the free or reduced lunch subgroup, the numbers are represented by -- there's two numbers separated by a slash, and what do those numbers mean?
- A. Very similar to the percent passing, the first number represents the school's percent passing for that identified subgroup.

And then following the slash, the second number is the percent passing of, again, 7th and 8th grade students because we're only looking for students in the same population.

And so it's 7th and 8th grade students that are free and reduced lunch eligible across the State comparatively.

So you can see that, specifically, in math, for this subgroup population, 22 percent of the students were passing.

Whereas, if you look at the subgroup of free and reduced lunch eligible students across the State in 7th and 8th grade, over 50 percent of those students passed.

And then in reading, the school's number is 27, while the State number is 71.

So actually, the State performed twice as well as the school does with this subgroup.

Page 50

- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Are those the subgroups you discussed earlier, the ELL, English Language Learner; FRL, free and reduced lunch eligible students; or SPED, the disabled students?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Why does the Board's framework include these subgroups of students?
- A. It's possible, depending upon the size of the overall population and the size of the subgroup, that the overall performance of a school can mask the performance of these subgroups.

And just based on the academic challenges or deficits that these subgroups tend to bring to the classroom, it's appropriate to evaluation how well the school is doing with these subgroups of students, as well as the overall population.

Q. In 2013, KDLO received an NR for the measures of the ELL and the SPED subgroups.

Why is that?

A. For the ELL subgroups, specifically, in reporting its students to the State in the submission of its student assessment data, it did not identify any students as English Language Learners, so there were no students identified for the ELL subgroup.

And for special education, the NR is reflective of

Page 52

- Q. What is the proficiency level that would meet the Board's standard in this measure -- subgroup measures under the Board's academic performance framework?
- A. In order to meet the expectations, the school would perform at least as well as the State subgroup.
- Q. As a result of KDLO's proficiency performance in its free and reduced lunch subgroup in both math and reading, did KDLO rate as does not meet standard in both of these measures under the Board's Academic Framework?
 - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. You previously testified that the State accountability indicator, the letter grade, takes -- the Board's academic framework takes into consideration the letter grade.

How does it do that?

A. In the State accountability, Section No. 3 of the framework, the school is given credit for its performance, the letter grade that it receives.

If had it had an A, it would exceed the expectations. If it had a B, it with meet the expectations.

The Board does not consider average performance as meeting the expectations. So a C letter grade would be a does not meet.

And a D and an F are both designated as falling below the Board's expectations.

Page 55

Pa	age	Э	5	3

- Q. The State accountability letter grade of A or B would meet the Board's standards in this measure?
 - A. Yes.

б

- Q. For this measure, does KDLO's D in 2012 put it within the does not meet standards in that measure?
 - A. Actually, a D would put it in the falls far below.
 - Q. Excuse me.

And then the F, as well, for 2013, does that put KDLO in the falls far below standard in this measure?

- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. How is the overall rating of a Dashboard derived?
- A. For each of the measures within the indicators, the Board assigns points.

So if you exceed in a measure, it's worth 100 points. If you meet, it's worth 75 points. A falls far below is worth 50 points -- I'm sorry.

A does not meet is worth 50 points, and a falls far below is worth 25 points.

And then when the Board developed its framework, it also identified the weighting for each of the categories.

And so in order to calculate the overall rating, the points are assigned, the weights are applied, and a number is generated based on that formula.

And then that number fits into the overall rating scale that was adopted as part of the framework.

A. Yes.

Q. With an overall rating of does not meet standard for 2013 and a does not meet in 2012, did KDLO meet the Board's academic performance expectations?

- A. With a falls far below and a does not meet, no, it does not.
- Q. If a charter school does not meet the Board's academic performance expectations, what happens next?
- A. When a charter school doesn't meet the expectations, during various evaluation processes, or terms of consideration, a charter school would have an opportunity to demonstrate that it's making sufficient progress toward those academic performance expectations through the submission of a document that we call a Demonstration of Sufficient Progress.
- Q. What is it the charter holder does in the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document?
- A. The Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document, pursuant to the instructions that are provided, give the school an opportunity to discuss their prior efforts related to their improvement efforts, the development and implementation of systems that have been identified as best practices for creating strong schools.

So they have an opportunity to discuss the processes that they've created and implemented for these

Page 54

- Q. In Board Exhibit 3, at the bottom of the page, for 2013, KDLO's overall rating of 38.75, does that put KDLO into the falls far below standard for the overall rating?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. What does that mean under the Board's academic framework?
- A. An overall rating of a falls far below means that the school did not meet the Board's academic expectations. In fact, it fell far below its expectations and is in fact on par with the poorest performing schools in the State.
- Q. How does a charter school's overall rating fit in with, under the Board's academic framework, how a school meets or does not meet the academic standards?
- A. The overall rating is used in determining the academic performance of a charter by looking at the two years -- the most recent two years of academic performance.

So it would look at, in this case, the 2012 performance and '13 performance.

In order to meet the Board's academic performance expectations for a charter holder, all schools operated by the charter holder must meet in the last two years where an overall rating has been created.

Q. When you use the term "charter holder," in this case, you're referring to the corporation, KDLO, that operates the charter school?

Page 56

- systems, and the documentation or data that would support the effectiveness of the implementation of those systems.
- Q. Does the Board's academic performance framework that is contained in Board's Exhibit 1, does it detail the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document and what must be contained in that document?
 - A. It does, yes.

It very specifically has a section that begins with the title page on BCS40, and carries on to BCS41, that describes the demonstration process.

And then, more specifically, on Page 44.

And then the subsequent pages for this school through 48 provide the specific evaluation criteria.

So the Board doesn't just say: Tell us what you've been doing.

But it directs the school to provide very specific information with regard to curriculum, instruction, assessment, professional development, and the data that the school has that indicates the success of the implementation of those programs.

So the documentation here is, in essence, the criteria that would be used to evaluate a Demonstration of Sufficient Progress.

Q. Once a charter school is advised by the Board that it needs to complete and submit a Demonstration of Sufficient

Page 57

Progress document, how long is the school provided to do that?

A. They have two months.

Q. Once the school submits -- and I'll call it the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document, DSP.

Once the school submits its DSP document, what happens next?

A. Once the school puts together the document that -while they have two months to create the document, the document is intended to reflect their efforts to implement and make changes in their system over time.

And so that document then is evaluated by the Board staff, using the scoring criteria that is contained in the academic performance framework and guidance document.

- Q. What happens after the evaluation of the DSP document?
- A. After the evaluation is completed, the school is provided a letter that informs them of the date that a team from the Charter Board is going to go out and visit the school, and is provided also with -- in the letter -- in a letter, there is -- in addition, to the confirmation of the date that they're coming, they're told that there will be attached of a copy of the evaluation rubric so that they can see how the staff evaluated their submission of their DSP document.

Page 59

submitted to the Board and the processes that you just described; DSP document, the initial evaluation, site visit, and follow-up documentation provided?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Were these processes followed with KDLO?
- A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And at the conclusion of those processes, is an evaluation made as to whether the charter school did or did not demonstrate that it was making sufficient progress towards the Board's academic performance expectations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Following these processes, is there a packet of materials that is put together for the members of the Charter Board to consider in determining whether they're going to restore or revoke a failing school?
- A. Yes.

We call that packet of materials a portfolio.

- Q. Would you please refer to Board Exhibit 2, which includes Tabs A through I, and tell us what's contained in Board's Exhibit 2.
 - A. Sure.

Going through each of the tabs, in Tab A is what we call a staff report. The staff report contains summary information about the school itself.

You'll see, on the first page, there is an

Page 58

That letter also contains a list of the evidence that was stated within the demonstration document that the staff will expect to see and verify at the time of the site visit.

And it also provides the school the opportunity to collect any other information that they might feel the Board staff should see based on the results of the evaluation of the initial submission.

- Q. What happens, then, after the site visit?
- A. So the site visit is scheduled.

The Board goes out, the staff conducts the site visit, evaluates the information that was contained, collects any additional evidence that the school would like to provide.

Upon leaving the site visit, the team is -- the school is provided another 48 hours. So, kind of, that after you leave, if there's something you think, oh, gosh, I should have said or we should have told them, they have 48 hours to produce any additional documentation that they would like to be considered.

Subsequent to that 48 hours then, a second evaluation is completed of all of the materials then that have been provided as part of this demonstration process.

Q. So is the term "Demonstration of Sufficient Progress" used to describe both the documentation that's

Page 60

attendance history, enrollment history and attendance for the school.

The second page is actually a copy of the dashboard as it is reflected and provided to the school on our website.

The subsequent pages, then, is the description of communications and the timeline of the activities that have occurred for this process and the development of the situation that exists that is being considered by the Board at this time.

If you look at BCS Page 54, specifically, with regard to the overall evaluation of the demonstration, you can see, in the middle of the page, under the heading of Demonstration of Sufficient Progress, there is a paragraph that specifically provides the specific determinations from the evaluation of the DSP.

Following that, with regard to each of the evaluated areas, there's a summary paragraph.

And then the subsequent pages of the staff report are additional information regarding the financial performance and compliance of the school.

Tab B is -- I've already discussed briefly and, again, this is the communication between the Charter Board and the Department of Education specifically requesting the information that indicates that the school in consideration, KDLO, was determined to be an F school, along with the letter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 61

that was provided to the school identifying that after the appeal process, the school was in fact designated as an F.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Attachment C. is the letter that was sent from Martha Morgan, who again was the director of charter accountability and a member of my team, to KDLO, Ms. Youvella, the identified charter representative, indicating that the Department had notified the Board that KDLO had received a letter grade of an F.

And that pursuant to the Board's processes and its statutory requirements, the Board needed to determine whether or not to restore the charter school to acceptable performance or to revoke it.

And the process that would be used, which is the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress, and how to access that process.

Attachment D. is, again, a letter from Martha Morgan, to Linda Youvella, identifying that the date for the site visit had been set for the evaluation, or the continued evaluation of their demonstration documents.

And then Page BCS65 is a subsequent letter that was sent again, in this case, from Steve Sarmento, who is the program and project specialist for the Board, identifying that an evaluation had been completed, that a copy of the evaluation had been attached, and the documents that would be expected to be provided at the time of the site visit.

Page 63

evaluation after having had the site visit and reviewing all of the subsequent information that was provided.

And Tab G identifies the documents that were expected to be provided and what was provided at the site visit, as well as additional information, including assessment data that was provided by KDLO either at the site visit or following the site visit.

- Q. When you say "documents that were expected to be provided," is that based on their having identified in their DSP document that they have these items?
- A. Expected to be provided, yes, are the things they stated in the DSP document that they had evidence of their implementation, their development, their creation, or their use, as part of those systems that they've created.

And then because the school did not meet the Board's financial performance expectations, they were required to submit a written response as to the academic performance of the school.

That response is evaluated.

And the school's submission as well as the evaluation of that response are what is included in Tab H.

Q. Just for clarification, because I think you said they're required to submit an academic performance response when talking about exhibit -- Board Exhibit 2-H.

But did you mean to say they're required to submit

Page 64

Page 62

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The gentleman that just 1 walked in is not a witness, is he? 2 MR. SHEPHERD: My name is Alton Joe Shepherd. 3 I'm a house development public official for this 4

area that I represent as well. This is one of my chapters. I represent five

I just wanted to be in this hearing.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That's fine.

We excluded the witnesses. I didn't know if you were a witness.

MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I don't know

Mr. Shepherd. I know his father. He's from the Kin dah Lichi'i area.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

BY MS. ANDERSON: 16

Q. Okay. A. Tab E is the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress that was submitted by the charter school, as it was required to do so, and the contents of what was evaluated in the initial evaluation of the demonstration document.

Q. So Tab 2-E is Kin dah Lichi'i's DSP document?

A. That's correct.

Tab F is the actual evaluation, both the initial evaluation and of the DSP document, and then the subsequent a financial performance response?

A. I'm sorry.

Yes, the financial performance.

I'm in an academic mode.

This was a financial performance response.

And then tab I was additional financial information that was provided with regard to their financial performance response.

- Q. As indicated at the bottom of Page 51, in Board Exhibit 2-A, where it says "ASBCS Board meeting, December 9, 2013," was that the date on which the Charter Board met to consider whether to restore or to revoke Kin dah Lichi'i's charter?
- Yes, the consideration occurred at the December 9, 2013 Board meeting.
- Q. Was the contents of Exhibit 2, with all of the tabs included in Board Exhibit 2, was that posted on the Board's website prior to the Board's December 9, 2013 Board meeting?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Was KDLO also provided an opportunity to appear before and address the Board at that meeting?
 - A. They were.
- Q. Did, in fact, representatives of KDLO appear and address the Board at that meeting?
 - A. Yes, they did.

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Page 65 Page 67 Q. Was it the decision of the Board to revoke KDLO's 1 1 A. Yes. 2 charter at that meeting? 2 Q. Thank you. 3 A. Yes, it was. 3 In your framework, there's some flexibility in the 4 4 application of the academic performance framework and O. And on what basis? 5 5 A. The basis for their revocation was the fact that guidance, some flexibility in its application and 6 the school is in fact designated as an F school; and that 6 implementation? 7 7 following the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress process, A. I'm not sure what you mean by "flexibility." 8 8 the charter school failed to demonstrate that it was making Q. Is there some judgment involved? 9 sufficient progress towards the Board's academic performance 9 A. There is an evaluation process which would require 10 expectations. 10 judgment, yes. 11 MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions at this 11 Q. I think you were here when I read that little bit 12 time, Your Honor. 12 in my opening statement? 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 13 A. Yes, I was. 14 14 Q. Do you agree that that envisions some flexibility Mr. Tucker, you may cross. 15 15 MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. in the application? 16 16 We can read that again if you wish. 17 **EXAMINATION** 17 A. If you would, point me to that, please. 18 BY MR. TUCKER: 18 Q. Page 23, Bates stamp is 24. 19 O. Ms. Rowe, we talked a little bit about the term 19 The part I'm referring to is the second paragraph 20 academic growth. I think that's a fairly generic term. 20 on the page, starting with the second sentence. 21 It's my understanding, isn't it true, that can be 21 However, it is important to keep in mind that 22 measured in numerous ways with different methodologies? 22 making complex judgments about school performance often 23 23 requires a nuance of understanding of the school's outcomes A. It probably could, yes. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you move your 24 24 that may be obscured by an oversimplified grading scale. The 25 25 academic framework provides an effective means and ways to microphone a little closer? Page 68 Page 66 1 MR. TUCKER: I'm sorry. 1 apply to a school for certain consequences, and then make a 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You're okay. We still 2 judgment about how to apply the consequences all things 3 3 have the court reporter. considered. 4 4 A. Correct. Thank you. 5 5 That's why the Board has the academic performance BY MR. TUCKER: 6 6 expectations in the framework, and then has the Demonstration Q. I note on your Exhibit 2-b, correspondence from 7 7 Mr. Gray to Ms. James, the second paragraph, where Mr. Gray of Sufficient Progress, the DSP document and process, that 8 says it can be determined that while significant changes were 8 provides the school with the opportunity to provide that 9 9 made at the school. nuance. 10 A. Yes. 10 Q. I guess I'm not being clear. 11 I just asked if that indicated there was some 11 Q. So there were significant changes, in Mr. Gray's 12 opinion, made at the school, correct? 12 flexibility in the application in implementing your 13 A. The statement reads: That the review committee 13 famework. 14 determined that while significant changes were made at the 14 A. In implementing the framework, yes. 15 school, the evidence as a whole was not compelling enough to 15 Q. In your framework process, are there any discussions with parents, community members, recipient of 16 overturn the third consecutive improvement label this year. 16 17 That's correct. 17 services in the process? Q. Yes, but that wasn't my question. 18 A. In the framework process, no. 18 19 19 A. I'm sorry. Q. Is there any opportunity for them to contribute 20 20 Q. My question was: Mr. Gray noted that there were through surveys or questionnaires or opinion cards? 21 significant changes at the school; is that correct? 21 A. In the framework process, no. 22 A. In part, yes, that's correct. 22 Q. In the framework process, is there any first person 23 contact with students? 23 Q. In fact, that's correct? 24 A. No. 24 Not in part. Q. We talked about the dashboard, and there was quite 25 25 That's the correct answer to my question?

Page 71 Page 69 Do you know the basis for the statement by Mr. Gray 1 1 a bit of talk about that. that the review committee determined that while significant 2 2 All of this was historical, right? changes were made at the school, the evidence as a whole was 3 The dashboard was about 2012-2013, the past, 3 not compelling enough to overturn the third consecutive 4 4 right? 5 improvement label this year? A. The academic dashboard included the academic 5 performance data from the 2011-2012 school year and the 6 A. In the appeal process, the part of the appeal, 6 7 especially for an F school, is the consideration of the 7 2012-2013 school year. 8 information that is provided in a school's improvement O. So it did not include anything about 2013-2014? 8 plan questionnaire, which speaks to the -- provides an 9 9 A. No, it did not. opportunity for the school to address the efforts that have 10 Q. There's some discussion about comparable 10 11 been made. 11 schools. Because we need to keep in mind that this isn't the Is there any group of Navajo students or Navajo 12 12 first year that the school was designated as being below the 13 13 schools? State standard inasmuch as they were underperforming in 2011, 14 A. The comparable comparison schools does not take 14 they were rated as a D in 2012, and then their preliminary 15 ethnicity into consideration. 15 letter grade of a D in 2013. 16 Q. You mentioned the charter holder is a nonprofit 16 17 So in fact, as an underperforming school and then 17 corporation. subsequently a D school, the school has had three years of 18 I think you're aware that this is a nonprofit 18 corporation that's governed by school board members selected academic information that indicate that it's not doing as 19 19 20 well as it should be with its students. 20 by the community? And so in its consideration of whether or not a 21 A. I don't believe I mentioned that it was a nonprofit 21 school should be designated as an F or receive a different 22 organization, but that is in fact correct. 22 letter grade, this committee looks at -- or, the school is 23 And it is my understanding that it is governed by 23 provided the opportunity for the committee to look at 24 24 the community, yes. evidence of its implementation of its own improvement plans 25 O. Again, here is one of those discretionary 25 Page 72 Page 70 and the progress that they have made. 1 1 things. 2 O. You were asked about the dashboard not including Isn't it true that they've been evaluating the DSP 2 3 2013-14 data. 3 involves subjective judgment? 4 Why is that? A. The DSP involves a judgment based on the 4 A. The academic dashboard is created using AIMS 5 evaluator's review of the documents and the information it's 5 assessment data, so the statewide assessment data and the 6 6 provided. 7 school's assessment data. Q. So they exercise some judgment regarding that? 7 That data only becomes available after the AIMS 8 A. Subjective, based on the training and experience 8 9 assessment has been provided, and it's an end-of-year 9 and their expectations, yes. 10 assessment. O. Is there a standard turnaround time for a school 10 So there would not be any AIMS data available for 11 that's falling far below to reach acceptable levels? 11 12 the current school year. 12 A. The Board has an intervention schedule for Q. The DSP process does allow for consideration of 13 monitoring the schools that don't meet the Board's academic 13 2013-2014 school year data that a school would want to 14 14 performance expectations. 15 provide; is that correct? Q. Again, that's not my question. 15 A. Absolutely, the school can provide a variety of 16 Is there a standard turnaround time? 16 data in its determination that would show that they're 17 A. I don't believe so, no. 17 making progress in successful implementation of their 18 MR. TUCKER: I have no further questions. 18 programs, including evidence up to the time that the DSP is 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any redirect? 19 20 due. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. 20 MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions, Your 21 21 Honor. 22 **FURTHER EXAMINATION** 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 23 BY MS. ANDERSON: 23 24 You may step down. Q. Would you please refer to Board's Exhibit 2-B, at 24 MS. ANDERSON: Call Steve Sarmento. 25 25 Page 61.

Page 75 Page 73 1 And I was also part of the team that conducted the 1 2 site visit to the school. 2 STEVE SARMENTO, 3 Q. Would you please refer to Board Exhibit 3. having been first duly sworn upon his oath by the Notary 3 For what measures was KDLO's DSP document required 4 Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was 4 5 5 examined and testified as follows: to provide a response? A. They were required to provide a response in any of 6 6 7 the measures where they were scored as does not meet or falls 7 **EXAMINATION** 8 far below. 8 BY MS. ANDERSON: 9 Q. Would that be for 2013? Q. Would you please state your name for the record. 9 10 A. For 2013, correct. 10 A. Steve Sarmento. Q. Why were they required to provide a response in 11 11 Q. Where are you currently employed? A. I'm employed at the Arizona State Board for Charter 12 those particular measures? 12 A. A measure that does not meet or falls far below, 13 13 Schools. 14 those are the factors that contribute to the overall rating Q. What is your position there? 14 15 of the school. A. I'm a program and project specialist. 15 So the overall rating does not meet or falls far 16 Q. What are your duties as the program and project 16 below. It's back to those measures that do not meet or falls 17 17 specialist? far below, so we require them to report in those areas, or 18 18 A. I work in the Department of Accountability. areas that were evaluated as no rating. 19 We're involved in the 5- and 10-year review of 19 Q. Could you please refer to Board Exhibit 1, at Page 20 schools, the renewal of charters, and the monitoring of 20 21 41. 21 academic performance. Q. As part of your duties, you are then correct, are 22 I'm referring to the numbers in the bottom right 22 you charged with monitoring the academic performance of 23 corner of the page that begin with BCS. 23 A. Okay. 24 charter schools sponsored by the Board? 24 Q. What does the Board's academic performance 25 25 A. Yes. Page 76 Page 74 framework instruct KDLO to provide in its DSP document? 1 1 Q. When is an evaluation conducted of a charter A. In the first paragraph, fourth line down, sentence 2 2 holder's progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the Board's academic performance 3 states what they are to provide. 3 And that is: Evidence of success may be derived 4 4 framework? 5 from any implemented improvement plan, and must be presented A. When one or more of the schools operated by a 5 6 using graphs, tables or data charts that demonstrate with charter holder receives an overall rating of does not meet or 6 7 specificity improved academic performance based on data 7 falls far below the standards set by the Board. generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. 8 8 Q. What is your educational and professional Q. And then beginning at the bottom of Page 41, does 9 9 background and experience? it also go into particular areas in which the charter A. I have over 15 years of experience in education. 10 10 11 holder's DSP must make that demonstration? That includes time as a classroom teacher. 11 12 A. Yes, for each of the measures, starting at the I also worked for a national charter management 12 13 bottom of Page 41, and continuing on. 13 organization. 14 It identifies, for each measure, the improvement 14 I was a curriculum specialist working with schools plan should include evidence of increased student growth, and 15 15 in Arizona, Michigan and Colorado in the implementation of 16 lists a bullet list of items including curriculum that curriculum and improvement plans in schools. 16 17 contributes to increased student growth, plan for monitoring I've been at the Board for three years. Those 17 18 the integration of the Arizona academic standards into its three years have been working on monitoring the academic 18 19 instruction, a plan for monitoring and documenting increases 19 performance of schools. 20 in student growth, professional development plan that Q. Are you familiar with the charter school KDLO? 20 21 contributed to increases in student growth. 21 A. Yes. That's for the requirements for growth. 22 22 Q. How are you familiar with that school? 23 Measures related to proficiency would be those four 23 A. I was part of the team that was assigned to review the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document that the 24 areas of curriculum, monitoring instruction, monitoring 24 25 student proficiency or professional development that 25 charter holder submitted.

Page 79 Page 77 1 A. Yes. contributes to student proficiency. 1 Q. What was the purpose of the site visit to KDLO? 2 O. If you would, please, refer to Page 43 of this 2 A. The purpose of the site visit to, first, an 3 3 exhibit. opportunity to verify the information that's provided in the 4 Beginning at the bottom of the page, where it 4 Demonstration of Sufficient Progress, and also an opportunity states, "Evaluation criteria for Demonstration of Sufficient 5 5 for the charter holder to provide additional documentation Progress," and it continues on to Page 46, on these pages, 6 6 and evidence to address areas that were evaluated as not does the academic performance framework identify the criteria 7 7 8 acceptable in the initial evaluation. that's used to evaluate the response provided in the DSP 8 Q. As part of the their demonstration of their 9 9 document? progress toward the Board's academic performance 10 10 A. Yes. expectations, was KDLO also provided an additional period of Q. Again, that's for each of the four categories: 11 11 time following the site visit to provide additional Curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional 12 12 13 demonstration documentation? 13 development? A. Yes, they were provided 48 hours after the site 14 14 A. Yes. visit to submit additional documentation. Q. Was an evaluation of KDLO's DSP document conducted 15 15 Q. Did they provide additional documentation? 16 in accordance with the evaluation criteria stated in the 16 A. Yes. 17 Board's academic framework? 17 Q. Following the site visit and the opportunity after 18 18 A. Yes. the site visit to provide additional documentation, was a 19 O. Is that evaluation what is referred to as an 19 second Demonstration of Sufficient Progress evaluation 20 initial evaluation? 20 21 instrument completed? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. Q. Is KDLO's Demonstration of Sufficient Progress 22 Q. Why is a second evaluation conducted, and what does 23 document on which the initial evaluation was conducted what 23 that second evaluation consist of? 24 is contained in Exhibit 2-E? 24 A. The second evaluation takes into consideration 25 25 A. Yes. Page 80 Page 78 additional documentation or evidence provided to address any Q. After the completion of the initial evaluation of 1 1 areas that were scored as not acceptable or any finance 2 KDLO's DSP document, what happened next? 2 related items that were presented or described in the 3 A. The evaluation was given to the charter holder 3 Demonstration of Sufficient Progress, whether or not they 4 4 prior to. were or were not able to provide those items, and 5 The site visit was conducted after the initial 5 determination of whether or not that information would change 6 6 evaluation. 7 Q. After an evaluation of the DSP document, is the the evaluation. 7 Q. And was the second evaluation conducted in 8 initial evaluation instrument with the findings of the 8 accordance with the evaluation criteria contained within the 9 initial evaluation provided to --9 Board's academic performance framework? 10 10 A. Yes. 11 11 O. Was it provided to KDLO? Q. Could you please refer to Board's 2-F. 12 A. Yes, it was e-mailed to them. 12 Tell us what's contained in 2-F? 13 Q. Was a site visit then conducted at KDLO? 13 A. 2-F is the evaluation instrument for Kin dah 14 14 15 Lichi'i Olta'. O. When was the site visit conducted? 15 This contains both the initial and the evaluation 16 A. It was late November. 16 17 after the site visit. I believe, November 21st. 17 Q. What is the distinction between the comments that 18 Q. Of 2013? 18 19 were provided in the initial evaluation versus the subsequent A. Yes. 19 20 evaluation? Q. Who was present at the site visit? 20 A. In the comment section, the unbolded comments are 21 A. Present at that site visit was the team of Board 21 those that were provided as part of the initial evaluation. 22 staff that were assigned to evaluate that DSP and 22 And after the site visit, the comments are representatives from the charter holder, school leaders that 23 23 24 presented in bold. were selected by the charter holder. 24 Q. And the date at the top right that says "evaluation 25 Q. You were a member of that team? 25

noted on the assessments, including AIMS, as are any student

This information is then used by teachers to

23

24

25

achievement trends.

students or to it s subgroups, the English Language Learners,

A. That's to ensure that, collectively, the curriculum

the free and reduced lunch, and disabled students?

23

24

25

Page 85

monitor and document any inproficiency and to guide instruction.

Throughout the school year, NWEA maps data, along with the CART information is used quarterly to monitor and document student learning, realign instruction, and plan instruction based on the data.

So looking at this piece, I expected to see analysis of data and that information being used to realign instruction and plan instruction based on that analysis.

The narrative does go on to say that additional school improvement procedures that are being implemented are included in Section 2-A.

2-A is located at Page No. BCS81.

The paragraph with heading: Assessment Formative.

Starting at the end of that, the last sentence states: The formative assessments will be given to the students at the end of each unit, a study to measure mastery, which has been set at 80 percent of their goal.

Continuing on: Staff used a data-driven instructional system, which includes analyzing baseline data from assessments and report cards.

And then providing targeted professional development to support teacher knowledge base of the research based instructional strategies to employ to best meet the needs of each student.

Page 87

realignment of instruction based on that data?

A. As stated in the evaluation, at the site visit, no evidence of analysis or data or realignment of instruction based on data was provided?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are either of those witnesses that just walked in the room --

MR. TUCKER: They will not be called as witnesses, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Thank you. BY MS, ANDERSON:

- Q. In your final evaluation, did KDLO, through the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress process, the DSP document, the site visit, information following the site visit, demonstrate evidence of analysis of student assessment data and realignment of instruction based on an analysis?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why was that your final evaluation?
- A. As I stated in the evaluation, at the site visit and subsequently, no evidence of analysis of data or realignment of instruction based on data was provided.
- Q. Was that your evaluation for the student -- both of the student growth measures, the SGP for students overall and for the bottom 25 percent?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In reading and math?

Page 86

Teachers have the information needed to effectively adjust instructional focus and employ regrouping and other differentiation strategies to ensure that each student is making progress towards mastery of specific skills and content using data-driven instruction and ongoing assessment as cornerstone of our program.

Based on this information, I expected to see teacher -- evidence of teacher aligning instruction resulting in students making progress towards mastery, which they have set the standard at 80 percent.

- Q. What is the significance of analysis of student assessment data and realigning instruction based on that data to increasing student growth and proficiency?
- A. Analysis of data is going to indicate to that teacher whether or not instruction has been effective in identifying where deficiencies may lay.

Realignment of instruction would be to make appropriate adjustments based on the analysis of the data to target specifically those deficiencies that are revealed through the analysis of data, resulting in an improved subsequent performance based on that realignment of instruction.

Q. Did KDLO, at or within the 48 hours following site visit, demonstrate that they have a process in place -- did they evidence an analysis of student assessment data and

Page 88

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was that also your evaluation for the student proficiency measures, the percent passing, the composite school comparison, and the student subgroups?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. For both reading and math?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. What was your initial evaluation of KDLO's DSP document with regard to the category of professional development?
- A. I'm going to reference the Board Exhibit F. The initial evaluation stated that the narrative describes a professional development approach that lacks follow-up and monitoring strategies.
- Q. What is the significance of a school's follow-up and monitoring strategies for professional development to increasing student academic growth and proficiency?
- A. Professional development is targeted to identify the areas of teacher need or areas of high importance to provide training to teachers.

Once they receive that training, follow-up and monitoring is to ensure that training that has been received is actually being implemented and occurring in the classroom to result in changes to instruction, resulting in improved students academic performance.

Page 89

Q. What do you mean by follow-up and monitoring strategies?

A. The monitoring would be observations within the classroom, looking specifically for techniques or information, at least, provided to teachers as part of professional development and training.

The follow-up would be communication correspondence with teachers in terms of what was observed, what next steps need to be taken; if the expected changes are not occurring, some follow-up to get teachers on track to get those steps put in place.

- Q. What is the significance of the school's follow-up and monitoring strategies for professional development to increasing student academic growth deficiency in the bottom 25 percent or in the student subgroups?
- A. Some students in the bottom 25 percent or subgroups may have specific educational needs that are separate and distinct from the general student population.

So I'm looking for specific strategies targeted to the needs -- identified needs of those subgroups to increase their student performance.

- Q. Did KDLO, at or following the site visit, demonstrate professional development, follow-up and monitoring strategies?
 - A. At the site visit, additional documentation, which

practices for teachers to use.

- Q. Could you please refer to Board Exhibit 8. Tell us what is contained in that exhibit.
- A. Exhibit 8 is the Navajo BIE classroom observation walk-through form.
 - Q. And what is contained in Board Exhibit 11?
- A. 11 is the Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' lesson plan check report.
- Q. These exhibits were provided to you at the time of the site visit at KDLO?
- A. Exhibits 8, 9 and 10 were provided at the site visit.
- 11 was provided to us within the 48-hour period after the site visit.
- Q. What were the results of your evaluation of Exhibits 8, 9, 10 and 11 as it related to the demonstration of professional development follow-up and monitoring strategies?
- A. Looking at Exhibit 10, as stated, there is descriptions of evidence of each of these topics.

On August 1st, on our second -- in the second row in that last column, you see teachers have learned how to use the Prentice Hall reading system and textbook, how to implement reading instructional activities for ELL students, the lowest 25 percentile, RTI, intensive and strategic and

Page 90

included their 2013-2014 professional development plan, some sign-in sheets, instructional staff development agenda, additional documentation was provided, but no documentation or evidence of follow-up or monitoring strategies as part of that was provided.

- Q. Is additional documentation that you just described what is contained in Board's Exhibits 8 through 10?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you could, please, refer to Board's Exhibit 10 and tell us what's contained in that exhibit.
- A. This is what is labeled as Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' 2013-2014 professional development plan, which includes topics that were provided by professional development, along with timeline and dates when those occurred, as well as identified or described evidence of that, expected outcomes or evidence of that training.
 - Q. Would you please refer to Board Exhibit 9. What is contained in Board Exhibit 9?
- A. This is described as the report of a professional learning workshop, curriculum alignment to Arizona Common Core standards, facilitated by Theresa Serapiglia, from June 24th through July 11th.

Included in this is an overview of topics during those sessions.

And included on Page 157, a list of instructional

Page 92

Page 91

benchmark students, and how to group students according to their needs, special education students.

On the next page we see that August 1st training continues with, as stated in that far right column in the first row, how to use the math textbook, how to group math instructional activities, group students for appropriate activities, including ELL students, resource students, remedial and lowest 25th percentile students, RTI, intensive, strategic and benchmark grouping students according to meet their needs.

On October 4th, a session was delivered for comment for writing -- or, common core writing infused across content area, so writing outside the traditional English language arts classroom into other content areas.

Based on this document, those were things that we see as expectations that the teachers would be putting in the classroom.

Exhibit 9, as I previously identified, on Page 157, we see a list of instructional practices to use. This is part of the professional learning workshop from that summer.

This list of items and instructional practices, Exhibit No. 10, includes the teachers had to post math placemats on the wall to show the math Common Core state standards to be taught.

Q. You're referencing Page 157?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 93

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And 12, to make reading, writing, language, speaking, listening placemat.

And Item 13, to post that on the wall.

Again, some specific items the teachers were provided as practices to use. So I would expect those things to be monitored or followed up on.

Looking at Exhibit 8, the Navajo BIE classroom walk-through form, I see a form that is provided to us that demonstrates that observations were occurring.

But what I am looking for is evidence that those specific items identified as outcomes from professional development would be monitored here.

I do not see evidence of those.

I see they are looking for a learning objective. There are some specific instructional approaches or strategies, but nothing specific to their reading or math program, which was a new program.

Implementing their new math and reading program, there are specific components to that too.

Implement a Fidelity science program as adopted. I don't see a place for that to be monitored or follow-upped information to be provided to teachers.

General categories, such as, engagement, high order thinking skills, assessments and learning environment.

Page 95

Again, at the beginning of the year, August 26, we also received lesson plan check report on Page 165. This is, again, in November, we're still -- same categories appearing on the standard form.

Teachers receive training in incorporating those writing in other content areas, and nothing to indicate the lesson plans are being reviewed to see if they are writing in areas outside of the writing section.

On Page 166, we see a lesson plan check. Checking for the same things here in the beginning that the teacher did not see in science and social studies.

Lesson plans, notes stating that I need your plans for science and social studies, but nothing to indicate any follow-up as to whether those were actually implemented or turned in.

- Q. In your final evaluation, did KDLO's Demonstration of Sufficient Progress process demonstrate evidence of a professional development plan that includes follow-up and monitoring strategies?
- A. No documentation or evidence of follow-up or monitoring strategies as part of the profession development plan was provided.
- Q. Was that evaluation the same for throughout all of the student academic performance growth and proficiency indicators and measures?

Page 94

Nothing to look for the specific strategies. This is dated August 23rd.

Again, at the beginning of the school year, I expect to see, since this was just newly provided training in the beginning of August, that first month of school, we need to see some monitoring of the implementation with that math and reading program.

Moving on, also received observation forms. This is Page 152. This is dated, it appears, November 2nd.

So after teachers receive training regarding implementing that writing across content areas, again, this is the same standard form, still no evidence they're monitoring implementation of their reading or math program.

Again, nothing to indicate that their monitoring whether teachers are incorporating writing in other content

And in Exhibit 11, which is the lesson plan check, see that lesson plans, this is Page 164.

The lesson plans are being reviewed to identify whether they have objectives, whether they have Common Core standards, and whether they are aligned with pacing guides, and whether there is ELL strategies.

Nothing to indicate that the lesson plans are incorporating the newly adopted reading and math curriculum.

Page 96

A. Yes.

Q. Would you, please, refer to Board Exhibit 1, beginning on Page 41.

Board Exhibit 1, beginning on Page 41, where the frameworks evaluation criteria are provided, does the framework also identify that the charter holder is expected to demonstrate and is evaluated on evidence of the implementation of a sustained improvement plan that evidences increased student growth?

A. Yes.

That is in the table. And that measure for student reading growth percentile, that first item is: A sustained improvement plan that includes evidence of student growth.

- Q. Is that the same for the evaluation criteria for the proficiency -- for student proficiency that --
- A. On Page 41, in the row that is percent passing, you see there is a sustained improvement plan that includes evidence increasing the percent of students passing the State assessment in reading and math.
 - Q. You're referring to Page 42 of the document?
- Q. So throughout each of the dashboard indicators and measures, the framework directs that the charter holder needs to demonstrate and is evaluated on evidence of increased student growth and proficiency?

Page 97

1 A. Correct.

Q. What is the significance of a charter holder's implementation of a sustained improvement plan that evidences student growth and proficiency?

A. Well, a sustained effective improvement plan would result in increased or improved academic performance measured by growth or proficiency in students in those measures.

So improvement in those measures would result in -improved performance would result in improved performance in
those measures, which would change how they are scored,
resulting in an overall rating moving towards meets.

- Q. In terms of meets, meaning meets the standards on AIMS testing?
- A. Meets the standards on AIMS testing, and also meets the standards on the Boards's academic performance framework.
- Q. So evidencing that they are proceeding toward meeting the Board's academic performance expectations?
- 19 A. Yes
 - Q. If you could, please, refer to Board Exhibit 1 -- excuse me

Please refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, which is the School's Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document, at Page 70 and 71.

KDLO, in its DSP document, is providing its

assessment items when given the exact same assessment.

Q. If you refer to the bottom of Page 70, in the last two sentences on this page, in referencing its 8th grade students math progress, KDLO states that the scores reflective of the graph on the following page show significant increases in math achievement and show that students are becoming proficient in the Arizona Common Core standards in math.

Page 99

In your evaluation, did the information, this information, and the graphic on the bottom of Page 71 that pertains to 8th grade students math progress, demonstrate, as KDLO represents, significant increases in math achievement and show that students are becoming proficient in the Arizona common core standards in math?

A. No.

This graph, as stated in the title of the table, states that it's all 8th grade students mathematics progress 2013-2014, beginning of the year, Prentice Hall benchmark test, with the average score on weekly selection test, WST, year-to-date, to show evidence of increased student growth.

So we have a beginning of year benchmark and average scores on a weekly selection tests, which is completely different. It's a separate type of assessment.

So those are not results that can be compared to each other. One is a benchmark of student progress, and the

Page 98

demonstration of its progress in student achievement in

And on Page 71, provides two graphs to evidence its student growth in 7th and 8th grade math.

In your evaluation, did the information and the graphs on Pages 70 and 71 pertaining to KDLO's 7th grade student's math progress demonstrate that KDLO was increasing its student growth in math?

A. No.

The graph on Page 71, identified as all 7th grade students math progress, 2013-2014, the title includes that it's a comparison of Prentice Hall, A, beginning of the course, August 27, 2013, and beginning of the course, readministered, which indicates that they readministered the same assessment on October 24th, 2013.

Looking at the data contained in the graph, I see that there was no change in student performance when given the exact same assessment two months later.

Q. Is there an issue with regard to assessing students on the same assessment?

A. Yes.

When given the exact same assessment again, it's more of an assessment of the test rather than the students.

Student familiarity with the items may result in not a valid result because of student familiarity with the

Page 100

other is just average scores on weekly assignments.
 Q. Were you, at the site visit, also provided with

additional documentation from KDLO regarding its 7th grade math progress?

A. Yes.

O. Is that what is contained in Exhibit 4?

A. Yes.

Q. What does Exhibit 4 demonstrate with regard to KDLO's 7th grade math progress in 2013-14?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: This is Board Exhibit

MS. ANDERSON: Yes.

THE WITNESS: This exhibit is titled all 7th grade students math progress 2013 to '14, comparison to Prentice Hall, A, beginning of the course, August 27th, 2013; and B, beginning of the course readministered, readministering the same test again, on October 24, 2013, which is the same description provided on Page 71 of that to top table.

However, this graph shows that at the beginning of the course assessment, a score of 67 percent in August and declining one percentage point to 66 percent in October 24th.

So this shows that when given the exact same assessment, student performance slightly declined.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 101

Again, no improvement when given this exact same assessment two months later.

- Q. And the reference to 67 percent and 66 percent would be to those attaining proficiency on that assessment?
 - A. That information is not contained in this graph.

Whether it's the percent of students reaching proficiency or average score is not indicated. I cannot draw a conclusion based on that.

It just shows that at this point they were at 67 and, two months later, the result was less than the prior assessment.

Q. Would you please refer to exhibit -- Board Exhibit 2-E, at Page 79.

The bottom of Page 79 and also on Page 80, where KDLO, in its DSP document, is providing its demonstration of its progress with regard to its lowest performing 25 percent students in mathematics, and it provides two graphs to evidence that progress.

The graph at the top of Page 80 is titled: 7th grade students in the lowest 25th percentile math progress

And the graph at the bottom of Page 80 is titled: 8th grade students in the lowest 25th percentile math progress 2013-14.

at the site visit?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that what is contained in Board Exhibit 7?

 - Q. What does Board Exhibit 7 demonstrate with regard to the math progress of KDLO students below the 25th percentile for 2013-14?
 - A. Page 149 displays a graph with a title that says: Students below the 25th percentile math progress, 2013-14.

So there is no indication of which grade level this is, if it's one grade level or multiple grade levels, there is no indication of that.

The title at the beginning says that it's a comparison of Prentice Hall at the beginning of the course, August 27th, and beginning of the course readministered October 24th.

Again, readministration of the exact same assessment.

The columns here have numerical values on them. If we assume that A is equivalent to 1 and B see equivalent to 2, then it would be a decline in performance in the value of 56 in August to October performance of 54.

But again, it's not clear which grade levels these are.

And the way the graphs are labeled, to attach them

Page 102

In your evaluation, does the information and the graphs on Pages 79 and 80 pertaining to its lowest 25 percent 7th grade students math progress show an increase in student growth in mathematics?

A. No.

The 7th grade table shows that a beginning of the course assessment was administered on August 27th, and then again with that same assessment, beginning of course assessment, was reassessed two months later, and that for 7th grade, the results on the October assessment were lower than those on the August.

I see the August value of 56 and October with a value of 54, which indicates that when they were given the exact same assessment two months later, there was a decline in performance.

Again, this is not a valid measure of growth because it's the exact same test being administered.

Due to student familiarity, this is not a valid assessment of growth.

They are given this test and the exact same test again is not a valid measure.

- Q. Were you also provided with additional math progress data for students below the 25th percentile?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In math, were you provided additional documentation

to which assessment they are is not shown.

So it does not demonstrate growth for students in the bottom 25th percentile.

- Q. When it says comparison of Prentice Hall, what is meant by Prentice Hall?
- A. Prentice Hall would be the creator of the beginning of course assessment.
- Q. So that's the name of the particular company whose assessment is being used?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. While the graph on Board Exhibit 7 does not -- you said it doesn't indicate which grade level or grade levels is being represented by that graph, the same bars, 56 and 54, are also presented in the school's DSP document on the top of Page 80; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

The title is different and the labels on the columns are different, but the values of the two columns are the same.

Q. In terms of an evaluation of the information of the graph pertaining to 8th grade students in the lowest 25th percentile math progress for 2013-2014, in your evaluation, does the information on the bottom of Page 79 and the information contained in the graph on the bottom of Page 80 demonstrate what KDLO represents in its DSP, significant

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Page 103

Page 104

BY MS. ANDERSON:

3 4 5

6 7

1

2

8 9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

> 3 4 5

> > 6

7

1

2

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16 17

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 105 1 increases in math achievement by its 8th graders? 2 A. No. 3 The title of the graph indicates this is a 4 comparison of the Prentice Hall beginning of the course, on 5 August 8th, 2013, and beginning of the course readministered 6 on October 24th. 7 Again, readministration of the same test, student 8 performance is not indicative of growth because it could be 9 due to familiarity with the test items since they're seeing 10 the exact same test items again. 11 They did -- a performance comparison on the same 12 assessment is not a valid way of measuring growth. 13 Q. Could you please refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, at 14 Pages 73 and 74, the bottom of Page 73 and the graph on Page 15 74, where KDLO, in its DSP document, is providing evidence of 16 its progress in student achievement in reading. 17 In the graphs on Page 74, the top one indicates the 18 7th grade reading progress for 2013-2014, and the graph on 19 the bottom of Page 74 indicates its 8th grade student reading 20 progress for 2013-2014. 21 In your evaluation, do the information in the 22 graphs on Pages 73 and 74 pertaining to its 7th grade 23 students reading progress demonstrate that KDLO was 24 increasing its students growth in reading? 25 A. No. Page 106 1 Q. Why not? 2 A. This graph shows -- the title states that it's 3 comparison of Prentice Hall, A, beginning of the year 4 benchmark, given on August 7, 2013, and the beginning of the 5 year benchmark readministered on October 21st, 2013, and also 6 average scores on weekly selection tests. 7 The first two columns indicating, again, students 8 given an assessment in August and the exact same assessment 9 in October, so not a valid measure of growth due to the 10 student familiarity with test items. 11 That being compared to average weekly selection 12 tests is a completely separate assessment, assessing 13 different things. 14 It's an average of student scores on whatever those 15 weekly tests were assessing and cannot be compared to a 16 benchmark assessment. 17 Q. If we refer to Page 73, the last paragraph on that 18 page, KDLO states that its 8th grade students, in the last 19 sentence, have made significant gains in proficiency in the 20 Arizona Common Core standards in reading. 21 Does your evaluation of the graph at the bottom 22 Page 74 support that statement? 23 A. No. 24 This graph shows the stated title, beginning of the

year Prentice Hall benchmark test, with the average score on

25

Page 107

weekly selection tests year-to-date to show evidence of increased student growth looking for proficiency.

Again, we're comparing a benchmark given in August to an average of student scores on a weekly test.

Different assessments evaluating different things cannot be compared to each other to demonstrate that improvement because they're evaluating different things.

- Q. Were you also provided with additional 7th grade reading progress data at the site visit?
 - A Yes
- Q. Is that additional documentation what is contained in Board Exhibit 5?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What does Exhibit 5 demonstrate with regard to 7th grade progress in reading?
- A. Described in its title as 7th grade Unit -benchmark assessment, Unit 1, from Prentice Hall literature.
 Benchmark one, in the first column, date of
 September 9, 2013, and a value of 53 percent.

Benchmark two, given on September 27, a value of 45 percent.

So in the intervening time between benchmark one and benchmark two, student performance has declined.

Q. Would you please refer to Pages 76 and 77, Board Exhibit 2-E.

Page 108

Where KDLO, in its demonstration of progress within its DSP document is demonstrating its progress of its lowest performing 25 percent of students in reading.

The graph at the top of Page 77 is 7th grade students below the 25th percentile reading progress 2013-2014, graph at the bottom of Page 77 is 8th grade students in the lowest 25 percentile reading progress 2013-2014.

In your evaluation, is the information in the graphs on Pages 76 and 77 pertaining to KDLO's bottom 25 percent 7th grade student reading progress demonstrate that KDLO is increasing its student growth in reading?

A. No.

The 7th grade table includes the Prentice Hall beginning of year benchmark, given on August 7th, 2013. The beginning of year benchmark readministered on October 21st, 2013, and the average score on weekly selection test.

And giving the beginning of year benchmark, again, readministering the same assessment is not a valid measure due to student familiarity with test items, and comparing that to average weekly section test is on not valid because its an assessment of something different.

You cannot compare those two results.

And for 8th grade, we have the beginning of year benchmark test and the average score weekly selection. So

Page 109 Page 111 again, we're comparing two different types of assessments. In your evaluation, does the information in the 1 1 graphs contained on Pages 85 and 86 KDLO DSP document One is a benchmark, and one an average score on 2 2 pertaining to its 7th grade math proficiency, does it 3 3 weekly assessments that have been given. Assessments of 4 demonstrate what KDLO describes in its DSP as significant different things that cannot be compared to each other. 4 5 growth in math on AIMS? 5 So that graph does not demonstrate growth for the 6 A. No. 6 bottom 25 percent reading. 7 In looking at this, I see, in 2012, using the key Q. Does the graph demonstrate, as KDLO represents in 7 8 that's provided, the green bar indicates students that were its DSP document, at the bottom of Page 76, that 8th grade 8 meets and the blue bar indicates exceeds. 9 9 students have made significant gains in proficiency in the 10 In 2012, there was a total of 55 percent of 10 Arizona Common Core standards in reading? 11 students that were passing the assessment with scores of 11 A. No. 12 meets or exceeds. And in 2013, that declined to 15 Q. Why not? 12 13 percent. 13 A. Comparing two unrelated assessments. One is a benchmark, one is average weekly score. That's a drastic decline in performance in 7th 14 14 15 grade math proficiency. So you cannot compare them to get a measure of growth. 15 Q. Given the information contained also in school year 16 O. Were you also provided with additional reading 16 17 2011, in your evaluation, does the 7th grade math test 17 progress data for the bottom 25 percent students at the site results for school years 2011 to '13 show continuous or 18 18 visit? continuing improvement of 7th grade math? 19 19 A. Yes. A. Sustained improvement from '11 to -- from 2011 to 20 Q. Is that what is contained in Board Exhibit 6? 20 2012, there was improvement, but that was not sustained into 21 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. In your evaluation, what does Board Exhibit 6 113. 22 So there was no continuous or sustained improvement demonstrate with regard to the reading progress of KDLO 23 23 in student proficiency over the period from 2011 to 2013. students below the 25th percentile reading for the 2013-2014? 24 24 Q. In your evaluation, does the information in graphs A. The title of the graph does not contain a grade 25 25 Page 112 Page 110 1 on Pages 85 and 86 pertaining to its 8th grade students math level, so there's no clear indication of which grade level 1 proficiency on AIMS demonstrate what KDLO represents in its 2 2 this is, if this is 7th grade or 8th grade or a combined. 3 DSP document as significant growth in math on AIMS? It contains the beginning of the year benchmark 3 4 A. No. 4 given on August 7th to the beginning of the year benchmark 5 Looking at overall and for sustained or continuous 5 readministered on October 21st, which is not a valid way of demonstrating growth with the readministration of the same 6 growth, in 2011, we had a total of -- using, again, the key, 6 the total green and the blue -- 20 percent in 2011 meeting or 7 7 assessment. 8 exceeding, which increased in 2012 to 53. That is compared to an average score on weekly 8 9 But that growth was not sustained, and declined to 9 selection tests, which is a separate assessment. 10 30 percent total, 17 percent meets and 13 percent exceeds. It's a weekly performance, which is not related to 10 11 So for 2012-2013, a decrease in the percent of 11 the benchmark. 12 students that were proficient. This item that has the same values of 36, 41 and 12 13 If I also consider that, at this school, many of 13 41, as the top graph on Page 77, and the dates appear to be 14 these 7th grade students moved on to 8th grade -- in 2012, 14 7th grade, I have a total of 55 percent of students that were 15 Again, the title does not indicate which grade 15 level this is representing for the bottom 25 percent. 16 meeting. 16 17 If those same students move on to 8th grade in Q. If you would, please, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, 17 2013, the proficiency of 55 percent in 2012, 7th graders 18 18 at Page 85 and 86. 19 declined to 30 percent in 2013 as 8th graders. Where KDLO, in its DSP document, provides its 19 20 Looking at it as over time and as a group of 20 demonstration of its progress of its students proficiency on

students moving within the school, nothing to indicate

KDLO, in its DSP document, provides its

sustained or continuous improvement in math proficiency.

Q. If you could, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, at Pages

21

22

23

24

25

82 and 83.

21

22

23

24

25

AIMS assessment in math.

With the graph at the top of Page 86, labeled as

math test results for school year 2011-2013.

KDLO 7th grade math test results for school year 2011 to 2013

and the graph at the bottom on Page 86 of KDLO 8th grade AIMS

Page 113

demonstration of its progress in student proficiency on the AIMS test in the reading.

The top of the graph on Page 83 is titled: 7th grade -- KDLO 7th grade AIMS reading test results for school year 2011 to 2013.

And the graph on the bottom of Page 83 indicates it's KDLO 8th grade AIMS reading test results for school year 2011-2013.

In your evaluation, does the information and graphs on Pages 82 and 83 pertaining to its 7th grade students reading proficiency demonstrates what KDLO describes in its DSP document significant growth in reading on AIMS?

A. No.

In looking at 7th grade reading, I see, in 2011 to 2012, there was an increase in students meeting, but that was not sustained.

In 2012, where 80 percent of students scoring at meets, in 2013, that declined to below 40 percent. So half of the students that were proficient -- that's half the rate that they were proficient in 2012.

So again, no demonstration of continuous improvement in reading proficiency for 7th grade.

Q. In your evaluation, does the information in graphs on Pages 82 and 83 pertaining to its 8th grade students reading proficiency demonstrate, as KDLO represents in its

Page 115

proficiency levels to the levels at which composite school's students perform?

A. No.

The composite schools, which is one of the Board's measures, is based on the distribution of subgroups within the schools, so the percentage of students includes the subgroups of free and reduced lunch, special education, free and reduced lunch and a distribution on grade levels.

That is used based on statewide data of similar distribution.

What they've done here is compare themselves to what they call similar KDLO students, and they named three specific schools.

On the graph, they state these are other local schools.

It's not an equivalent to how the Board's framework is evaluating them.

But based on the information that they provided, compared to other local schools, I can see that they identified and selected schools to compare themselves to.

In looking at this graph, I see that in two out of the three years, the performance was lower than the schools that they chose to compare themselves to.

In 2011, the blue graph, that KDLO 7th and 8th graders are performing lower than the 7th and 8th grade

Page 114

DSP document, significant growth in reading on AIMS for its 8th graders?

A. No.

In looking at 8th grade reading for the period of 2011 to 2013, in 2011, 15 percent were at meets; 2012, it's at 20; and then in 2013, 21.

So very small increase in 2012, and then basically maintaining that. So no continuous improvement in 8th grade reading.

In looking at the group of 7th graders in 2012 and how they performed as the moved into 8th grade, in 2012, 80 percent scoring at meets; and then in 2013, as 8th graders, that declines to 21 percent.

With those students moving from 7th to 8th grade, a decline in proficiency as well.

So for 8th grade, there's nothing to indicate proficiency for reading.

Q. Would you, please, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, at Pages 88 and 89.

KDLO, in its DSP document, provides demonstration of its progress in 7th to 8th grade students passing AIMS when compared to other local schools.

In your evaluation, does the information in the graph on Pages 88 and 89 pertaining to student proficiency in AIMS in reading demonstrate an increase in KDLO students

Page 116

students.

And in 2013, they are performing lower than the comparison schools that they selected.

In 2012, there was an increase. In which case, they were scoring higher than the comparison schools; however, that was not sustained improvement in the data.

Q. In the comparison schools that they used and that are identified on Page 88, is that a charter school that has since closed?

A. Yes.

Q. If you would, please, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, at Pages 91 and 92.

KDLO, in its DSP document, is providing demonstration of its progress in 7th and 8th grade students passing AIMS and math when compared to other local schools

In your evaluation, does the information and graph on Pages 91 and 92 pertaining to student proficiency in AIMS in math demonstrate an increase in student proficiency levels to the levels expected by the Board?

A. No.

The levels expected by the Board are based on the subgroups.

Again, they're comparing themselves to some other local schools. And even with the schools that they have selected to compare themselves, you can see that in 7th grade

Page 117 1 and 8th grade, in 2011, the academic performance was lower 1 2 2 than those selected schools; in 2013, it was lower than those 3 3 selected schools; in 2012, it was higher, but they did not 4 4 sustain that. Whereas, in looking at the selected schools, we see 5 5 6 that there is incremental improvement over time, consistently 6 7 7 improving. and math. 8 8 In KDLO, there was no consistent improvement, 9 9 because the graphs decline from '12 to 13, but that 10 population? 10 improvement was not sustained. 11 11 If you would, please, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, 12 12 at Pages 94 and 95, where KDLO, in its DSP document, provides 13 13 demonstration of its progress for its ELL subgroup population 14 14 in AIMS reading. 15 What was your evaluation of their progress in 15 16 16 student proficiency in AIMS in the ELL subgroup? 17 A. On Page 94, the last paragraph, they state: There 17 were no ELL students recorded from KDLO for 2011, 2012 and 18 stated in Section 1-A. 18 19 19 2013 on the ADE data base. 20 20 However, at KDLO, we identify and considered students to be ELL each year, 2011, 2012 and 2013, and 21 21 22 22 provide those scores. ADE has the criteria for classified students as 23 23 24 exact same assessment. 24 ELL, English Language Learners. 25 25 There's no indication of how these students -- who Page 118 1 1 these students are that have been identified by the school as 2 2 to different things. ELL. 3 According to ADE, the school has no ELL students. 3 So I have no indication of who these students are. 4 4 5 5 In looking at the graph on Page 95, they have 6 6 grouped together some of the 8th grade students, which is an 7 7 inappropriate way of demonstrating these results, and I'll 8 8 explain that. 9 9 Along the left side of the graph, we have what's 10 10 called the AIMS score, which is a scale score. 11 at Pages 106 to 110. 11 Those numerical values correspond to the AIMS 12 12 proficiency bands of exceeds, meets, approaches, and falls 13 13 far below. 14 14 They're specific test scores for each grade level. So a score of a certain value gets you to meets as a 7th 15 15 16 16 grade student would be a completely different scale score for 17 17 8th grade. 18 18 So by lumping 7th and 8th grade together and 19 19 averaging them, there's no clear indication of where 7th grade students are relative to their meets test score and 8th 20 20 21 A. 107. grade students, and there's nothing on here to indicate where 21

22

23

24

25

that proficiency level is.

indication of who these students are.

So this data is not really valid because there's no

And the way that the data has been lumped together

Page 119

is an inappropriate way to demonstrate proficiency for students based on these scale scores.

Q. If you would, please, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, at Pages 100 to 104, where KDLO, in its DSP document, provides its demonstration of its progress for its free and reduced lunch, FRL, students, subgroup population, in reading and math.

What was your evaluation of progress of student proficiency in reading and math in the FRL subgroup population?

A. On Page 100, in the bottom paragraph, it states that since 100 percent of our students are free and reduced lunch, we will show the same improvement data for Section 2-C, free and reduced lunch students, in reading and math as we show in Section 1-A for reading and math.

The tables that are provided here in 2-C, looking at them, are familiar. They were presented earlier, as stated in Section 1-A.

So again, for the same reasons, using -- as stated in the 7th grade students, using beginning of the year readministered and beginning of the year benchmark readministered two months later is not a valid way of demonstrating growth due to student familiarity with the exact same assessment.

In a comparison to average weekly selection test,

Page 120

it's unrelated to the assessment, assessing different items to different things.

Different skills being assessed and averaging that together, again, not a valid comparison.

And for the 8th grade students, we have the beginning of the year benchmark, again, compared to the weekly selection tests are not comparable assessments being put alongside each other, so not a valid way to demonstrate growth for your free and reduced lunch students.

Q. If you would, please, refer to Board Exhibit 2-E, at Pages 106 to 110.

Where KDLO, in its DSP document, provides its demonstration of its progress for its SPED, it's special education or students with disability subgroup, in reading and math, what was your evaluation of the progress in student proficiency in reading and math in the SPED subgroup?

A. Did not demonstrate improved proficiency.

Again, as with the ELL students, they have combined 7ith and 8th grade students.

Q. What page are you specifically looking at?

Comparison for students with disabilities for 7th and 8th grade, were measuring them on what's called the AIMS score, again, the scale score.

Efficiency bands are different for 7th and 8th

22

23

24

25

Page 121

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

grade. By averaging them, we've lost distinction in where they are in terms of proficiency for getting those test scores for the 7th grade students because it's distinctly different from 8th grade students.

There's no indication of these values, where they fall along these proficiency bands.

Again, because the scores are different, everything is averaged together, that increase may be due to one grade level.

We don't know where those scores are coming from in terms of where they fall in relation to proficiency.

That same issue is on Page 110 for math. Again, students with disabilities have been grouped together. It's an inappropriate way to present that data.

The scale score is different for each grade level.

O. When you say that the information isn't reflected where in the bands the students were, are we talking about on AIMS testing?

A. Yes.

On AIMS testing, the proficiency levels of falls far below, approaches, meets, and exceeds.

The scale score would fall somewhere -- you know, specific test scores, you would know in which category the student falls.

Q. So we don't know, for Pages 107 or 110, for

math or reading was provided.

In terms of the area of assessment, no evidence of analysis of data or realignment of instruction based on data was provided.

Q. Your comments on Page 111, the bottom, the data provided included comparison of results for the same benchmark test administered in August and October, and a comparison of benchmark assessment results to an average score on a weekly test.

And then that the data provided did not demonstrate improved student growth.

That comment is repeated in each of the indicator and measures for both math and reading.

Was that comment included in your initial evaluation instrument provided to KDLO prior to the site visit?

A. Yes.

That is an unbolded comment, so that was part of the initial evaluation that was provided to the charter holder prior to the site visit.

Q. And following the site visit, in your analysis or your evaluation, was it concluded that there had been no analysis to identify data that showed that KDLO, for the 2013-14 school year or at any point recently, was improving in students academic growth and proficiency?

Page 122

example, an AIMS score of 480, whether that falls within the falls far below for AIMS, the approaches, the meets, or the exceeds?

- A. They did not include that information on here.
- Q. And you also said that that proficiency level differs for 7th and 8th grade students?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Please refer to Board Exhibit 2-F.

In your final evaluation, did KDLO, through the demonstration of progress process, demonstrate that it was making sufficient progress towards the Board's academic performance expectations?

- A. No.
- Q. Why not?

A. In the final evaluation, in the area of curriculum, no documentation or evidence of ongoing evaluation or revision of the curriculum was provided, and no evidence that the new curriculum resulted in improved student growth in proficiency in math or reading was provided.

In the area of professional development, no documentation or evidence of follow-up or monitoring strategies as part of the professional development plan was provided.

And no evidence of the professional development plan resulted in improved student growth or proficiency in

A. Yes.

Q. In your final evaluation, did KDLO demonstrate that its curriculum, its students assessment, its professional development was resulting in increased student academic growth and proficiency in math or reading to put them on track to meeting the Board's academic performance expectations this year?

A. No.

Acceptability of a plan is shown in its activeness. And based on our conclusions we drew from our analysis, because no analysis was provided for us to draw conclusions from, we saw that the school had not implemented an effective system, did not have effective systems in place.

Q. "Effective" being, in part, that would increase student academic growth?

A. Yes, systems that are resulting in increased student growth and proficiency in math and reading.

MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE ARBITRATOR: Why don't we take a brief recess, and then you can cross.

Go off the record.

23 (Brief recess.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on the record.

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

Page 123

Page 124

2 3 4

1

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

1 2 3

4 5 6

7

8 9 10

11 12 13

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

25

Page 127 Page 125 The data will tell me that. I use that information 1 1 Mr. Tucker, you want to cross? 2 to make adjustments. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 3 O. No anecdotal information? 3 A. As a teacher in the classroom, what I'm observing **EXAMINATION** 4 4 5 when I'm teaching at that point, I may use it to make 5 BY MR. TUCKER: 6 decisions on what I'm doing at that time. 6 Q. Mr. Sarmento -- did I say that correctly? 7 7 Q. So your analysis and your review was limited to 8 8 Q. You completed your report in November 2013; is that data? 9 You didn't consider those other factors; is that 9 correct? 10 10 correct? A. Yes. Q. So that information is from that time backwards, 11 A. Based on the evaluation criteria that we --11 12 Q. I mean, is that correct? 12 correct? 13 Was it just based on data? 13 A. Correct. Not based on other factors; such as, anecdotal 14 Q. During your review, did you interact directly with 14 any of the children at KDLO? experience in the classrooms? 15 15 A. Our evaluation is based on the evaluation criteria, 16 16 A. No. which includes the data and the documentation that's Q. You talked about data and whether or not it was 17 17 used to change instruction or curriculum or make other 18 provided. 18 19 Q. Thank you. 19 changes. What in addition to data could lead a teacher to 20 And the evaluation was limited to math and reading? 20 21 21 make changes? 22 Q. So it didn't touch on personal development or other 22 A. To make changes to? 23 possible advancement of the children? Q. Curriculum, instruction, teaching. 23 A. The Demonstration of Sufficient Progress document 24 24 A. What other information could they use? is a -- it that's based on the Board's academic performance 25 25 Q. Sure. Page 128 Page 126 A. Primarily, we look at the data that's giving you an 1 framework, which is based on math and reading. 1 2 2 Q. I'm sorry. I don't mean to be rude. indication of --3 You didn't take into consideration those other 3 Q. No. 4 things in your analysis, personal development of the child, 4 I asked: What, in addition to data? 5 social development? 5 What other things other than data could a teacher 6 It's just a simple question; yes or no? 6 use to make those changes? 7 A. No. 7 I'm sorry to interrupt you. 8 8 A. Data is going to give you the information about Q. Thank you. That's all. what is going on with your students. 9 MR. TUCKER: No further questions, Your Honor. 9 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any redirect? 10 So I guess --MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor, briefly. 11 11 Q. No. 12 12 I'm asking you: What other things could a teacher 13 FURTHER EXAMINATION use besides data to make changes in their instruction, the 13 14 BY MS. ANDERSON: 14 way they approach their children, or curriculum? 15 A. As a classroom teacher, when you're delivering 15 Q. Your evaluation of KDLO's demonstration of instruction, what you're observing in the classroom, how you 16 progress -- demonstration of progress toward the Board's 16 17 academic performance expectations, did your evaluation, in 17 see students engaged, would be one indication of how you may 18 addition to data, also include the information that was change your instruction at that point. 18 provided by KDLO with regard to their curriculum? 19 19 In terms of making a decision about curriculum, 20 A. Yes. 20 that information of what you're going to teach, how you're Q. Also with regard to their instruction? 21 going to teach it, when you're going to teach it, to me, that 21 22 22 would be based in data. What you do and whether or not it's effective would 23 Q. And also with regard to their professional 23 24 development? 24 be based on how you're assessing your students. 25 A. Yes. 25 I taught this. Has it been effective?

Page 131 Page 129 EXAMINATION 1 Q. And, additionally, with regard to their 1 2 BY MR. TUCKER: 2 assessments? Q. Dr. Serapiglia, I think I mispronounced your name 3 3 A. Yes. 4 right off the bat. MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions, Your 4 5 So would you say it for the record, and spell it 5 Honor. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 6 for the court reporter. 6 A. Dr. Theresa Serapiglia, S-e-r-a-p-i-g-l-i-a. 7 7 You may step down. 8 Q. Thank you. Since we're approaching the noon lunch hour, why 8 Would you give The Court a little bit of your 9 don't we go ahead and recess, and we'll reconvene at 9 background, your academic background and professional 10 10 11 background, please. The hearing room will be locked. So I would ask 11 A. I got my Ph.D. in curriculum and instruction from 12 that the last person out, just make sure the door is 12 13 Penn State a number of years ago. 13 closed, and we'll reopen it at 1:30. After that, I worked for several agencies 14 Going off the record. 14 full-time. One of the agencies that I worked for full-time 15 (The noon recess was taken.) 15 was the Washington Elementary School district. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on the 16 16 They have 32 elementary schools, and I was the 17 17 record. director of professional development at that time for six Are all the witnesses secluded that haven't 18 18 19 19 testified yet? I worked for the Department of Education here. I 20 It seems like a larger crowd this afternoon. 20 was the deputy associate superintendent for the new school 21 MR. TUCKER: I believe, all except my next witness. 21 improvement unit. 22 I've been informed that the State has concluded, or 22 I had 21 educational specialists on my staff, and 23 will conclude, so I have my one witness here. 23 we were charged with providing professional development to 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. 24 all schools in the State of Arizona as our first charge. You have no objection to the Board's exhibits; is 25 25 Page 132 Page 130 And our second charge was to begin the process of 1 1 that correct? developing the Arizona standards, and we started developing 2 MR. TUCKER: That's correct. 2 3 the standards in language arts. This is way back when. Okay. Show the Board's exhibits are admitted. 3 We had we had the various meetings with various 4 (Board's Exhibits 1 through 11 were admitted.) 4 committees of educators and parents throughout the State. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Anything further from 5 5 We then took the first language arts standards to 6 6 the Board? the Board for adoption, and they got adopted. 7 MS. ANDERSON: No, Your Honor. 7 I've worked with the Native American schools since ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The Board rests? 8 8 1974, off and on. So I spent a lot of time. 9 MS. ANDERSON: Yes. 9 I felt very committed to that group of people and ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Respondent may proceed. 10 10 that connection with the students. 11 MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 I also worked for other schools in the State of 12 For my first witness, I call Dr. Theresa 12 Arizona. I worked for, as a consultant, Kyrene, Gilbert, 13 Serapiglia. 13 Payson, Sunnyslope, Amphi, and probably another 10 or 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Doctor, did you sign-in 14 14 15 more. 15 this morning? DR. SERAPIGLIA: I believe I did. I think it's the But that's, more or less, my highlights of what 16 16 17 I've done. second or third one. 17 Q. Dr. Scrapiglia, what types of consulting services ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is this you? 18 18 do you provide? 19 19 DR. SERAPIGLIA: Yes, that's me. A. I provide consulting services in the area of 20 Thank you, Judge. 20 curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional 21 21 development. 22 THERESA SERAPIGLIA, 22 Those are my four major areas, and they've been 23 having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary 23 consistently like that for some number of 30 years now. 24 Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was 24 Q. You said you do have experience working with Native 25 examined and testified as follows: 25

Page 135 Page 133 looking at test scores. We also spent time looking at the 1 American students and schools? 1 instructional materials that the teachers had to work with. 2 A. Yes, since like 1974. 2 They were there, but they weren't aligned to the Q. Do you find that significantly different than the 3 3 Common Core either. So we thought, we are going to need some 4 4 rest of your work? 5 A. Oh, yes, very different than when you work at the quality materials. 5 We felt that we needed more quality time because 6 Kyrene or Gilbert school districts. They're very different 6 there was limited time to teach math and reading. There was 7 strategies you're trying to put in place, very different 7 8 no intervention program time. data, very different support of the community. 8 So we said -- Ora and I made a plan. Okay, when we 9 I find it challenging, so I feel committed with 9 meet with the staff, we're going to develop some time so that working with that group of people and that group of students. 10 10 they have, everyday, a spot to teach the students that are 11 I feel that they're great in need, and it would be 11 falling behind, which we did. 12 an inequality if we didn't do more for the students. 12 And then we said: We're probably going to need to 13 Q. Have you worked with this particular school, Kin 13 buy some new materials, new instructional materials, a 14 dah Lichi'i Olta'? 14 15 system. 15 And if so, when? We agreed at that time that they wouldn't be 16 A. I haven't worked with the charter school up until 16 piecemeal things. That they would be systematic. 17 this June. I started working with them June 2013. 17 We didn't want to have a little bit of this, a Previous to that, the previous three years, I did 18 18 staff development and then everybody goes away and is happy. not work with the charter school at Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. 19 19 We wanted to have something very systematic. Q. What did you do when you came to Kin dah Lichi'i 20 20 We believe we put into place five big systems to 21 21 Olta' in 2013? address the process of education, and then we put into 22 A. Well, that was a call from the principal, Ora, and 22 place -- actually, the NWEA was already in place. 23 she said: Goodness, we really need to look to see what we 23 We put into place a data driven instructional 24 need to do this year. I'm new to this school, and let's 24 system so we could use data a little more thoroughly than we 25 really look to see what the situation is. 25 Page 136 Page 134 1 had been doing. The first thing we did, I played a major role in 1 Q. Dr. Serapiglia, you mentioned a couple things I 2 this, we looked at the data, what the student achievement 2 3 want to get back to. 3 scores were. You said five systems. 4 We studied the AIMS over several years. That was 4 You also mentioned somebody by the name of Ora? our primary responsibility. We looked at the strengths and 5 5 6 A. Ora James, yes, our principal. weaknesses of the students on the AIMS. 6 Q. Just so the court knows Ora James is the principal. 7 We studied the curriculum that they had at that 7 Could you go ahead and elaborate on the five 8 time, and we noticed that the curriculum was not the Common 8 9 systems? Core standards, that the curriculum hadn't been revised for a 9 10 A. Yes. 10 while. We actually put those in place. We actually 11 So we said: Oh, my gosh, we need to revise the 11 brainstormed on those before June. Then we had, as I said, 12 curriculum to meet the common. 12 12 days in June where we developed these systems. 13 We evaluated the assessments that were in place, 13 And then we worked with the staff throughout the and we noticed that the assessments weren't -- of course, 14 14 next couple of months to monitor and implement those systems. they weren't aligned to the Common Core because the 15 15 16 And they actually are the same systems that the curriculum wasn't aligned to the Common Core. 16 17 State is requiring. So we developed a plan for working with the staff 17 The first system that we put into place is a 18 in the month of June. We set aside -- we said, we're going 18 professional development system. 19 to need 12 full days because it's such a huge endeavor. 19 I could alert us to the exhibit where that is. 20 One of the things we want to do is revise the 20 Would that be appropriate at this time? 21 curriculum, update it. We want to revise the assessments to 21 22 Q. Yes, please. match the new Common Core standards. 22 23 A. Exhibit 16. We want to develop a professional development plan 23 Q. Those are the KDLO exhibits? 24 that addresses the needs that we have. 24 25 A. KDLO exhibits, yes. As I said, I think we've spent most of the time 25

Page 139 Page 137 documents. We just brought samples. 1 1 Q. Dr. Serapiglia, I appreciate your directing us to 2 Exhibit --2 those. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: It's Respondent's 18. 3 3 If you will, look and see that people have found 4 BY MR. TUCKER: 4 their place, and then go forward. 5 O. Appears to be the 7th grade curriculum map. 5 All right. 6 A. Yes, is that what that shows? 6 As you can see from the first page, this is the 7 7 2013 to '14 professional development plan. Q. Yes. 8 A. All right. 8 A plan is a plan. You know, it's not cast in On the 7th grade curriculum map, what the 7th grade 9 9 concrete, so we keep modifying it as we need. teachers did and the 8th grade teachers did is they looked to 10 10 You can see that the topics are in the far left see what Common Core career and college readiness standards corner, the time lines are in the next column, who was 11 11 were going to be taught each of the weeks so that we would be responsible, and what are some of the evidences that we are 12 12 assured that the curriculum was being implemented. That was 13 13 looking to see. 14 our first and primary objective. 14 I think it's important to go through the four of 15 So you can see down there -- do you see CCS these because I've heard tell that maybe we don't have enough 15 16 standards, literature and information? 16 professional development. 17 Over there, you can see which standards are being In my experience and my comparison with other 17 18 taught each of the weeks. 18 districts, there's, probably, 20 days here of professional Standard RL-2 and RL-1 is being taught on week two. 19 development, which is very extensive. So I'd like to go 19 We started teaching the standards right on week 20 20 through just a little bit of it. 21 two, which is August the 12th. You can see the first row on the first page of 21 22 You'll notice, the week before is when we had the 22 Exhibit 16, it talks about the curriculum evaluation, the 23 beginning of the year benchmark diagnoses and assessments. 23 revision, the development of the curriculum, and the 24 alignment with the career and college readiness or the Common On that row, in all the curriculum maps. 24 25 And the next two rows, it clarifies what of the 25 Core standards. Page 140 Page 138 1 Common Core standards will be taught each lesson, each week, 1 And then so I'll explain just a bit. We evaluated 2 2 the curriculum that we currently had, and we looked to find each subject. And we have that for all 8th grade, all 7th grade, that it was not aligned to the Common Core and it had some 3 3 4 all math and all reading. 4 gaps. 5 So that we felt very comfortable -- because this 5 We also evaluated it by looking at the student 6 scores to see where were students low in reading and writing. has happened before in schools that I've worked at. You 6 7 start teaching math and the kids fall further and further 7 If the students were low in that area, we said: 8 behind. You start going slower and slower in your textbook, 8 Oh, we need to bolster the curriculum in that area. 9 9 So when we designed our curriculum maps and our and you don't ever get to teaching the last half of the 10 standards. 10 pacing guides, we would put more attention to those areas 11 So we said we really wanted to make sure that we where the students appeared to be weaker from previous years. 11 were able to space out the standards in such a way that would 12 12 Q. I'm sorry. For somebody like me, what's a 13 allow adequate time, but would allow us to get to every 13 curriculum map or a pacing guide? 14 single standard. So that was our objective. 14 A. You'll see, the next sentence there, it does say 15 Secondarily on the maps, we have the assessments 15 the development of curriculum maps. that are done each of the weeks. And you'll see this on the 16 That was one of the biggest results of the 16 professional development at that time, and the teachers spent 17 assessment system too. 17 18 Week one, we gave the beginning of the year an inordinate amount of time on this. 18 19 diagnostic assessment so we could determine, in the new Why don't I take just a minute just to show you a 19 materials: Where were the students? How were they doing? 20 sample -- that might help -- what a curriculum map looks 20

And then we gave weekly selection tests. And

you'll notice that line goes all the way across. Every week,

we gave a weekly selection test after the reading to see how

And then we also wrote down what our tutoring focus

well the students were doing.

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

like.

a way to get back to 16.

Then we'll come back to 16. So, maybe, if you have

The curriculum map is Exhibit 18. It's not the

whole curriculum map. We couldn't bring all of our

Page 141

was, if the students fell below and they were not able to be proficient in a particular standard or objective.

Then we have the NWEA cart. That's a system of instruction. I'll explain that when I explain the instructional system. It's actually a very elaborate system day cart.

What it does is it looks at the test. In this case, it looks at the NWEA test.

I'll address what that test is later, shall I?

Q. Yes

A. And then it identifies, for every student, every standard that they're weak in, and it specifies what you would do to intervene and make an intervention.

So that was one of our main intervention strategies and our tutoring focus for our students.

And then we knew we had to buckle down, the material that goes along with the Arizona Department of Education, the practice test. So we knew we had a lot of good materials.

And then the first -- at the top of the page, the first row that went across, you can see there the units of instruction. And they are all the Prentice Hall units of instruction.

So you can see that, week one, we started by an orientation and by giving the benchmark assessments.

the intervention.

So built into that was an intervention program, it was materials for the ELL students, it was materials for students who were limited in English, or for special ed. So it had a wide range of materials.

In addition to that, it had a very elaborate assessment system. The assessment system starts out with a benchmark assessment. They call it the beginning of the year benchmark.

That is a test that tests a wide array of standards; a wide array, very large. It's a large test. It's not just a couple little questions that you can memorize the answers to. It's a wide array.

We wanted to see, of that wide array of standards that students would be being taught that year, where did they stand at the beginning of the year.

So we gave them the beginning of the year benchmark assessment. And then we studied the data to look to see: Where did the students stand in their ability to be proficient at those common core standards that are being taught in 7th and 8th grade reading and math.

So we administered that.

- Q. Because of the nature of that test, the wide array, was it amenable to be used again?
 - A. Well, it was reasonable to use that one again

Page 142

It was a short week, but we said: We are not going to waste time and even go to the second week.

Q. Dr. Serapiglia, pardon me for interrupting.
You said something about benchmark assessment?

A. Yes.

Q. With the Prentice Hall, could you describe this type of assessment?

A. Yes.

Let me, first of all, describe Prentice Hall since we are talking about that.

That will be part of my instructional system because it is the instructional materials that we're using.

Prentice Hall actually partnered with the Common Core. Bill Gates funded them several years ago, and they were the first of the companies to design materials to specifically teach to the Common Core.

So they were one of the first research-based materials to teach to the Common Core.

One of the reasons we chose Prentice Hall, although it was a very high level material, we said, well, if we're going to teach to the Common Core standards, which are high level, we might as well have instructional materials to teach to the higher level standards.

So we purchased the entire Prentice Hall reading and math for 7th and 8th grade for the core materials and for

Page 144

Page 143

because it tested such a wide array.

It's not the kind of thing where there's four questions and then easy answers. It's a very complicated test

We were trying to figure out, if we were to give that test at the beginning and we were to give a test that tested a wide range of standards, as we got into the materials in a couple months, what would be the best assessment.

And it was determined that that was the best assessment that Prentice Hall had to be able to assess the statement standards in that wide domain. It's a wide domain of standards.

So we made that choice. I think it was a good choice because it gave us some idea that we made a little progress, but not so much, but it gave us some ideas.

We have not dealt with that test again. Now we're using the weekly selection test, which is a test that you give in reading every week after the students read their materials, and then we're using the lesson quizzes in math.

We are not using that beginning of the year benchmark test. We just knew we were going to use it that once, and then just see how they were starting to progress because it was very important to us to be able to group the students into groups of instruction.

Page 147 Page 145 is from a recent research article. I can just read it to 1 Some students getting more time. Some students 1 2 2 getting more instruction on specific objectives that we you. 3 It says --3 didn't want to not have that data. 4 MS. ANDERSON: Objection, your Honor, we're kind 4 Q. I'm afraid I jogged you off of your explanation of 5 of going into a significant portion of her testimony 5 the five systems. 6 being narrative. 6 Can we go back there, please. 7 I would object to that format. 7 A. This was the curriculum map. We deviated to see 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel? 8 the curriculum map. 9 MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, I'd be glad to ask more 9 What's important about this -- sometimes you do a professional development, everybody comes into the room, they 10 questions. 10 But in an administrative hearing, as we did this smile, they have doughnuts, they walk out, you had a nice 11 11 morning, I thought the narrative was more efficient and 12 12 13 got the job done. 13 We worked really hard for 12 weeks, and we have 14 I'll be glad to ask questions. binders, huge, that have all of this curriculum that we 14 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Continue, please. 15 developed. 16 BY MR. TUCKER: 16 All of the maps that show when the teachers will 17 Q. Okay. 17 teach each of the standards. All of the assessments. All of A. I think the question was: Was there any downside 18 18 the tutoring focuses. 19 to having a Common Core? We feel this is an example -- this isn't all. This 19 States that have used the Common Core have found 20 20 is an example of the results. that fewer kids are proficient on the Common Core because the 21 21 I think I started off where I deviated -- oh, I 22 Common Core are higher standards. 22 think I was on the professional development. 23 The way it's explained is that some of the Common 23 Q. Yes. 24 Core standards -- and I could be specific if I had enough A. On professional development, that's Exhibit 16, if 24 you don't mind going back to that, because that probably is 25 time -- like, for example, a standard that might have been 25 Page 148 Page 146 1 taught previously in the 9th grade might have been pushed 1 an overriding system that forms the basis for much of what down to the 8th grade or the 7th grade, pushed down lower, 2 2 we've done. 3 because we started with the Common Core where we wanted the 3 As you look in that first column, you can see -- I 4 students to be at the end of high school, and then you push 4 described already -- we did a curriculum evaluation. We did 5 those standards down so that students are being faced with or revision. We aligned them with the Common Core, college and 5 6 given the opportunity, whichever way you want to look at it, career ready. We developed curriculum maps in reading and 6 7 with achieving standards that were more challenging. 7 8 It's like we had the bar at maybe five feet last 8 We also developed them in language arts. Since 9 9 that isn't an area we are talking about here, we left the year, and now we raised the bar to six feet for our jumpers. samples out, and we based them on the Common Core. 10 But maybe our jumpers aren't going to be any better at 10 An interesting thing too about the Common Core 11 getting the six-foot bar just because we raised. 11 12 So we were energetic and wanting our student to 12 standards is that some people weren't using the Common Core 13 acquire more than just a little, and that could be a 13 standards because they were deemed to be too high of a bar. downside. But we're still going with the Common Core 14 14 People said: Why don't you just use the older 15 15 standards? standards. 16 O. And that might affect their scores negatively? 16 We were very, very energetic and saying we wanted to go with the higher standards and we wanted to go to the 17 A. It might affect their scores negatively because 17 18 they are definitely more complicated standards. 18 Common Core so we can do that. 19 I know some districts are thinking of opting out or 19 Q. Is there any downside to being energetic and using 20 States are thinking of opting out of those. 20 those standards? 21 A. Well, I guess the only downside is the bar is very Q. Thank you. 21 22 If you could, carry on with your identification of 22 high. 23 23 Q. So how does that translate to the students? the five systems. 24 A. I have an article -- I'll deviate one more time. 24 A. I'll try to do that in like two more minutes. Second row going across, we also did that, notice 25 25 I have an article from the New York State -- this

Page 149

the June 24 through July 11, part of the 12 full days.

And that was where we analyzed the data; specifically, the AIMS and the NWEA. We identified the subgroups, students in the lowest 25th percentile.

We determined specific areas of needs for the students, wrote those down. We did instructional planning.

We identified the progress monitoring. We identified the chapter tests and the groupings.

As you proceed through the professional development, you can see there was Pearson reading training; on the next page, Pearson math training; on the next page, writing training; Common Core standards training.

You can see it's spaced out periodically throughout the year.

RTI training, which is using your interventions to meet the lowest students.

On the next page, additional training on how to teach -- we really tried to focus on those students that were in the lowest 25th percentile.

We felt that they were the students in the greatest need, the most disadvantaged, and we really tried to say that we want to bring those students up, so we spent a lot of effort in staff development and instruction on that.

Q. Dr. Serapiglia, when you focus on the lower level students, can that hurt you in the assessments and

Page 151

Look at their scores on the test. What do we do next? How do you we realign our instruction? How do make changes? How do we change our subgroups?

So we had many sessions.

There was classroom observation and follow-up throughout the time, where we looked to see: Are the teachers using the curriculum?

That was done through the -- Ora giving the evaluation of the lesson plans because, on the lesson plans, it shows which standards they were teaching.

We looked to see: Are the teachers using the data? Are the teachers using the instructional practices? Are they using the materials?

So there was observation as time went on.

And then there's a plan, and the principal had considered this right from the beginning, as to having a very large yearly evaluation of the curriculum.

You know, you would keep notes, and everybody was directed to keep notes on how they would change things the next year.

But we had made a plan that there would be another large scale staff development in June of 2014 to look at the curriculum again to see: Did we make good choices? Is there anything we need to add to that curriculum because our students are still weak in some areas?

Page 150

proficiency scores and so forth?

A. Well, you know, some schools that I communicated with, they do something which may be smarter, in retrospect.

They'll say: I'm going to focus on the students not just the lowest, but I'm going to focus on the students just below proficiency, and I'm going to try to get them up to proficiency. I'm going to put, kind of, my eggs in that basket.

And I'm not saying that's good or bad. There's some goodness to that. It allows you to get your scores up a little higher.

I think, what we did is we put -- not all of our eggs. We certainly had 105 minutes everyday of reading and 60 minutes everyday of math.

We focused our intervention on the lowest 25th percentile. We brought those kids up a lot. They were brought up more than some of the other kids were brought up. That did affect our data, I think, ultimately.

Some other things that were on the professional development, you can see on Page 3, we used -- we had data work sessions, where we would evaluate the data of the students.

And who knows how many data work sessions there were. They were frequent.

We looked to see: How are the students doing?

Page 152

So we do have that plan.

And then there's going to be a data retreat again, in June of 2014, where all the data once again is looked at to see what other determinations we need to make about instruction.

- Q. Why don't you change the curriculum as soon as you get a piece of data?
- A. Well, I don't think that's the way you do a curriculum revision.

You attempt to work on it because -- like, for example, if one of your items in your curriculum is that the students will be able to find the main idea, and the students are real good at finding the main idea at the beginning, which our students weren't, you're still going to work on that over time.

You're going to teach that again and again. You're going to look to see who is having the lowest scores in that. And then you're going to give them additional experience.

If you switched out of that and said, "Well, I think that's too hard for them; maybe they just need to find the details instead of the main idea," I think that would be -- first of all, it would be dumbing down what you were doing, and we didn't want to do that.

And secondly, we wanted to have more data

Page 155 Page 153 assessment that's given in every State in The United States throughout the year to be able to determine how successful 1 2 and internationally. So we're going to report some of the are our students in this curriculum. 2 3 scores on that State assessment. And we thought that it would be really good to make 3 The first time we really had that score to be able 4 4 notes of what we were doing, you know, anecdotal notes; but, to report to you is from August -- actually, it was the 5 5 however, to do the major revisions in the June time frame. 6 September score. 6 Q. Okay. 7 We also gave that in December. You can see there 7 Maybe we can get on to the next system. were some students that did not complete that test. We had a 8 8 The student --9 little bit of time in January. A. The student assessment system, that's a huge one. 9 10 But the main time that we're going to be able to That would be Exhibit 32, or is that Exhibit 6? 10 get some data is going to be on AIMS, but AIMS isn't going to Do you have that there, Mr. Tucker? 11 11 12 be administered until April. 12 Q. Exhibit 32 In the meantime, we are attempting to look at the 13 A. Okay. This is Exhibit 32. 13 State standardized test NWEA to determine what kind of growth I'm so sorry if I've made any confusion. 14 14 15 we have of our students. It should say on the top of it -- Judge, I'll look 15 I think that's maybe an overview. I could go into 16 at yours and see if you're right with me. 16 great depth in any of those, but that's an overview of our 17 17 Yes, I can see it there. assessment system with the multiple assessments over time. 18 18 On that page is a summary of our system, and it Q. I think the overview is what we were looking for. 19 shows what we had planned to do -- and, by the way, we've 19 20 implemented every single part of this for reading, for math, Thank you. 20 for writing, and then the other standardized tests there --21 A. I'm trying to be brief. 21 22 Q. You're doing fine. 22 each of the months. 23 A. The instructional system is the next system I would 23 So you can see from this is it's multiple assessments. NWEA, which is a State standardized test. The 24 call your attention to. 24 Maybe a good place to start would be Exhibit 33, AIMS, a State standardized test. AZELLA, standardized test. 25 25 Page 156 Page 154 1 and that shows just our academic class schedule. 1 And multiple assessments -- DIBBLS, and then 2 MS. ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I think we have a 2 multiple assessments in classroom assessments related to the Common Core that Pearson and Prentice Hall developed, which 3 different Exhibit 33. 3 4 THE WITNESS: I really tried to be good at this. are the benchmarks. 4 5 Exhibit 22. 5 And then the selection tests. You can see, in 6 You're going to have the help me on this, reading, that the major way we were planning to evaluate 6 7 Mr. Tucker. Is it 22? 7 student progress throughout the year was to see how well they It's academic class schedule. 8 8 did on their selection tests. 9 I should have that book right in front of me, 9 That was one of the ways -- we tried to collect the 10 data on that the most because we thought that was the most shouldn't 1? 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. 11 11 reliable and the most accurate way. 12 And now you do. And so that's what we did. We collected data on 12 13 THE WITNESS: Now I do. 13 how well the students did on their selection tests, and what 14 Yes, it's Exhibit 22. I'm so sorry. 14 was the percent of accuracy they had. 15 Exhibit 22. And on math, the assessments that we used most 15 BY MR. TUCKER: 16 frequently were the lesson quizzes. At the end of every so 16 17 Q. Okay. 17 many lessons, there's a quiz. And by the way, these assessments have all been 18 A. In that exhibit is our class schedule. I would 18 research based. They're not like somebody sat down the night 19 like to at least start with that because that's part of what 19 our instructional system clarifies for you. 20 20 before and wrote up a few questions. 21 You can see that this is the first page, Exhibit These are the ones that are in the instructional 21 22 system designed by Prentice Hall that have been research 22, is for Grade 7. 22 And then the next page, which is Exhibit 23, is for 23 23 based, and those are the ones that we gave. The other assessment, you can see, NWEA, which is 24 Grade 8.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you do me a favor,

25

24

25

the Northwest Educational Association, it's a State

Page 159 Page 157 1 One other thing is we did reduce the 1 please, if you can. 2 2 We're trying to use prefixes because we've got some student/teacher ratio. 3 Sometimes, when we're teaching our students, in the 3 numbers for the Board and numbers for the Respondent. 4 THE WITNESS: Which prefix would you like me to 4 intervention system, students might be in a ratio of one teacher to four students because we thought it was important 5 5 use? 6 to have teachers to have -- teachers to be able to have 6 The Respondent prefix? 7 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: R or Respondent 22. enough quality time to address the skills those kids needed. 8 For that 45-minute block, the students might be --8 THE WITNESS: All right. 9 I can't say they always are. In many situations, they're in 9 Respondent 22 and Respondent 23. 10 a one-to-four relationship. It's a small group. 10 Thank you, Judge. 11 BY MR. TUCKER: 11 That is the instructional system. 12 Curriculum revision, that is a huge system. I 12 Q. Okay. A. Respondent 22 Exhibit shows that what we attempted think I described that very much when I described the 13 13 to do in our instructional system is increase the -- not only 14 professional development. 14 15 So I don't know if I want to take any additional the quality of the instruction by purchasing a new completely 15 time, but I do want to refer to that as one of our five 16 new instructional system, but we wanted to increase the 16 17 systems, the curriculum revision. 17 quantity of time. So that's the basis. 18 I don't believe it would be appropriate to review 18 There's two bases for our instructional system. 19 all that again. 19 One is increasing the quality of instruction, and the second 20 Q. I don't think it's necessary. I think you did deal 20 is increase the quantity. 21 We have reading now for 105 minutes for the total with that. I think it's understood. 21 22 A. We have one more system, and we call that the data 22 group in 7th and 8th grade, which is change from last year. driven instructional system and realignment of instruction. 23 I think it's probably an addition of like 40 minutes. I'm 23 24 To us, that's probably the most important ongoing 24 not quite sure. system that we have. And then we have a math block of 60 minutes per 25 25 Page 160 Page 158 1 day, which is an increase from the year before. 1 I'm going to look at Exhibit 35. 2 And then as you go across the page, you'll see from 2 One of the ways that I could describe to you what 3 we do during that system would be Exhibit R-35. 3 12:45 to 1:30, 45-minute block there, every day there is a 4 Shall I go ahead? 4 45-minute block, and we call it reading and math 5 BY MR. TUCKER: 5 intervention. 6 O. Yes, please. 6 So we've chosen to put into our instructional 7 A. I described before that we get the assessments 7 system not only additional time and additional quality but a time so that we can go back and provide additional teaching 8 8 according to the assessment schedule and plan. So when we 9 to those kids who were in the lowest 25th percentile. 9 give the assessments, we look at the results of the 10 10 We do have a period every day for that for reading assessments. 11 This is one example. This is what the Prentice 11 and math, and we are using that -- I believe we're using that 12 Hall system does to help us look at the results. So this is 12 well. 13 called the item analysis report. In addition to the quality, another way to describe 13 14 And this item analysis report is generated by 14 what we did for quality is, we -- I did allude to this. We 15 purchased the Prentice Hall Pearson entire instructional 15 Pearson Prentice Hall for this specific test. And if you look at it, you can see that the students -- it indicates for system, which has all of the materials for teaching reading 16 16 and math, all of the intervention, all of the assessments. 17 each student what their response was on the test. 17 18 If you look down at question one, the correct

answer is C, but three children did not have C.

Question B, the correct answer is -- question two,

What a teacher is looking at here is to see which

the correct answer is B. Four children didn't have that

of the questions did most of the students have difficulty

with so you can go back and provide instruction on those

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

correct.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It has professional development. It has on-line tutoring. Has ELL differentiated instructions. It's a very,

find what was the very best of the best of materials, and the

into purchasing that, and that's what we're using at this

Board was good enough to put a significant amount of money

We researched that before we purchased it to try to

very comprehensive system.

time for the instructional system.

Page 161

specific standards and then, in addition, which skills did some of the students miss, so you can go back and provide instruction on that.

So when I look at this item analysis and I look down the columns, I can see that many of the students missed Question 11, a number of them missed 2, a number of students missed 12, and the greatest number missed Question 14.

So I, as a teacher, know my job then is to go back and teach to those standards. so the instructional system that we design, the data driven decision, is on the next page.

If you look at the -- this is still part of this exhibit. So this is part of the second page of Exhibit R-35, You can see this is something that Pearson Prentice Hall generated.

So you they tell you Question 2, in Papa's Parrot -- that's the selection -- is a more general question for the students to be able to read fiction and be able to answer some general questions.

That helps you somewhat, but the next questions help you a lot more.

Question No. 11 shows that the students were not as good in being able to draw conclusions from the selections that they read.

So then what the teacher would know to do is to go

Q. I'm sorry, Dr. Serapiglia.

What do you mean you, regroup the students? What do you mean by grouping the students?

A. What we do is we say: Which students really need some intensive instruction? They're scoring much lower, and we need to be able to put them into the smaller groups that we talked about for those 45 minutes, and we need to really zero in on those standards that they're missing.

So we group those kids. We call them the lowest 25th percentile students. We made a subgroup for them, and we study their data specifically by themselves, and we call them our tier three students.

And they don't stay the same the whole year.

That can change based on how they perform on their test.

So what we do, at the end of the testing cycle, is we regroup the students as needed, if needed. Frequently, they do need to be grouped.

So the tier one of the students are either proficient or near proficient, and we usually let that be a little bit larger group.

And then the tier two is nearing proficient also.

And the lowest tier, the lowest 25th percentile, is our tier three.

I just wanted to point this out because we don't put our students into a track or keep them static, but we

Page 162

back and provide instruction on drawing conclusions after reading.

Question 12, the students had difficulty in distinguishing meaning. So the teacher would know to go back and do that.

And then Question 14 was a grammatical issue, using nouns correctly. And then the teacher would go back and teach that to the majority of the students, but then he also could go back, using the item analysis, and teach those specific objectives to those students who needed additional help in those area?

Q. So those would be the five systems that you wanted to tell us about?

A. Yes.

I wanted to mention one other thing about that system. I want to make sure I'm getting it right.

State Exhibit R-5 goes along with that system, which is the last of the systems. You know, this is my fifth one.

At each juncture of analyzing the data, doing the item analysis, trying to determine what to teach, looking at the materials, and seeing which materials will teach that, we also then regrouped the students as needed.

So on State -- not State.

Respondent R-5 --

Page 164

Page 163

allow them to move as they acquire their skills.

That's why it's a data driven system. We continuously update based on the data we get.

Q. Thank you.

I had one other general question before I wish to ask you some specifics. You've talked about NWEA several times.

A. Yes.

Q. Could you explain what you mean by NWEA and the relevance it has to this proceeding?

A. Yes.

When we did our DSP, we didn't have any current state assessment data. So we said, we're going to use the NWEA.

Let me first of all tell you which exhibit that information is. Let me double-check to make sure I'm telling correctly.

That's in our Exhibit R-27, would be the first page of that exhibit.

As I said, when we first did the DSP way back in October, we didn't have very good data.

We were trying to always be honest, so we presented our data that we had, which was not -- did not show as much growth as we wished.

On this chart, this is a printout actually from the

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

Page 165

NWEA norms. This is something that the NWEA prints out.

The NWEA is a State assessment, as I said. It's used by every State in The United States. It's used internationally. They say they've been around for 40 years, so it has a good reputation.

We did something interesting when we chose the NWEA. We chose -- we had a choice, when we were deciding, whether to use the standard NWEA, which tests the previous standards from the State of Arizona, or we could use the enhanced NWEA, which tests the Common Core standards.

So we made that choice to be challenging to our students, and we chose the NWEA that tests the Common Core standards.

So we are now testing our kids at a higher level than some people who are doing the exact same -- they're using a NWEA, but it's a different version.

So we are using the version that's called the Common Core Standard Version. The NWEA people were very clear to explain that to me.

Even though we did use that version, we got growth in three months, which we are so excited about.

It doesn't makeup for all the past, but it does show that with our systems in place now, we can make growth and we are making growth.

So I'd like to explain what this chart shows. This

Page 167

The way the NWEA people said it is it means that the students who met their goal are well on their way to meeting the end of the year goals. That's why they make the half-year goals. So you know you're on the way to meeting those; you're not falling farther behind.

What we found out was, for 7th grade math -- could I take a small drink of water?

Q. Yes.

For 7th grade math, 9 of the 17 students who took that NWEA test, and we compared their fall to their winter, three months, they improved.

One of them remained at the same RIT level. The RIT is the actual absolute score.

That meant that 53 percent of our students in math at the 7th grade met their half-year goal, which we were very thrilled. That's better than half.

We wanted it to be higher, but we said: 53 percent of our students met their half-year goal, and we know they're well on their way -- the way NWEA says it -- to meet their end-of-year goals.

In reading, they did even better. Maybe it's because we have more time in reading. I can't say for sure.

But 12 of the 17 students -- when NWEA used their norms from 2011, it was determined that 12 of the 17 students improved, which, as I say, by definition, they met their

Page 166

is just for this year. When you see fall, that is the fall of this year, and that is the month of September; winter was the month of December.

So we're talking about three months.

What this template does, what this NWEA test does, is it identifies the students that made improvement and those that didn't make improvement. We thought that was the most important thing we could find out.

First of all, what percentage of our students did make improvement on a State standardized test. And secondly which of our students did and which of our students didn't.

I think it's important to know what improvement is on NWEA. I was unclear about this myself until very recently.

The way NWEA defines improvement is it's whether or not the students have met their half-year goal, that goal which is established by the NWEA 2011 norms.

So my understanding of this, from the NWEA people, is the NWEA people develop norms for each student from their 2011 large sample, they determine where the student should be -- a typical student should be at midyear so that they're not falling behind.

And then they determine, yes, if they made improvement, if they met that half-year goal; or no, if they didn't make that half-year goal.

Page 168

half-year goal.

That means 71 percent of our students met their half-year goal in reading in the 7th grade. We were very excited about that.

That was the highest we'd ever gotten on a State achievement test for a while. That was in three Mondays. We felt really good about that.

On the next page -- I wonder if that goes to a different exhibit. I don't want to make any more mistakes on that.

Q. I believe you're looking for R-6.

A. So R-6 is the next exhibit that shows the NWEA scores for the 8th grade.

What that does is the same thing that it does for the 7th grade. It shows the percent of students who have met their half-year goal that was established by the NWEA 2011 norms.

And we found that 25 percent of our students met their half-year goals in math in the 8th grade, and 75 percent of our students met their half-year goals in reading at the 8th grade, and are well on their way to making -- the way NWEA says it: Well on their way to he meeting their end-of-year goals.

One other very important consideration that NWEA was very clear about, as we talked to them these last weeks,

Page 169

is that we -- in our zest for excellence, we chose to do another thing in addition to selecting the harder NWEA test. We actually used the BIE norms given to the school by the BIE.

Q. Dr. Serapiglia, what's the BIE?

A. The Bureau of Indian Education for the Navajo tribe.

That organization took it about upon themselves to say that instead of their norms being 50 percent achievement would be a cutoff point, they made their norms 60 percent.

So the BIE said -- in their infinite wisdom, they said: We want our kids to go more. We want them to catch up. We want them to grow more.

I understand their zest. What we did is when we used -- when we got this data, we used the norms that the BIE established, which is a 60 percent cutoff, rather than the norms that NWEA has, which I understand to be 50 percent cutoff.

We didn't go back and recalculate because those norms weren't in our software. The norms in our software were the 60 percent.

But I would imagine there would just be a slight increase of the number of students that were doing well, that were meeting their achievement goals, but this is an overview of their performance.

Page 171

When we look at the lowest 25th percentile -- and that's something this chart shows that the previous exhibit didn't show.

Remember, I said we made a subgroup just for the students who were in the lowest 25th percentile.

In the fall, this was not a single student who scored at or near proficiency; zero. So we started off with students in the 7th grade who were very limited in reading.

By winter, we had 44 percent of the students at or near proficiency, which is an increase of 44 percent.

It shows that we did some substantial improvement for all the students and even greater improvement for our lowest 25 percent of the students.

Q. Dr. Serapiglia, I think you've given us a good overview of what you've done academically and testwise and analysiswise.

In your experience with KDLO, did you come across other segments of the population there that were important to the educational achievement of the students that you might not typically think about?

A. Well, one I felt was very indicative of the school is I got to work with the whole staff at one time, noncertified and certified.

We were getting all the staff together at this time in a workshop at this time to see how we could all support

Page 170

I could go into more depth at the various grade levels, if needed, or I could just stop here with the overview of the --

I think that's sufficient.

Did you have any points to make in regard to Exhibit R-15 and the NWEA scores?

A. Well, this doesn't show the individual students who made progress or who made improvement. But this shows, is there an increase in the performance of the students during those three months.

So exhibit R-15 shows -- once again, it's the NWEA scores from the fall, which is September, to winter, which is December, and it's the percentage of students who were scoring at or near proficiency. We felt that was the easiest way to understand our data.

And we compared the percent of students who scored at or near proficiency at the fall and at the winter.

As you read across the rows, if you look down where it says, students, 7th grade, fall -- let me just clarify that for you. That is all students in the 7th grade.

Their fall scores showed that 47 percent of the students were at or near proficiency. And in the winter, 65 percent of the students were at or near proficiency, which was a 18 percent increase in the percentage of students who were at or near proficiency.

Page 172

the schools in learning.

We grouped the staff in groups. I remember this as distinctly as if it were yesterday, working with the bus driver.

The bus drivers said they were the most critical to the students learning because they picked those kids every morning.

They make sure that those kids are on the bus. They wait for them. They greet for them. They check with their grandmas. They go up into the mountains, on the dirt roads that no public school goes onto.

And they felt like they were the primary influence on the students achievement, which I felt very compassionate with them.

When I worked with the food service people, they had very interesting similarities. They felt that they were some of the most important people.

100 percent of our students are free and reduced lunch. If you have 100 percent of your students that are free and reduced lunch, that means you're feeding all of your students breakfast and lunch every day.

And those food service people said: We are making the difference because we are going so far out of the way to get the most nutritious meals.

Our students might not have a breakfast, they might

Page 173

not have a lunch, but we are providing something, and we know that's making a difference.

It was almost the same for security. They didn't say they were the most critical. They said they were very important because we have very few behavioral incidents.

We don't have gang fights. We don't have big fights. We don't have bullying.

A lot of people in security felt that it was because we have such tight security. We have full-time security people, we have cameras. They were very clear on that.

Last week, when I was at the school -- I didn't elicit this from the person, but the custodial person came up to me and she said: I want to tell you I come to this school 45 minutes early every day, before they pay me, because I want this school to be beautiful for my children. I want this to be the most beautiful school and the cleanest school so that the students could really learn.

And it is the most beautiful school.

So I got a real sense that this school isn't just one that is trying to do academics. Maybe if -- I can't do the maybes.

There is a community of support there that ranges more from just the teachers. The teachers, of course, are the core of it, the principal, administration, and the Board. very cohesive. They felt a part of each other. They felt

like they were a group.

When you go into their classroom, you can see that

When you go into their classroom, you can see that they help each other. They work cooperatively. They support each other.

They're not competitive. They're not trying to be mean. They're not trying to outshine one another.

They're trying to be harmonious. I see that's another issue that's happening up there.

Q. Thank you, Dr. Serapiglia.

MR. TUCKER: I have no further questions for you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel, do you have cross?

MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. ANDERSON:

- Q. You're involvement with KDLO charter school did not occur until June of 2013; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. In the work that you referenced, the meeting in June or July, that was not just the KDLO charter school, but that was also with grades 1 through 6 that are not part of the charter school; is that also correct?
 - A. Correct.

Page 174

But there is this community of people that, I believe, know the children, they've known them for years, they call them by name, they know their grandmas, they know their uncles, they know whether they're coming to school.

By the way, we have a very high attendance rate because of what the bus drivers do. So there are intangibles.

We provide health services. We have a full-time nurse. We have many of our students who are -- they could be having health problems.

They could be undernutritious. They could have health problems. We attend to their health problems. We attend to their social emotional problems.

In Kyrene, when I worked for them, I noticed there wasn't as much support needed for the all the rest of the kinds of issues a student would need.

For these students, we had to talk about how they got there in the morning, whether they were fed, whether they had dental.

Some students didn't have their glasses. We purchased glasses for them. Some students needed their teeth pulled.

We found there was a large community, and that community was very cohesive. And the students, I feel, were

Page 176

Page 175

Q. I believe it was your testimony that part of what you did in the workshop was to look at the curriculum, to look at the assessments, and the curriculum and assessments were not aligned.

You referred Common Core standards. But Arizona calls them the Arizona College and Career Ready Standards, correct?

A. Correct.

And I'm willing to call them that also. We abbreviate them CC Standards. So Common Core, Career College, we're willing to call them that.

- Q. So the reading and math curriculum at KDLO was not aligned to the College and Career Ready Standards when you became involved with them in June of 2013?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Dr. Serapiglia, isn't it correct that the transition schedule under which Arizona public schools were required to have full implementation of the College and Career Ready Standards for 7th and 8th grade English language and arts was for the 2012-2013 school year?
 - A. If you have that so, I will say yes.
 - Q. Would you like me to show you this?
- A. If you'd like, yes.

MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, we'll stipulate to that. THE WITNESS: I'll stipulate to that.

Page 179 Page 177 didn't say: We're going to have an additional 45 minutes for 1 1 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. 2 those students. 2 BY MS. ANDERSON: 3 Yes, that's correct. That additional 45 minutes Q. Actually, for the 8th grade, the Department of 3 was developed in the 2013-14 schedule. Education mandated full implementation of the English 4 4 Q. In terms of what you understand to be the Charter language arts and literacy, Arizona College and Career Ready 5 5 Board's concerns about what KDLO did or did not demonstrate Standards, by the '12-13school year; isn't that correct? 6 6 with regard to its professional development, is it your 7 A. Correct. 7 8 understanding that the Board's concerns with regard to 8 Q. So for English language arts and literacy for professional development that KDLO just wasn't doing enough reading, KDLO was two years behind in implementing the 9 9 College and Career Ready Standards for 8th grade reading, 10 10 A. Well, as I testified, I believe KDLO, in the year 11 11 correct? 2013-14, is doing an extraordinary amount. 12 A. Did you say the '12 to '13 year? 12 Q. Okay. But my question to you was: Is it your 13 13 Q. Yes. 14 understanding, as you sit here today, that the Board's A. They are now in the '13 to '14. 14 15 concerns about KDLO's professional development was that it O. They're currently in the '13-14 school year. 15 16 wasn't providing enough of it? So if the Department of Education mandated full 16 A. Are you talking about the '13-14 school year or at 17 implementation of Arizona College and Career Ready Standards 17 for 8th grade reading in the 2012-2013 school year, then KDLO 18 a different time? 18 19 I wasn't clear on the time span you're talking was two years behind in implementing the Arizona College and 19 20 Career Ready Standards for reading. about. 20 A. Do you say there's two years between '12 and '13, 21 O. At the time that the school was required to 21 22 demonstrate that it was making sufficient progress, which and '13 and '14, or is it just one year? 22 23 would have November 2013. 23 Q. I'm sorry. 24 A. In November 2013. 24 One full year behind? By that time, November 2013, a professional 25 25 A. One full year. Page 180 Page 178 development plan was already in place. Q. So their full implementation should have occurred 1 1 So by November of 2013, I believe there was already 2 2 last school year? a very substantial plan in place where, probably, there was 3 3 A. Yes. 4 like 13 days of professional development already done by And I don't mean to say that they weren't -- I'm 4 5 November 2013. saying that they weren't completely aligned, and that doesn't 5 6 Q. The five systems that you spoke about -- actually, 6 mean that they didn't have similarities to it because the 7 if we refer to KDLO's Exhibit 16, when was this document State standards have many similarities. 7 8 created? 8 This is true, they were not completely aligned at 9 A. This is a professional development. 9 that time. They were partially aligned at that time, but not I would say, probably, within the last month or 10 10 completely. So it is true, it was one year later that they 11 two. I can't tell you the exact date. 11 12 We had planned to --12 became fully aligned. Q. But the requirement by the Department of Education 13 Q. Thank you, you've answered my question. 13 was that, for 8th grade reading, schools have fully aligned 14 A. Thank you. 14 15 Q. I thought it was your testimony on direct their curriculum to the Arizona College and Career Ready 15 examination that in terms of KDLO's use of the beginning of 16 standards in the '12-13 school year? 16 the year assessment and the Prentice Hall, that that was not 17 17 A. Yes, you said that. Q. I believe it was your testimony that prior to your 18 something they were going to be using more than once? 18 19 A. Yes. involvement with the school, that the school had not set time 19 20 They were going to be able to use that the first aside to specifically instruct the bottom 25 percent of its 20 time, and then to see how they did in a couple of months from 21 21 students? A. That would have occurred -- the intervention would 22 22 23 Are you talking about reading? 23 have occurred within their regular time for reading and math, so that it would have occurred within the time. 24 Q. Let's talk about reading. 24 25 How often is KDLO to be administering the beginning 25 They still provided some instruction, but they

Page 183 Page 181 Q. Isn't it in fact correct that the whole basis 1 1 of the year test? behind the implementation of the College and Career Ready 2 A. That test tests a wide range of domains. They 2 Standards are that students were going to college, and they 3 tested them once, and then in a couple of months, and that's 3 require -- even though they were graduating from high school, 4 4 they were still requiring remediation in college? Q. But it looks like, pursuant to School's Exhibit 32, 5 5 A. Yes, certainly. 6 that 7th grade, the assessment plan and schedule is --6 Q. The standards are more rigorous standards to 7 MR. TUCKER: Excuse me, could you let me get to the 7 provide students to be ready for college and a career? 8 exhibit, please. 8 A. Yes, that's correct, that's my understanding of 9 THE WITNESS: Assessment schedule. 9 10 MS. ANDERSON: School's Exhibit 32. those. 10 Q. And these College and Career Ready Standards were 11 BY MS. ANDERSON: 11 adopted by the State Board of Education in June of 2010, Q. That the assessment plan was for the school to 12 12 correct? assess the beginning of the year benchmark for reading in 13 13 August of 2013 and again in October of 2013? 14 14 Q. You mentioned about some schools possibly opting 15 A. Correct, those are the two that I talked about. 15 out of the standards, but that's not an option for Arizona 16 Q. And then for math, that they were to administer the 16 school districts and charter schools, is it? 17 beginning of the year course assessment in August of 2013, 17 A. Not at this time. 18 administer it again in October of 2013, administer the 18 I know that the legislature was considering it. I beginning of the course assessment in December of 2013, and 19 19 read in the newspaper that they were looking at that as a then administer it again at the end of the year in May of 20 20 21 possibility. 2014? 21 I think a bill came up before the legislature, and 22 22 A. Yes. they did not hold up that bill. So right now, it is not a So when you asked me the question and I said it was 23 23 possibility; that's correct. about reading, we planned reading the two times, which show 24 24 Q. I believe it was your testimony that the data shows 25 25 up here. Page 184 Page 182 that KDLO brought up the bottom 25 percent performing And then the math is even a wider range. That test 1 1 has every standard that the students are supposed to learn 2 students a lot. 2 Does that mean -- was that your testimony? 3 3 that year. A. Yes. 4 Q. But has the school stuck to this schedule? 4 Q. Does bringing them up a lot mean they brought them 5 5 A. Let's see. 6 up to proficiency. I'm going to have to look at my data on that. I 6 A. I don't believe they're at proficiency yet. That 7 know they stuck to the lesson quizzes. 7 8 was just in three months. As far as my knowledge is, they've been sticking to 8 So my testimony was: In that short three-month 9 9 the schedule very firmly. period, on the State standardized test, the bottom 25 percent 10 I'm going to have to revert to looking at my data 10 in reading increased 44 percent. 11 on that. I don't want to give a wrong answer. 11 I think that was my testimony. 12 Q. In any event, the test that would be administered 12 Q. When you say a State standardized test, we are 13 is that same beginning of the year test that's readministered 13 talking about the Northwest Education Association test? 14 multiple times? 14 A. Correct. 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. This isn't a test that the State of Arizona has 16 As I said, it's a very wide range. It's like if 16 17 adopted, correct? you were going to administer the AIMS --17 18 A. Has adopted? Q. You've answered my question. Thank you. 18 Q. The Statewide assessment that's adopted by Arizona 19 A. Yes. 19 is the AIMS test? 20 Q. It's my understanding that your testimony was 20 A. Yes. 21 that -- in fact, you used the word "energetic," that KDLO was 21 The BIE, which our school is under the BIE, Bureau 22 energetic in its wanting to use the Arizona College and 22 of Indian Education, they have adopted the NWEA as one of the

major assessments because that goes across all States across

23

24

25

the nation.

Career Ready standards and align their curriculum to those

standards because those standards are more challenging?

23

24

25

A. Yes.

Page 185 Page 187 1 1 2013-2014 on the NWEA? The BIE -- since the BIE goes over several States, 2 they wanted to use an assessment that went over several 2 A. What were the figures you just gave me, just so I'm 3 3 States. clear? 4 Q. The figures I gave you were the ones in School's 4 So the BIE has mandated, more or less, that our 5 5 school, a charter school, adopts and gives the NWEA Exhibit 15. 6 assessment three times a year. 6 A. Do you mind telling me them one more time? 7 7 Q. 47 percent in the fall, 65 percent in the winter, Q. But the charter school isn't an BIE school, 8 8 and an increase of 18 percent. correct? 9 9 A. That's a different exhibit. A. It's not, but we wanted to continue to abide by 10 those kinds of requirements because our kids at the lower 10 O. This indicates the percentage of students scoring 11 at or near proficiency, comparing fall or winter, correct? 11 grades were with the BIE. 12 We could have not done that, but it seemed sensible 12 A. For all students. 13 so we could look at the students from 6th grade, 5th grade, 13 The exhibit that you just directed me to is for the 14 lowest 25th percentile. 14 7th. 15 15 It would give us a metric that we would be able to Q. Are you looking at Exhibit No. 9? 16 use. We looked at that as a valuable metric for us. 16 A. Let me make sure. Let me make sure I'm doing it 17 O. Let's look at one of the exhibits that pertains to 17 correctly. the proficiency of the lowest 25 percent students at KDLO on 18 I believe I'm looking at 9. 18 the NWEA test from fall to winter. 19 19 Q. It's page number -- there's a page number, and it 20 If you would, please, look at School's Exhibit 11. 20 says Page 12 at the bottom corner of the page. 21 A. All right. 21 A. All right. Ask your question one more time. I'm Q. The lowest 25th percentile of 8th grade students 22 22 going to listen carefully. 23 Q. We need to have you to the right exhibit. 23 performed at the basic level, correct? 24 A. Let me just look. 24 A. Yes, we do. If you ask me again, I'm going to make 25 sure I'm on the right exhibit. 25 That's correct. Page 186 Page 188 1 Am I at the right exhibit? 1 Q. It reflects, on the winter NWEA, that again a 2 Q. You need to be at School's Exhibit 9. 2 hundred percent of the lowest 25th percentile of 8th grade 3 3 A. Does it say: Reading NWEA lowest 25th percentile? students in reading performed at the basic level? 4 4 A. That is correct. 5 5 It says 7th grade reading NWEA. Q. There is no movement of the lowest 25 percent in 6 6 8th grade reading out of the basic level? It doesn't say: Lowest percentile. 7 7 A. That is correct. A. Okay. 8 There was only a movement of 44 percent in reading 8 MR. TUCKER: Is there a Bates number? 9 9 at the 7th grade, 29 percent in math at the 7th grade, and 25 MS. ANDERSON: Yes. 10 10 percent at the 8th grade. That's 12. 11 MR. TUCKER: It's Bates No. 12, Dr. Serapiglia, in 11 On my chart --12 12 Q. Ma'am, I appreciate you, but you've answered my the bottom corner. 13 13 THE WITNESS: Page No. 12. yes. 14 A. Thank you. 14 I thought I did this carefully. 15 Q. If you would, please, refer to School's Exhibit 15 Thank you, Mr. Tucker. 16 16 Just a moment. 15. 17 17 Okay, yes, there it is. All right. A. Yes. 18 18 O. It reflects, for 7th grade reading, all students BY MS. ANDERSON: 19 19 moved from 47 percent to 65 percent in winter, and you Q. School's Exhibit No. 9, which is 7th grade reading 20 proposed that that's an increase in student proficiency of 18 20 NWEA for 2013-2014 shows that, in the fall, six percent of 21 21 the students scored on NWEA in the proficient level? percent? 22 22 A. Correct. A. Yes. Q. Let's look at Exhibit No. 9 -- School's Exhibit 23 Q. And in the winter, zero percent of the 7th grade 23 24 students scored proficient in reading? 24 No. 9. 25 25 A. That is correct. That's the 7th grade reading performance in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 191 Page 189 scoring at or near proficiency; and in the winter, there were 1 Q. And the increase was from between the near 44 percent students scoring at or near proficiency with an 2 proficient -- or, from the basic to the near proficient, increase of 44 percent, correct? 3 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes, many of our students went from basic to near Q. If we look at School Exhibit 8, which is the 7th 5 proficient; that's correct. grade reading NWEA, lowest 25th percentile, a hundred percent Q. Even the students that moved from basic to near 6 of the students remain --7 proficient, they're still not proficient on the skills being 8 MR. TUCKER: Sorry. tested in NWEA, correct? Could you allow her to get to the exhibit, please. 9 A. No. BY MS. ANDERSON: 10 That's why we call them near proficient. Because Q. A hundred percent of the students still remain, in it's only winter, and they're near proficient, and it was 11 the winter, as basic or nearing proficient; there are no 12 only three months. students that achieve proficiency? 13 That's correct, they're not proficient yet. But A. I'm going to explain this one more time so I can 14 they're near proficient. 15 try to be as clear as I can. Q. But the increase that's reflected on School's This table -- the figure on this table -- each of 16 Exhibit 15, the increase of 18 percent -the percents is an addition off the percent of students who 17 A. Do you want me to explain that? scored at or near proficiency. Q. -- that is saying students at or near proficiency, 18 So it's an addition of those two categories. 19 when what School's Exhibit 9 is showing is that that increase Q. But there were no students that scored at 20 is still -- those students are not at proficiency; they're proficient, according to --21 near proficiency? A. That's right. That's why it says 44 percent. If 22 A. That's why, when you look at the first line, at the there were 5 there, it would be 49. 23 title of that table, it's the -- the figures -- the percent So what was done to derive this chart is the number 24 figures are the percent of students who are scoring at or of students who were near proficient or at proficient was 25 near proficiency. Page 192 Page 190 zero, which is very substantial, in the fall. Q. None of the 7th grade reading -- none of the 1 And then if you add 44 and 0 at the winter, that 2 students taking the 7th grade reading NWEA scored at came to 44 percent, which is what we have on our chart, which 3 proficient in the winter? is an increase, if you subtract 44 minus 0, of 44 percent. 4 A. Right. So our chart is trying to represent that the 5 When this was determined, it's the percentage of percentage of students who scored at or near proficiency 6 students at or near proficiency. 7 So if you look at the fall, there were 41 percent Q. But the 44 percent are students that are scoring 8 near, 6 percent at. And if you had 41 and 6, that comes to 9 near proficient? 47, which is the figure in the fall. 10 A. Yes. If you look at the winter, near proficient is 65, Q. None of that 44 percent scored proficient? 11 proficient is 0. Adding 65 and 0 comes to 65. A. Totally correct. The percentage of students -- comparing the percent 12 That's why we call it at or near. 13 who were at or near proficiency from the fall to the winter Q. But it's not at proficient because proficient has a 14 increased by 18 percent. different color and a different category entirely. 15 It went from 47 to 65. It's just a subtraction These are all students that are near -- they are 16 problem. 65 minus 47 is an 18 percent increase. 17 nearing proficient or basic. Q. But it doesn't account for the six percent of the There are no students reflected in School's Exhibit

8 that show that they are performing at proficiency?

A. I really understand that, and I can see that quite

I totally understand that there is 0 proficient

there. I can see that very clearly too, just like you can.

That's the students who scored near or at. That's

clearly. That's why I said it's an addition of 44 plus 0.

44 plus 0 comes to 44 percent.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students who were proficient who then moved backwards and

either went into the near proficient or the basic?

What we attempt to do with this chart --

Q. Thank you, you've answered my question.

And for the 7th grade students -- in School's

percent, in fall, you indicate that there were zero students

Exhibit 15, the 7th grade students for reading, the lowest 25

A. You're correct.

The purpose of this wasn't to show changes. It was

24

25

24

25

weeks ago.

Q. On what assessment are the students grouped by

Page 199 Page 197 What this exhibit is reflecting is showing the 1 to just show that it does change, but not to document the 1 improvement, which is which students met their half-year 2 2 types of changes. 3 goal. That's what this shows. If you want that data, you want us to get that, I'm 3 O. So a student can improve a score of one point and 4 sure we could get that. It wouldn't be hard to obtain. 4 5 have met their half-year? That wasn't the purpose of this particular exhibit. 5 A. In that particular case, yes. Q. Refer to School's Exhibit 27. 6 6 7 In some cases, if you notice student 11, theirs was 7 A. Yes. 8 between 220 and 232. Q. I believe it was your testimony that the yeses and 8 9 So it's not always the same. the noes in the improved column was based on whether 9 That's why, when you ask me what's the number, 10 10 students, between the fall and winter administrations of sometimes it's quite divergent. So it depends on the 11 NWEA, met their half-year goal; is that correct? 11 12 individual students. 12 A. Yes, that's correct. This is all done through their norm data that they 13 Q. How many points is their halfway goal? 13 designed in 2011. So the school doesn't manipulate this. 14 A. It could vary, depending on the students, depending 14 This all comes out of the software that NWEA has 15 on the subjects. 15 16 produced. 16 Several. O. In School's Exhibit No. 27, it doesn't tell us what Q. For Student No. 13, Student No. 13 went up one 17 17 scores fall within the basic, what scores fall within the 18 18 point? 19 nearing proficient, correct? 19 20 A. No. That wasn't it's purpose. Q. Student No. 13 is indicated as having improved by 20 The purpose of this chart is to show which students scoring one additional point between fall and winter, right? 21 21 met their halfway goal and they're well on their way to 22 22 23 meeting their end-of-the-year goal. What that really means is, in the fall, they were 23 24 That's the whole purpose of this. 24 very high scoring. 25 That's the way NWEA stipulates it in their So yes, that does show that in that case it was a 25 Page 200 Page 198 small number. Probably because they were so proficient in 1 literature. 1 2 When I spoke to the NWEA representatives, that's 2 the beginning. 3 the way they explained it. Q. But we don't know what the proficiency cutoff score 3 Q. Let's look at School's Exhibit 14. 4 was for the math NWEA 7th grade scores, correct? 4 5 A. It doesn't show that here. I could go back. A. All right. 5 6 O. This appears to reflect 8th grade math This actually, as I said, is a software printout 6 assessment of the NWEA fall and winter administrations, 7 7 that NWEA prints out. The students take the test on-line. The tests go 8 correct? 8 automatically into the recording system. NWEA's norm data 9 A. Correct. 9 Q. And of the students listed in the school's Exhibit 10 automatically analyzes the data, and then prints out these 10 14, only one student made any gain from the fall to the 11 11 documents for us. winter administration of the 8th grade math NWEA assessment, 12 12 So the staff doesn't do this based on knowing what 13 the cutoff score is. The software or NWEA does this. correct? 13 14 Q. NWEA scores students in four categories; basic, A. That's correct. 14 nearing proficient, proficient, and advanced; is that 15 That was very disappointing results for us, and we 15 knew we had to do much more in that area. 16 16 correct? 17 Gave us a lot of good information about what to do 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So while School's Exhibit No. 27 indicates that 9 next. 18 Q. But in looking at the winter scores of the four of 17 students improved, it doesn't reflect whether they 19 19 students for whom scores are provided, between fall and 20 started off in basic and they still remained in basic or 20 winter, other than this one student that scored 219, the whether they moved to a different level, does it? 21 21 remaining students remained at the basic level of 22 A. What NWEA is attempting to do --22 23 performance, correct? Q. What I'm asking you is: What is reflected on 23 24 A. Yes, this is correct. School's Exhibit 27? 24 25 This is very difficult for us to accept because we 25 A. Yes.

	Page 201		Page 203
1	wanted greater improvement, and we know we need to stress	1	Honor.
2	this more, and that is where we were within the three-month	2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any redirect?
3	period.	3	MR. TUCKER: No, Your Honor.
4	Q. It appears that under the NWEA system, you said it	4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
5	sets points for goals?	5	You may step down.
6	A. Yes, sets half-year goals.	6	MR. TUCKER: I call, for my next witness, Ms. Ora
7	Q. It looks like that the year RIT goal for each of	7	James.
8	these students in the column year RIT goal is six.	8	THE WITNESS: I'll leave this up here for her.
9	So does that mean that if these students	9	
10	A. The number of	10	ORA JAMES,
11	Q increase by six points, that they will have met	11	having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary
12	their yearly goal?	12	Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was
13	A. Were you looking at the bottom, where it says the	13	examined and testified as follows:
14	number of students?	14	MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, I don't intend to call
15	Q. No.	15	Dr. Serapiglia again.
16	I'm looking at the top portion of the table, where	16	May she stay?
17	it says: Growth goals at 60th percent.	17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: She may.
18	And there's a column that says: Year RIT goal.	18	MR. TUCKER: Thank you.
19	And there's the number 6 next to each student's	19	Your Honor, if I may, may Ms. James, pursuant to
20	name.	20	Navajo custom, introduce herself in English and also
21	A. Yes, I believe, in that case, that would be a	21	Navajo and identify her clans?
22	growth of they're expecting yes, they must be expecting	22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sure.
23	them to grow, yes.	23	MR. TUCKER: Thank you.
24	Q. So if they improved six points from their fall	24	THE WITNESS: My name is Ora James.
25	score to the end of the year, they will have met their year	25	And I'm the principal of Kin dah Lichi'i Olta.
	Page 202		Page 204
		1	And my clans are I'm going to say this in Navajo
1	goal, correct?	1	because we are you know, that's the way we introduce
2	A. That's what this chart seems to say. That's what I	2 3	ourselves
3	understand it to say.	4	(The witness introduced herself in the Navajo
4	Q. Other than for student KS, who is just nudged into	5	language.)
5	the nearing proficient level, even the achievement of all of	6	ranguago.)
6	these students goal of six points will continue to put these	7	EXAMINATION
7	students in the basic performance level, correct?	8	BY MR. TUCKER:
8	A. Unless we do something different.	9	Q. Thank you, Ms. James.
9	The whole purpose of this is to do something	10	Could you give The Court a little bit of background
10	different.	11	about your education and professional career?
11	Q. What I'm saying is: Under the NWEA system, if	12	A. My background is I'm a graduate from University
12	they achieve their year goal, these students will still	13	of Arizona in education, and Northern Arizona University as
13	remain at the basic level of performance other than student	14	reading specialist, and as a reading specialist, special
14	KS?	15	education, and education administration, also, University of
15	A. No, I don't think they would all remain at the	16	Phoenix.
16	basic level.	17	Q. And you're presently employed by KDLO in what
17	Some would go to the near proficient. They might not go to the proficient, but they	18	capacity?
18	wouldn't all remain basic.	19	A. I was employed with Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' before,
19	Q. But none would reach the proficient?	20	back in 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, for four years as a
20	A. Right, they might not reach the proficient.	21	principal.
21	So their goal what NWEA did	22	After that, I had a break. I worked with
22	Q. You answered my question.	23	Greasewood Springs Community School, a grant school. An
23	Q. You answered my question. Thank you.	24	then I worked at Rockpoint, as a contract school. And also
24 25	MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions, Your	25	at Ruparant, as a grant school, also a contract school now,
23	MG. MIDEROOM. There he future questions, Tour		•
1			The second of

KIN DAH LICHI'I OLTA/3-18-14 Page 205 Page 207 1 long, the next day, and they were there with us, and they met 1 as well. 2 2 So I have worked at different places working with with us. 3 And then later, they sent somebody else to work 3 the Navajo children. So that's my education background. 4 with us a month. Then they phase it out, a month, two weeks, 4 I've been into education for more than 43 years. 5 a week, so forth until we really got back on our feet. 5 I've worked as a special education teacher, a 6 At the time, they had a different format that they 6 regular teacher, and I taught as a reading specialist at 7 were using. I was very impressed with that format. I was 7 Greasewood Springs Community School. 8 Now I'm back at Kin dah Lichi'i, as of March 11. kind of like comparing the two right now, like what we're 8 9 using now compared to at that time. 9 So it's been a year that I'm back over there. 10 At that time, we really received assistance from 10 I was very surprised when I got back over there, 11 Arizona Department of Education. I was very impressed with you know, very concerned. So my plan is to really get back 11 12 12 in there because of the concern that I have for my Navajo 13 To this day, it's not like that. We had a team children, or native children, and our children. 13 14 that came out and just -- you know, we show them all the 14 I care a lot about them. I want them to be 15 documents, but not all of it was reviewed according to my, 15 educated right. They deserve -- you know, because they need our help, and we need to be there for them, to provide that 16 you know, presence there at the meeting. 16 17 I was kind of like upset, you know, with the whole 17 guidance for them. 18 thing. And I just thought, well, maybe just because we're 18 That's the bottom of my heart, and that's our 19 Native Americans and -- or something. Something went wrong. 19 mission at Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. 20 But anyway, back to I first got back to Kin dah 20 Q. Thank you, Ms. James. Lichi'i. When I first got back over there, first, of course, 21 21 You said contract schools and grant schools. 22 I had to evaluate the whole school. 22 Correct me if I'm wrong, you're referring to PL-936 for the 23 First thing I did was check the test scores. 23 Indian Self-Determination at contract schools, and then the 24 PL-100-297 grant schools that derive from those contract Q. Ms. James, I'm going to ask you to -- I think 24 Dr. Serapiglia told us about all we need to know about all 25 25 schools? Page 208 Page 206 1 that. 1 A. That's right. 2 What I'm going to ask you to do is talk about some Q. And they're predominantly -- those types of 2 3 of the actual realities that you face at your school that 3 schools -- some schools used to have charter schools combined 4 complicates your task. 4 with them, correct? 5 A. Can you repeat that again? 5 A. That's right. Q. I can. I will try not to be quite so wordy. 6 6 Q. I don't want to drag you through -- I don't want 7 you to take you through all of the other things and repeat The question I'm asking you is to relate to The 7 8 Court the unique problems that you confront at KDLO that you 8 what Dr. Serapiglia testified about. You were sitting here. 9 might not have at other schools. 9 Have you implemented what Dr. Serapiglia described 10 Dr. Serapiglia dealt with the educational 10 for The Court? 11 initiatives and so forth, so we won't talk about that. 11 A. Yes, I did. 12 The unique that issues that affect Kin dah Lichi'i 12 Q. In the implementation of those educational 13 endeavors, can you explain to The Court what kinds of issues Olta'. 13 14 A. Okay. Like I said, when I got back over there, 14 and problems you confront? 15 first of all, I went and checked into the data. And I was A. Well, like I said, I was at Kin dah Lichi'i between 15 16 very surprised, you know. 16 2005 and 2009, and the charter school was at performing at 17 First, it says that we were underperforming, then a 17 the time. One year, we were underperforming. But quickly, we 18 D, and then down to an F. 18

So I -- you know, we had to really quickly, you

up. So I contacted Dr. Serapiglia, and I told her what we

lot of fields in education, and she has helped a number of

know, do something about it because I know this was coming

I know Dr. Serapiglia is very knowledgeable in a

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were facing.

schools on the reservation.

had a system and worked very closely with Arizona Department

At the time, the system was very, very different to

team that came up and actually evaluated -- go into the

classroom, evaluate all the classrooms, you know, all day

At that time when we went underperforming, we had a

compared to what we're doing right now.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Education.

Page 211 Page 209 I have six boys and one daughter, and I try to put 1 So my point of view was to get a really good help, 1 the responsibility on them so they can grow up to be and have 2 somebody that's been dealing with the Navajo children, and 2 a really good education. 3 really get us back on the road. So we start -- we quickly, 3 That's how I believe in children. That's what I 4 you know, started working with that. 4 5 would like to do. And then the other thing that I found out was that 5 Whatever happened, that happened. I want to go 6 we -- when I got back there, we had a large number of 6 move forward, you know. There's a lot of things that 7 students that shouldn't be there. They were just taken in, 7 happened, but we can't keep pulling it back out and say: You 8 8 know, this is what happened. 9 I was objecting to that. But I did the best I 9 I'd like to move on with my students, all of my 10 could all the way from March -- of course, we did our 10 students at Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. assessment, AIMS testing, and then it was closing of school. 11 11 Like the time when the visit came back in 2007, I 12 So we had to do the professional development and 12 believe it was, or 2006, when the team came up to work with 13 13 all that. us, they actually went to the classroom. 14 So that's what happened. 14 And at the time, they told us: You work with And a lot of it has been covered by Dr. Serapiglia 15 15 kindergarten all the way to 8th grade on a consistent basis. 16 as of what we did with our 12 days of professional 16 Don't leave 7th and 8th grade aside. Don't leave these other 17 17 development. grant school students aside. Q. My understanding, just to clarify, that in March 18 18 I oversee the charter school, and I oversee the 2013, when you came to the school, you did discover that your 19 19 grant school. So they said: Keep it consistent all the 20 predecessor had, for lack of a better word, packed the 20 school, perhaps, for enrollment purposes, and you had to deal 21 21 And that's what we've been doing. 22 with that issue? 22 Just recently, we got evaluated by North Central 23 A. Yes. 23 Accreditation. They visit the school, all the way from K 24 There were a lot of principals that went through 24 through 8, every classroom, everywhere. the school. I don't know whatever happened. I really don't 25 25 Page 212 Page 210 They visit the classroom, talk to people, and they 1 1 know. evaluate all our documents, and we passed it, including 7th 2 For me, when I leave school, I don't take anything 2 3 with me. I try to leave it there for the kids. It's for the and 8th grade. 3 We were rated as, you know, outstanding and were students. That's my heart. I think about the students. 4 4 granted again for up to 2019. 5 And right now, I feel so bad. I want those 5 So that's my goal. I want to move on with the students to come back up, you know, and they can do it if you 6 6 7 students. 7 really monitor them. MR. TUCKER: I have no further questions. 8 Like I said, I had an oversight at the charter 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may cross. 9 school before, and that can be done. So I am planning on 9 doing that again, you know, if we're given the opportunity, 10 10 **EXAMINATION** 11 and the students can doing it. 11 And I have certified teachers right now, you know, 12 BY MS. ANDERSON: 12 Q. The team that you mentioned that came in and spent 13 highly qualified teachers. I really want to work with them 13 a couple days in there and went into your classrooms, that 14 and get those grades back up. 14 team was from the Arizona Department of Education, correct? 15 Q. Speaking of your students, what types of issues and 15 A. That's correct. 16 problems do your student population present? 16 Q. And that was a result of the school's having A. My students at the time -- you know, when you let 17 17 performed underperforming for the year 2007, correct? the children just do whatever they want to do, they can rule 18 18 A. That's correct. 19 19 the school. MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions, Your Behaviorwise, you know, they didn't have that much 20 20 21 Honor. interest in school. So when I got back in there, I started 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any redirect? 22 talking to them like the way I talk to my kids. 22 MR. TUCKER: No, Your Honor. 23 And I have a great belief in my own culture way,

Next I will call Ms. Christine Wallace.

Your Honor, Ms. Wallace just went down stairs.

24

25

23

24

25

the kids.

and the way I raise my own children. That's how I want for

Page 215 Page 213 Q. Ms. Wallace, how long have you been a School Board Mr. Key will go down and bring her up. 1 1 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. member? 2 A. I have been a School Board member going on my 7th Why don't we take a brief recess, stretch. 3 3 4 year this year. 4 Off the record. Q. How do you get to become a School Board member at 5 5 (Brief recess.) ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on the 6 KDLO? 6 7 A. We have an election every four years where we elect 7 record. 8 our community members to different positions that are open, CHRISTINE WALLACE, 8 9 just like the election process that you would go through with having been first duly sworn upon her oath by the Notary 9 10 the State and the counties. Public to speak the truth and nothing but the truth, was 10 I represent a community of a population of 1,600, 11 examined and testified as follows: 11 which is Kinlichee. That is where the site -- the school is 12 12 13 located. 13 **EXAMINATION** So I was elected into office by my community 14 14 BY MR. TUCKER: 15 members. O. Ms. Wallace, could you say your name, and The Court 15 is allowing Navajo people to introduce themselves, as is your Q. Ms. Wallace, could you explain to The Court a 16 16 little bit about your community and the students that come to 17 17 Would you, please, for the record. 18 your school? 18 A. Yes. Kinlichee is located in a -- I would say 80 A. Thank you, Mr. Tucker. 19 19 percent of Kinlichee is located in a remote area. 20 (The witness introduced herself in Navajo.) 20 We are primarily located at the -- what we refer to Thank you for allowing me to introduce myself. 21 21 22 as Defiance Plateau. 22 My name is Christine Wallace, and I am a Navajo, And the majority of our students -- I would say 80 23 representing the Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' School Board, as a 23 percent of our students are residents within the Kinlichee 24 24 member. community, which is the community that I represent. I have -- with respect to the native culture, I 25 25 Page 216 Page 214 1 And I would also say that 80 percent of our have introduced myself referring to the four clans of my 1 students do not have electricity or the modern conveniences 2 maternal/paternal clan, which determines who we are in our 2 3 of what most of us, maybe, take for granted. 3 Navajo heritage. 4 Most of the students don't have Internet. 80 Thank you for allowing me to do that. 4 percent of our roads are dirt roads. When it rains or when 5 5 Q. Thank you, Ms. Wallace. 6 it snows, most of the roads are impassable. 6 Could you give The Court a little background about We have one location in Kinlichee. The road is 7 7 what you do for a living. 8 paved, and then the dirt road begins. 8 A. Okay, thank you. Again, my name is Christine Wallace, and I am a 9 And we have about seven students that live just 9 10 right across the arroyo. We have a bridge that's been in the 10 school Board member with Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. process of being built for the past 30 years. The bridge has 11 I am by -- my main profession is working with the 11 12 not been built yet. Navajo Nation Government. I am a public information 12 13 It was unsafe for so many years that the Federal 13 officer. government decided that the bridge was unsafe for the bus to My main responsibility with the Navajo Nation 14 14 15 be traveling, so they closed the bridge down. And that Government is that I am the main point of contact for people 15 bridge has been closed for the past 10 to 15 years now. 16 that want to visit the Navajo Nation. 16 So it would be very easy for the bus just to cross 17 My job is similar to what the Arizona office of 17 that bridge just to pick up seven students. It wouldn't be 18 tourism is responsible for, promoting the State of Arizona. 18 19 no more than a fourth of a mile. 19 In this case, I promote the Navajo Nation. And in doing so, I promote our government, our 20 But in this case, Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' bus 20 drivers, the school, goes out of their way -- 11 miles out of 21 history, our culture, and pretty much just promoting the 21 22 their way just to pick up these students to make sure that entire Navajo Nation. That is my profession, and as a School 22 23 they're in school everyday. 23 That is the type of environment that most of our 24 24 I am a mother. I have four children. Again, also 25 students live in. a School Board member with Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. 25

Page 219 Page 217 that correct? To think about taking these students away from the 1 1 2 A. That is correct. charter -- or, you know I'm thinking: What are the 2 It is stated in our policy that we have to comply 3 consequences this will have on our students? 3 with all of our Navajo Nation laws. 4 It would have a lot of consequences. 4 Q. So your main job is to exercise general oversight 5 One would be that we will be displacing some 5 and leave the day-to-day operation of the school to the chief 6 families because the majority of the families that live there 6 7 executive officers? don't have a job, they don't have locations or different 7 A. That is correct. 8 places to move to. 8 Q. How do you exercise this oversight? So I think what would happen would be that some of 9 9 We have a monthly Board meeting, which we have the 10 the parents would have to make a choice of either moving in 10 second Wednesday of each month. 11 the location of where they're living at right now, which is 11 Q. In that monthly Board meetings, you get reports? Kinlichee, and possibly moving with other family members; or 12 12 13 A. Yes. worse case scenario would be, letting the father or mother 13 Q. I assume you take those reports as true? 14 take one or two of their children and living with another 14 A. Yes. We get written reports from all the family member, maybe, in a location closer to one of the 15 15 administrative and support staff. 16 16 public schools. Q. Pursuant to exercising your oversight, did you 17 So, you know, this is the type of environment that 17 finally find out that you were a failing school and in danger 18 some of our kids are living in. It's not so bad. Some of us 18 of revocation? are used to it. You know, we've lived there all our lives. 19 19 A. Yes, we did. 20 We manage. We just learned to live that way. 20 Q. When did you find that out? But these kids, you know, we have to make life 21 21 A. Our first notice came through the e-mail on better for them. And we as leaders need to do that. That's 22 22 September 13, 2013. 23 our responsibility as leaders, as elected officials. 23 Q. That was the notice to your school. 24 We are there for the kids. We should be there to 24 When did you personally find out? 25 make sure they get a good community education. That is our 25 Page 220 Page 218 A. The day that I received the e-mail, September 13. 1 priority and should be our priority. 1 Q. What action did your Board take at that time? 2 And if we can't offer that as elected officials, I 2 A. At the time the notices were sent through e-mail 3 don't see the purpose of being there as leaders if we can't 3 and thereafter, the following meeting, which was in November 4 do that for our kids. 4 we got a full report on the notices that we received. 5 Q. Ms. Wallace, what are your duties as a Board 5 Q. Did you know that you were failing and in danger of 6 6 member? revocation before that time? 7 A. My duties as a Board member is to -- we are the 7 A. No, we didn't. 8 main decision and policy maker of the school. 8 Q. You've talked a little bit about it. 9 We are responsible for making policies and laws, 9 Are there other consequences for your community if 10 and implementing those policies. 10 this school closest? 11 Q. Are you able to go in and make operational 11 A. Yes, there is. 12 12 decisions? The current school was first funded through the 13 A. If it is presented to us, yes, we do. 13 Bureau of Indian Affairs, and it was a boarding school. 14 Q. But if it's not, what is done? 14 The first school was built in 1932. And it has 15 A. If it's not, then we're never made aware of any of 15 been within the community of Kinlichee since 1932. 16 these operation duties. 16 Since then, in 1999, the school got additional 17 Q. If you go in and tell people which curriculum to 17 funding to build a new school because the other school 18 teach and how to do things --18 building, or the other school site, the buildings were A. That is referred -- well, we pretty much are told 19 19 getting too old. 20 that that is considered to be micromanagement. 20 So BIA determined that most of the buildings were 21 Q. As a public official, Navajo public official, 21 unsafe. So the school decided to build in a different 22

In 1999, they did open the new school, and it has

23

24

25

location, which they did.

been operating ever since.

you're subject to, in a grant school and a charter school,

Education, and your sponsor is the State Charter Board; is

of Kinlichee Education, and the State Department of

the Federal government, the Navajo government, the Department

22

23

24

25

Page 223 Page 221 What was your question again? 1 If you look at it in the sense of the community, Q. You didn't find out -- so when did you find out 1 2 the school has always been a historical part of Kinlichee that the Arizona Department of Education had assigned Kin dah 2 3 Lichi'i Olta' Charter School, 7th and 8th grades, a letter community. 3 4 And other consequences that we're going to face, 4 grade of an F? 5 again, is that the community will be losing a historical part 5 A. September 13, 2013. of what we have come to know as a school, Kinlichee School. 6 Q. That information was not from the Board of 6 Again, we don't have that much economic development 7 Education; that was from the Charter Board? 7 within our community. There is no economic development. 8 8 A. Yes. Majority of the parents are at home. Most of them don't 9 9 Q. Excuse me. 10 That information didn't come from the Department of 10 work. 11 So this is the students that we have at our Education; you said that information came from the Charter 11 school. If we're going to have to transfer to other schools, 12 12 Board? that would be like displacing an entire family to do and 13 A. It came from Martha Morgan. 13 14 attend other schools in different locations. Q. Did you have any information that for school year 14 15 MR. TUCKER: I have no further questions. 2012-2013 that Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' had an achievement 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel, you may cross. 16 profile from the Department of Education as a D? 16 17 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 A. No, I don't. 18 Q. And you were not aware that for the school year 18 19 EXAMINATION 2011-2012 that the Department of Education had assigned Kin 19 20 BY MS. ANDERSON: dah Lichi'i a profile of underperforming? 20 Q. I believe it was your testimony that you've been --21 21 A. No. this is your seventh year being a Board member, correct? 22 Q. Were you aware that the Department of Education had 22 23 a system by which they assigned letter grades or profiles to A. I'm sorry. 23 24 Did I say seven years? schools on a yearly basis? 24 Q. I thought you said you were in your seventh year? 25 25 Page 224 Page 222 A. No. 1 Q. When students at the charter school graduate from A. I'm sorry. 1 It was five years. I'm sorry about that. 2 7th grade, they -- excuse me, graduate from 8th grade, they 2 3 Q. Five years as a Board member? have to go to a district school; is that correct? 3 4 A. Yes, a public school; or, some of them transfer to A. Yes. 4 Q. So has the Board been receiving some reports from 5 5 other communities. 6 the charter school over the past five years? Q. But they have to make a choice of -- they have to 6 7 A. If there was any, it would have been our attend a school that is not on the reservation? 7 8 administrator that was receiving the notifications. 8 A. Yes. Q. So the Board had no responsibilities for the 9 We have Winslow Dormitory. There is also Navajo 9 oversight of the academic performance of this school? 10 Prep, where they do have dormitories. 10 A. We do have that responsibility as Board members. 11 MS. ANDERSON: I have no further questions, Your 11 Q. You said that you first found out on November 13th 12 12 13 about the school being a failing school. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Redirect? 13 14 What did you find out and from who? MR. TUCKER: No, Your Honor. 14 A. Actually, that was September 13, that was the first 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms. Wallace. 15 day that we got notice by e-mail from Martha Morgan. 16 16 You're free to step down. It was sent from Martha Morgan, to Board president, 17 MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, the school rests. 17 Linda Youvella. And then Linda Youvella forwarded that 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Which exhibits did the 18 19 e-mail to the Board. Board have objections to? 19 And then we got a full report during the next Board 20 I'm showing 1, 19, 20, 21, 33 and 34. 2.0 21 meeting, which is November. MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. 21 Q. So you weren't aware that the Department of 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Tucker, any response 22 Education had assigned Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' a letter grade 23 23 to the objection? 24 of an F in the fall of 2013? MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, I believe those exhibits 24 25 A. I'm sorry. 25

	Page 225		Page 227
1	have relevance. The Court may weight them however The	1	to operate KDLO be revoked.
2	Court deems appropriate.	2	The testimony of DeAnna Rowe, the Board's executive
3	I think 19, 20 and 21 merely were indicators that	3	director, established that for its student academic
4	the school hadn't looked at the 6th grade scores in	4	avivement during the 2010-11 school year, KDLO earned a
5	planning for the 7th graders.	5	State accountability label of underperforming.
6	Exhibit 1, 33 and 34 merely demonstrate, as I	6	For the '11-12 school year, it earned a State
7	talked about, the multitude of assessments, filings, and	7	accountability label of D.
8	curriculum that are possible.	8	And for the '12-13 school year, they earned a State
9	In my opinion, those exhibits are not absolutely	9	accountability letter grade of a third-year combination
10	critical to my case, but I think they're relevant to	10	of underperforming label D, resulting in its current
11	matters at issue.	11	State accountability letter grade of an F, failing level
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Those matters, I had no	12	of performance.
13	testimony on.	13	The Department, by statute, notified the Board.
14	I'm going to sustain the objection as to 1, as to	14	The Charter Board took a look at how the school was
15	19, as to 20, 21, 33 and 34 of Respondent's.	15	performing under its own academic performance framework.
16	Does the Board have any objection to any of the	16	Which in implementing its administrative
17	other exhibits that have either been discussed or not	17	responsibilities for the charter schools that it
18	discussed?	18	sponsored, it is required to adopt an academic
19	MS. ANDERSON: No, Your Honor.	19	performance framework.
20	We have previously stipulated to the admission of	20	The framework clearly defines what the expectations
21	those without any further foundation.	21	are for the charter schools it sponsors, and defines and
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.	22	provides a criteria under which for a school that does
23	R-2 through 18 are admitted, R-22 to 32 are	23	not meet the Board's criteria can demonstrate it's
24	admitted, and R-35, R-36 and R-37 are admitted.	24	making sufficient progress toward making those criteria.
25	(Respondent's exhibits, as listed above, were	25	The purpose of the Board's academic performance
	(ļ	
	Page 226		Page 228
1	admitted.)	1	framework is to communicate its academic expectations,
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I show that the Board's	2	to ensure that the charter school that it sponsors is
3	exhibits have all been admitted.	3	providing a learning environment where a measurable
4	Are you ready for closing statements?	4	improvement in student achievement, growth, and
5	MR. TUCKER: Yes, Your Honor.	5	proficiency can be demonstrated.
6	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	6	Ms. Rowe testified as to the measures and the
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Why don't we begin with	7	indicators that the Board uses in determining what a
8	the Board.	8	charter school's academic performance is.
9	MS. ANDERSON: In my opening, I said that by	9	Those measures and indicators are also used by the
10	statutory definition and purpose, a charter school is	10	Department of Education in creating and implementing its
11	established to provide a learning environment that will	11	own State accountability system.
12	improve pupil achievement.	12	Under the Board's academic performance
13	Unfortunately for the students at KDLO charter	13	expectations, a school overall rating can exceed
14	school, pupil achievement has fallen so far below that	14	standards, meet standards, not meet standards, or fall
15	of the rest of the State that its academic performance	15	far below standards.
16	fails to meet the expectations of both the Arizona State	16	A charter holder whose schools all perform at meets
17	Board for Charter Schools and the Arizona Department of	17	or exceeds standards for both of the prior two academic
1 -	Education.	18	years for which academic data is available, meet the
18	The continuing decline of its student's academic	19	Board's expectations.
18 19		20	And KDLO is a school that did not meet the Board's
19	performance is a clear demonstration that KDLO is late	1	t t t : t : t : t : t : t : t : t : t :
}	performance is a clear demonstration that KDLO is late	21	standards last year or, two years ago, and fell far
19 20 21	performance is a clear demonstration that KDLO is late to the drawing board.	22	below the Board standards last year does not meet the
19 20	performance is a clear demonstration that KDLO is late to the drawing board. And as a result, has been unwilling or unable to	22 23	below the Board standards last year does not meet the Board's academic expectations.
19 20 21 22	performance is a clear demonstration that KDLO is late to the drawing board. And as a result, has been unwilling or unable to provide a learning environment that improves its pupil's achievement.	22 23 24	below the Board standards last year does not meet the Board's academic expectations. So part of the process by which a charter school,
19 20 21 22 23	performance is a clear demonstration that KDLO is late to the drawing board. And as a result, has been unwilling or unable to provide a learning environment that improves its pupil's	22 23	below the Board standards last year does not meet the Board's academic expectations.

	Page 229		Page 231
1	in the Board's judgment, the school has failed to meet	1	performance expectations?
2	the academic performance expectations set forth in the	2	After the site visit, there is an additional 48
3	Board's academic framework.	3	hours provided to the school. Is there any other
4	The testimony of Ms. Rowe and, of course, the	4	documentation that you want the Board staff to look at
5	school's performance as reflected on its dashboard	5	in completing that subsequent evaluation?
6	clearly demonstrate that the school did not meet the	6	True, in it's demonstration process, Kin dah
7	Board's academic performance expectations.	7	Lichi'i Olta' not only could not demonstrate improved
8	So what we move on to next is: Did the school	8	student achievement but the assessment results that it
9	demonstrate it was making sufficient progress toward	9	was providing to the Board were flawed, and the school
10	meeting those Board's academic performance	10	could not demonstrate any analysis of the data that it
11	expectations?	11	did have available to it.
12	In order to do that, the Board has, again, in its	12	From its Prentice Hall reading and math assessments
13	framework, developed a process.	13	to the NWEA, the Northwest Education Evaluation
14	The process by which a school can demonstrate that	14	Association Assessment, Kin dah Lichi'i could not tell
15	it's making sufficient progress towards the Board's	15	us, and didn't tell us today, why are the vast students
16	academic performance expectations is exactly that, a	16	at Kin dah Lichi'i Olta', despite half a year of
17	process.	17	additional instruction at their school, continuing to
18	The first step is that the school submits a	18	fall below the benchmark of where they need to be
19	Demonstration of Sufficient Progress, or DSP, document.	19	performing,
20	The academic framework provides the criteria the	20	The answer to this question isn't just because of a
21	information the school needs to provide to do that, and	21	remote location, or because of the conditions under
22	the criteria by which the Board staff evaluates whether	22	which our students are coming to us.
23	or not the DSP meets those criteria or not, meets what	23	The answer to this, why are students not performing
24	is expected in the academic performance framework.	24	where they need to be performing, has to be determined
25	That DSP is there's an initial evaluation done	25	from the very first assessment, and from assessment to
i .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 230		Page 232
1	_	1	
1 2	of that. That information that was done in this case,	1 2	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or
2	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin	2	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we
2	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'.		assessment, looking at what particular concepts or
2 3 4	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation,	2 3	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we
2 3 4 5	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school	2 3 4	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward.
2 3 4 5 6	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether	2 3 4 5	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not	2 3 4 5 6	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable.	2 3 4 5 6 7	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school. Again, looking at the school, you told us what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet the Board's academic expectations, so the school can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school. Again, looking at the school, you told us what you had in place in this DSP document, show us how it is you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet the Board's academic expectations, so the school can meet the Board's academic expectations next year, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school. Again, looking at the school, you told us what you had in place in this DSP document, show us how it is you have it in place, and is there any other information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet the Board's academic expectations, so the school can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school. Again, looking at the school, you told us what you had in place in this DSP document, show us how it is you have it in place, and is there any other information that we need to talk about; in particular, our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet the Board's academic expectations, so the school can meet the Board's academic expectations next year, so these students are going to be on track to pass the AIMS test.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school. Again, looking at the school, you told us what you had in place in this DSP document, show us how it is you have it in place, and is there any other information that we need to talk about; in particular, our evaluation of your DSP document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet the Board's academic expectations, so the school can meet the Board's academic expectations next year, so these students are going to be on track to pass the AIMS test. The growth indicator of the Board's framework is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of that. That information that was done in this case, the initial evaluation, the results were provided to Kin dah Lichi'i Olta'. They saw, on the initial performance evaluation, what the concerns of the Board were, whether the school was in accordance with the Board's criteria, whether what they were providing was acceptable or not acceptable. Kin dah Lichi'i scored not acceptable in each of those measures. There were comments provided to the school. What were the failings in those particular areas. What was the failing in curriculum and instruction and assessment and professional development and the provision of data, what were the failings that the Board was receiving, why was the school receiving a not acceptable. The Board held a site visit at the school. Again, looking at the school, you told us what you had in place in this DSP document, show us how it is you have it in place, and is there any other information that we need to talk about; in particular, our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assessment, looking at what particular concepts or skills are the students not grasping, and how do we target those concepts or skills for that student as we move that student forward day-to-day, month-to-month, and we move the class forward. Through the demonstration process, KDLO could not and still can't tell us whether and how the bells and whistles that they instituted at the beginning of the school year, the newly purchased reading and math instructional materials, or the method of instruction that they are now using at their school, whether it has improved their student academic performance when compared to student performance at this time last year. We had some data that talked about various performance on various assessments this year, but there is nothing to show, how is this school's students performing this year compared to last year that shows that these students are going to be on the track to meet the Board's academic expectations, so the school can meet the Board's academic expectations next year, so these students are going to be on track to pass the AIMS test.

Page 233 Page 235 year to the next compared to the academic peers. 1 concepts or skills are KDLO students not grasping? 1 2 2 The Board's academic framework targets for the And how did KDLO realign its instruction based on 3 3 that data to target those concepts or skills as they meets standards rating sets that expectation that at 4 move forward in their day-to-day lesson plans and 4 least half of the students in the school are showing 5 5 instruction? growth that's greater than their academic peers across 6 KDLO provided no evidence with regard to this. 6 the State. 7 7 Through professional development, teachers learn Kin dah Lichi'i's growth percentile for its 8 8 new skills, and school administration needs to monitor students did not meet the Board's standard. 9 9 Only 39 percent of the students at the school those skills to ensure that those skills are being 10 10 implemented into student instruction. scored better than their academic peers in math on 11 The evidence has also shown that the school failed 11 AIMS. 12 to demonstrate that it was monitoring implementation of 12 Conversely, 61 percent of the students at KDLO did 13 the information or strategies that were being provided 13 not score as well as their academic peers in math on 14 to its teachers during professional development. 14 AIMS. 15 An effective system works together. An effective 15 In reading, 77 percent of the students at KDLO did 16 not score as well as their academic peers. 16 system demonstrates increased student growth and 17 17 proficiency. The dashboard is reflective also of school's 18 KDLO's system failed to demonstrate that what it 18 performance and other measures and indicators. 19 The significance of a charter school conducting --19 implemented in the way of curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development has been 20 20 let's talk about curriculum for a moment, because that 21 improving its student growth in proficiency in math or 21 was one of the areas in which the Board found that the 22 22 reading. school did not demonstrate sufficient progress. 23 23 Significance of a charter school in conducting an We know from the scores, from year to year, that 24 it's not doing that. We know from the discussion of the 24 ongoing evaluation or revision of its curriculum is to keep the curriculum up-to-date and to meet its students 25 exhibits and what those exhibits are shown with regard 25 Page 236 Page 234 1 to the data that during the 2013-14 school year, KDLO is 1 and teachers needs. 2 not demonstrating that it's improving its student growth 2 It was Mr. Sarmento's evaluation that Kin dah 3 3 and proficiency. Lichi'i Olta' failed to document or evidence that it was 4 At the end of the day, we see that what they have 4 conducting an ongoing evaluation or revision of their 5 put in place for this year for their curriculum and 5 implemented curriculum. 6 6 their assessment, instruction, and professional The testimony was that they implemented new 7 7 curriculum at the beginning of the school year, but development is not working. 8 8 Student growth in proficiency at the school there was no testimony regarding the ongoing evaluation 9 continued to fall below the State average growth in 9 or revision of the curriculum that had been 10 10 proficiency. implemented. The data graphs show that students continue to fall 11 11 Student assessment serves as a periodic check of 12 far below the NWEA. 12 how well students are measured in standards. 13 13 Assessments also form instruction, identifies areas In the individual Prentice Hall assessments for 14 proficiency, the majority of their students remain at 14 where students are weak or advanced in the standards 15 basic or nearing proficient. 15 that have already been taught. 16 That's not proficient. Moving a student from basic 16 It also tells you whether your teachers' 17 to nearing proficient is not at proficient. 17 instruction is effective. Are the students mastering 18 18 the concepts on which you're testing? Students that are not at proficiency level are not 19 going to pass the AIMS, they're not going to allow the 19 Kin dah Lichi'i Olta' demonstrated that it was 20 20 using Prentice Hall and NWEA assessments. school to show increase in growth and proficiency. 21 They're currently an F school. 21 It said in its DSP document that it reviewed 22 The testimony shows there is a long-term systemic 22 assessment data, but it was unable and continued to 23 failure to provide adequate educational opportunities 23 remain unable to demonstrate an analysis of the 24 24 assessment data. What did we do with the results? for its students. 25 25 This wasn't a surprise. The F wasn't a surprise. It goes back to that why question. What particular

Page 239 Page 237 1 The school in a Navajo village is a focal point. 1 Schools have to receive a third-year D before they move 2 People build their lives around it, depend on it, means 2 to an F. 3 continuity, stability, and meaning. 3 The school should have been moving before the F to 4 Perhaps it's not part of the equation, it's not 4 begin to examine their systems and to put in place 5 systems that were going to improve their students part of being accountable, but how accountable is it 5 6 6 when we take it away from their community after it's academic performance. 7 been there for over a hundred years? 7 They failed to do that, and their charter to 8 8 The progress, how badly we fool ourselves when we operate the school should be revoked. 9 think we have precisely developed what it means by 9 Thank you. 10 graphs and ratios and so forth. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. I know it's politically popular. We can all rest 11 11 Mr. Tucker. 12 well that people are being held accountable, we have a 12 MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 great society, which then gets replaced by universal 13 Your Honor, Kin dah Lichi'i is not trying to 14 proficiency, which is now replaced by College and Career 14 rewrite history. We can't go back and change history. 15 Ready, and who knows what's next. 15 We failed, KDLO failed. 16 But KDLO did show you progress. We didn't quibble 16 And we sat here today, and we took our lumps 17 about whether we're proficient or not. We know we're 17 because we failed, and we're not making excuses, but we 18 not, according to that standard, but we know we've made 18 also told you how we're progressing. 19 But discussions of history have very limited progress. How sad that that progress will stop. 19 20 20 value. If you're always looking backwards, you will not It's always unfortunate when we end up punishing progress forward, and it's very unfortunate. 21 the correctors, and so often that happens. 21 22 KDLO wants 18 more months, 18 more months to show 22 I think the problem was evident in the courtroom 23 we're not investing in bells and whistles, we're serious 23 what some people are diligently researching, learning 24 about this. 24 and implementing and trying their hardest to apply and 25 In my opinion, that's exactly what this academic 25 buy, and other people call it bells and whistles. Page 238 Page 240 performance framework and guidance is telling us when it 1 1 That's unfortunate because it speaks of a lack of 2 2 understanding, which means the problem will never really says this is just a flag folks, a flag that says 3 be solved. 3 something's wrong. 4 When the flag went up in Kinlichee, Board members 4 We can deal with people speaking different 5 language. Mr. Sarmento and Ms. Rowe speak a language 5 reacted and brought back an old principal -- excuse me, 6 not old, former. 6 that Ms. James and Ms. Serapiglia don't speak. 7 7 It made changes and showed you progress. They Ms. James probably will never understand exactly 8 didn't show you a miracle. That's unrealistic. But 8 what Mr. Sarmento wants in data any more than 9 9 Mr. Sarmento will probably never understand some of the they did show you progress. 10 other experiential keys to education and how important 10 When this document says that's a flag and now, 11 before we impose consequences, we have to think about 11 they are. 12 all things, we have to consider all things. 12 The worlds are different. But I don't know why one 13 I think, when you do consider all things, you would 13 world has to lose, and one world has to be assimilated 14 give KDLO 18 months. Give them an opportunity. 14 and not have the opportunity to teach the way it wants 15 If we fail again, there will be no excuse, there 15 to because it believes in wisdom, perhaps, instead of 16 will be no other alternative. But we are asking for one 16 knowledge, or numbers instead of data. 17 But it's not really about Ms. James or 17 now. 18 Mr. Sarmento. I think they're both probably wonderful 18 Thank you, Your Honor. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 19 professional educators. 20 I'm going to keep the record in this matter opened 20 But it is sad when our laws and regulations can't 21 for the limited purpose of receiving the court 21 be applied to accommodate difference. 22 reporter's transcript. 22 I think the revocation process should include a 23 23 loss component. I think if our laws really were When the Office of Administrative Hearings receives 24 extended from all of our boundaries, we would understand 24 the transcript, I will then issue an order closing the 25 record. 25 it should have a loss component.

1 2	Page 241 After I issue that order, I will then have 20 days		Page 243
ı		1	STATE OF ADIZONA A
1 4	·	1 2	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss.
3	to issue an Administrative Law Judge decision. That	3	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
	decision will then be transmitted to the Board within	4	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing hearing was taken
4	the 20 days.	5	before me, DEBORAH J. BOYETTE, Registered Professional
5	Upon receipt of my Administrative Law Judge	6	Reporter, Certificate No. 50507, State of Arizona; that the
6	decision, the Board has a statutory period upon which to	7	witnesses before testifying were duly sworn to testify to the
7	take action.	8	whole truth; that the questions propounded to the witnesses
8	If the Board timely takes action, each of the	9	and answers of the witnesses thereto were taken down by me in
9	parties will receive a written order from the Board.	10	shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
10	If the Board were to not timely take action,	11	direction; that the foregoing 242 pages constitute a true and
11	statute provides that the director of the Office of	12	accurate transcript of all proceedings had upon the taking of
12	Administrative Hearings would certify my decision is the	13	said hearing, all done to the best of my skill and ability.
13	effective decision in the case.	14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to
14	If that should occur, each of the parties will	15	any of the parties hereto; nor am I in any way interested in
15	receive that director's written order.	16	the outcome thereof.
16	Under either scenario, there will be a timely	17	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 26th day of March,
17	effective order. When there is, each of the parties	18	2014.
18	will have further administrative and judicial appeal	19	
19	rights.	20	D.L. al I Barrell BBB
20	When the time frame for those have expired, then	21	Deborah J. Boyette, RPR
21	the case is final.	21	Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50507
22	At the conclusion of our hearings, we invite	22	Certificate No. 5050/
23	participants to complete an evaluation form for the	22	23
24	Office of Administrative Hearings.	24	25
25	There should be blank evaluation forms on your	25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	desk. If there aren't enough, you can obtain more evaluation forms at the reception desk. If you'd like to complete one, you may do so before you leave the office this afternoon. You may place any completed evaluation form in the black box located on the floor in the hallway outside the hearing room. You cannot take them who home, fill them out, and mail them in. A completion or noncompletion of the evaluation form as no impact on the case that I just heard. If there is nothing further, we will be in recess until the transcript is filed with the Office of Administrative Hearings. Thank you. (Hearing was concluded at 4:36 p.m.)		