

Arizona State Board for Charter Schools

June 9, 2014

1616 West Adams Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Suite 170

SUMMARY

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Members Present- Janna Day- President Did not participate in Items G (9-12) and I Kathy Senseman - Vice President Did not participate in Items G (13) Stacey Morley - Superintendent's Designee Joined during Item G(2) Mark Anderson - Business Member Michael Bailey - Public Member Peter Bezanson - Charter School Operator Tim Eyerman - Charter School Teacher Royce Jenkins - Reservation Resident Member Telephonically Todd Juhl - Public Member Cassandra Larsen - Public Member Jake Logan - Business Member Joined during Item G(4), Did not participate in items G (11-12) and left for the day during item G (14)</p> <p>Meeting began at 8:37 a.m.</p> | <p>Members Absent-</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item A. Pledge of Allegiance</p> <p>Agenda Item B. Moment of Silence</p> <p>Agenda Item C. Roll Call</p> | <p>Bianca Ulibarri called the roll and confirmed a quorum.</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item D. Call to the Public</p> | <p>The following individual(s) addressed the Board. Lynne Adams. Recorded comments are available.</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item E. Consent Agenda</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alhambra Preparatory Academy - Change in entity name, Procurement Laws and USFRCS Exceptions 2. Archway Classical Academy Central Scottsdale - Change in entity name, Procurement Laws and USFRCS Exceptions 3. Archway Classical Academy Mesa - Procurement Laws and USFRCS Exceptions 4. Career Development, Incorporated - Change in charter mission 5. CASA Academy - Procurement Laws and USFRCS Exceptions 6. Central Scottsdale Preparatory Academy - Change in entity name, Procurement Laws and USFRCS Exceptions 7. Cornerstone Charter School, Inc. - Change in charter mission 8. Hillcrest Academy, Inc. - Change in charter mission 9. International Charter School of Arizona, Inc. - Change in charter mission | <p align="center">MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: I move to approve consent agenda E. items 1-10. Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion.</p> <p align="center">Motion passed unanimously (Recused: Bezanson)</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>3. Kestrel Schools, Incorporated</p> | <p>grant a renewal contract to Skyline Gila River Schools, LLC. Peter Bezanson seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the charter holder. In this case, the charter holder did not meet the academic performance expectations set forth in the Board’s performance framework but was able to demonstrate sufficient progress toward the Board’s expectations as is reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary. Additionally, the Board has adopted an academic performance framework that allows for additional consideration of the charter holder throughout the next contract period. There is a record of past contractual noncompliance which has been reviewed. With that taken into consideration, as well as having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Kestrel Schools, Incorporated. Stacey Morley seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>4. Highland Free School Fund, Inc.</p> | <p>MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the charter holder. In this case, the charter holder did not meet the academic performance expectations set forth in the Board’s performance framework but was able to demonstrate sufficient progress toward the Board’s expectations. Additionally, the Board has adopted an academic performance framework that allows for additional consideration of the charter holder throughout the next contract period. There is a record of past contractual noncompliance which has been reviewed. With that taken into consideration, as well as having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Highland Free School Fund,</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>5. Santa Cruz Valley Opportunities in Education, Inc.</p> | <p>Inc. Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the charter holder. In this case, the charter holder did not meet the academic performance expectations set forth in the Board’s performance framework but was able to demonstrate sufficient progress toward the Board’s expectations as is reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary. Additionally, the Board has adopted an academic performance framework that allows for additional consideration of the charter holder throughout the next contract period. This is a record of past contractual noncompliance which has been reviewed. The charter holder is currently not in compliance with regard to organizational membership for the reason that it made changes to its organizational membership prior to seeking approval of the Board, but the charter holder has taken steps to remediate the noncompliance and the Board is not precluded from taking disciplinary action if the noncompliance is not corrected. With that taken into consideration, as well as having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Santa Cruz Valley Opportunities in Education. Peter Bezanson seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>6. Telesis Center for Learning, Inc.</p> | <p>MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for Telesis Center for Learning, Inc. on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. The charter holder does, however, operate two schools that have been designated with a</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>7. Painted Desert Demonstration Projects, Inc.</p> | <p>letter grade of C in the current year and an average school (C by definition in statute) has the potential to improve its academic operations with the appropriate systemic changes and additional accountability. The Board, therefore, will grant a renewal contract to Telesis Center for Learning, Inc. for the continuation of Telesis Preparatory and Telesis Preparatory Academy if the charter holder agrees to both (1) amend its current charter contract to include appropriate systematic changes and additional accountability including the creation of a plan to make systematic changes that will align with the evaluation criteria for a demonstration of sufficient progress and agrees to the immediate implementation of the changes to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment; and (2) include in its renewal contract a provision for implementation of that plan to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment. The amendment to its current contract and the execution of the renewal contract must be completed within 45 days of today's date or it is the Board's decision that Telesis Center for Learning, Inc.'s request for renewal of its charter is denied for the reasons already specified.</p> <p>Todd Juhl seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (9-2) (No: Bezanson, Eyerman)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract to Painted Desert Demonstration Projects, Inc. on the bases that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary and does not currently operate any schools that have received an overall rating of "Meets" or "Exceeds" the Board's academic standards in the most recent fiscal year for which there is State assessment data available. If upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the 2013 Dashboard (DNM to Meets) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether</p> |
|---|---|

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>8. Children's Success Academy, Inc.</p> | <p>conditions exist to grant a renewal. Mark Anderson seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract to Children’s Success Academy, Inc. on the bases that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary and does not currently operate any schools that have received an overall rating of “Meets” or “Exceeds” the Board’s academic standards in the most recent fiscal year for which there is State assessment data available. If upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the 2013 Dashboard (Falls Far Below to Does Not Meets) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether conditions exist to grant a renewal. Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>9. The Shelby School</p> | <p>MOTION</p> <p>Mark Anderson made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract to The Shelby School on the bases that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary and does not currently operate any schools that have received an overall rating of “Meets” or “Exceeds” the Board’s academic standards in the most recent fiscal year for which there is State assessment data available. If upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the</p> |

10. PACE Preparatory Academy

2013 Dashboard (DNM to Meets) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether conditions exist to grant a renewal.

Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion.

Motion passed unanimously

MOTION

Mark Anderson made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for PACE Preparatory Academy on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. This charter holder does, however, operate one school that has been designated with a letter grade of C or better in the current year and an average school (C by definition in statute) has the potential to improve its academic operations with the appropriate systematic changes and additional accountability. The Board, therefore, will grant a renewal contract to PACE Preparatory Academy for the continuation of PACE Preparatory in Camp Verde if the charter holder agrees to both (1) amend its current charter contract to include appropriate systematic changes and additional accountability including the creation of a plan to make systematic changes that will align with the evaluation criteria for a demonstration of sufficient progress and agrees to the immediate implementation of the changes to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment; and (2) include in its renewal contract a provision for implementation of that plan to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment. The Board's grant of a renewal contract under these conditions will not, however, include the school in Prescott Valley that does not currently have an Overall Rating of Meets or Exceeds Standard or a letter grade of C or better. The amendment to its current contract and the execution of the renewal contract must be completed within 45 days of today's date or it is the Board's decision that PACE Preparatory Academy request for renewal of its charter is denied for the reasons already specified. If the renewal contract is executed, and upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>11. Ira H. Hayes Memorial Applied Learning Center</p> <p>Board took break from 12:08 p.m. to 12:31 p.m.</p> <p>12. Milestones Charter School</p> | <p>charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the 2013 Dashboard (DNM to Meets) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better for the Prescott Valley school, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether conditions exist to include the Prescott Valley School in the renewal contract.</p> <p>Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Mark Anderson made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract to Ira H. Hayes Memorial Applied Learning Center on the bases that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary and does not currently operate any schools that have received an overall rating of “Meets” or “Exceeds” the Board’s academic standards in the most recent fiscal year for which there is State assessment data available. If upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the 2013 Dashboard (FFB to DNM) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether conditions exist to grant a renewal.</p> <p>Peter Bezanson seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Mark Anderson made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for Milestones Charter School on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Items taken out of order</p> | <p>Summary. The charter holder does, however, operate a school that has been designated with a letter grade of C in the current year and an average school (C by definition in statute) has the potential to improve its academic operations with the appropriate systematic changes and additional accountability. The Board, therefore, will grant a renewal contract to Milestones Charter School for the continuation of Milestones Charter School if the charter holder agrees to both (1) amend its current charter contract to include appropriate systematic changes and additional accountability including the creation of a plan to make systemic changes that will align with the evaluation criteria for a demonstration of sufficient progress and agrees to the immediate implementation of the changes to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment; and (2) include in its renewal contract a provision for implementation of that plan to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment. The amendment to its current contract and the execution of the renewal contract must be completed within 45 days of today's date or it is the Board's decision that Milestone Charter School's request for renewal of its charter is denied for the reasons already specified.</p> <p>Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item J. Superintendent's Report</p> | <p>Recorded comments are available.</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item I. Charter Replication Application – Horizon Community Learning Center, Inc. for the operation of Horizon Honors Elementary School.</p> | <p>MOTION</p> <p>Mark Anderson made the following motion: I move, based on the information contained in the Board materials and presented today, that the Board approve the replication application and grant the replication charter to Horizon Community Learning Center, Inc. to establish Horizon Honors Elementary School charter school to serve grades K-6.</p> <p>Peter Bezanson seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item F. Kin Dah Lichii Olta</p> | <p>MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: I move to take action to allow the Administrative Law Judge decision to be certified and upon certification and order a rehearing for the consideration of the new evidence related to the Board's exhibit 3.</p> <p>Stacey Morley seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion passed through majority vote (10-1) (No: Bailey)</p> |

Agenda Item G. Charter Renewal (Continued)

13. Excalibur Charter School, Inc.

MOTION

Mark Anderson made the following motion: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, the Board has sufficient basis to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for Excalibur Charter School, Inc. on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. All that taken into consideration, the charter holder operates one school for which the charter holder was able to demonstrate the school is making sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. Therefore, the Board will grant a renewal contract to Excalibur Charter School, Inc. for the continuation of Avalon Elementary. The Board's grant of a renewal contract will not, however, include the school that was not able to demonstrate it is making sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework which is Excalibur Charter School. If the renewal contract is executed, and upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the 2013 Dashboard (DNM to Meets) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better for that high school, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether conditions exist to include the high school in the renewal contract.

Peter Bezanson seconded the motion.

Motion passed unanimously

14. E-Institute Charter School, Inc.

MOTION

Stacey Morley made the following motion: Knowing that we have to do it based on our framework, I move that because the charter school has shown that as long as they are able to continue to demonstrate that they are now aligning all instruction with the current curriculum with the Brick and Mortar charter school and the AOI school, which before was not aligned together. Also, that they are going to continue integrate the instruction and the alignment between the students that are attending either school and continue to provide continuous improvement to those

students who are permanently enrolled during the year there. Even though they did not meet the academic performance framework and the expectations set forth in the Board's performance framework, I believe that that shows they are able to demonstrate sufficient progress toward the Board's expectations especially when demonstrating the majority of students were concurrently enrolled in both the AOI and Brick and Mortar school. The performance of those concurrently enrolled students show up in the school's whose performance did meet the Board's framework. There is a record of past contractual noncompliance which has been reviewed. With that taken into consideration, as well as having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to E-Institute Charter School, Inc.

Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion.

Motion failed (4-7)

(No: Senseman, Bailey, Bezanson, Eyerman, Jenkins, Logan, Day)

MOTION

Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, the Board has sufficient basis to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a charter renewal contract for E-Institute Charter School, Inc. on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. All that taken into consideration, the charter holder operates 6 schools that have an Overall Rating of Meets Standard and the Board has adopted an academic performance framework that allows for additional consideration of the charter holder throughout the next contract period. Therefore, the Board will grant a renewal contract to E-Institute Charter School, Incorporated for the continuation of those schools: E-Institute at Union Hills, E-Institute at Surprise, E-Institute at Metro, E-Institute at Grovers, E-Institute at Buckeye, and E-Institute at Avondale. The Board's grant of a renewal contract will additionally

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| | <p>include the school that does not currently have an Overall Rating of Meets or Exceeds Standard which is: Taylion Virtual High School of Arizona if the charter holder agrees to both (1) amend its current charter contract to include appropriate systemic changes and additional accountability including the creation of a plan to make systemic changes that will align with the evaluation criteria for a demonstration of sufficient progress and agrees to the immediate implementation of the changes to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment; and (2) include in its renewal contract a provision for implementation of that plan to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment. The amendment to its current contract and the execution of the renewal contract must be completed within 45 days of today's date or it is the Board's decision that E-Institute Charter School, Incorporated request for the inclusion of the Taylion Virtual High School's charter is denied for the reasons already specified. Kathy Senseman seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (8-2) (No: Eyerman, Juhl)</p> |
| <p>15. Calibre Academy, Inc.</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, the Board has sufficient basis to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for Calibre Academy, Inc. on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. All that taken into consideration, the charter holder operates two schools that have a current Overall Rating of Meets Standard. Therefore, the Board will grant a renewal contract to Calibre Academy, Inc. for the continuation of Calibre Academy of Surprise and Calibre Academy of Glendale. The Board's grant of a renewal contract will not, however, include the school that does not have an Overall Rating of Meets or Exceeds Standard which is Taylion Virtual Academy of Arizona. Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>16. Career Success Schools</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Kathy Senseman made the following motion: Having</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for Career Success Schools on the grounds that the charter holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the academic performance expectations set forth in the performance framework as stated in the Renewal Executive Summary. The charter holder does, however, operate one or more schools that have a current Overall Rating of Meets Standard or have been designated with a letter grade of C or better in the current year and an average school (C by definition in statute) has the potential to improve its academic operations with the appropriate systemic changes and additional accountability. The Board, therefore, will grant a renewal contract to Career Success Schools for the continuation of Career Success Jr./Sr. High School – North Phoenix, Career Success School – Sage Campus, and Career Success High School – Glendale without condition. For Career Success High School – Main Campus if the charter holder agrees to both (1) amend its current charter contract to include appropriate systemic changes and additional accountability including the creation of a plan to make systemic changes that will align with the evaluation criteria for a demonstration of sufficient progress and agrees to the immediate implementation of the changes to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment; and (2) include in its renewal contract a provision for implementation of that plan to be reported periodically and supported with evidence and data from a valid and reliable assessment. The amendment to its current contract and the execution of the renewal contract must be completed within 45 days of today’s date or it is the Board’s decision that Career Success Schools’ request for renewal of its charter is denied for the reasons already specified. The Board’s grant of a renewal contract under these conditions will not, however, include the school that does not currently have an Overall Rating of Meets or Exceeds Standard or a letter grade of C or better which is: Career Success High School – Robert L. Duffy. If upon release of the 2014 Dashboard, the charter school receives an Overall Rating that improves by at least one category as compared to the 2013 Dashboard (DNM to Meet) and is assigned a letter grade of C or better for that high school, the charter holder may, within 30 days, request the Board review the Dashboard to consider whether</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>17. Little Lamb Community School</p> | <p>conditions exist to grant a renewal.</p> <p>Stacey Morley seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Mark Anderson made the following motion: Renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the charter holder. In this case, the charter holder meets the academic performance expectations set forth in the Board’s performance framework. There is a record of past contractual noncompliance which has been reviewed. With that taken into consideration as well as all information provided to the Board for consideration of this renewal application package and during its discussion with representatives of the charter holder, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Little Lamb Community School.</p> <p>Tim Eyerman seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item H. Transfer Application Package- Legacy Traditional Charter School’s Queen Creek site</p> | <p>MOTION</p> <p>Mark Anderson made the following motion: I move to approve the request to transfer Legacy Traditional School – Queen Creek from the current charter held by Legacy Traditional Charter School to a new charter held by Legacy Traditional Charter School.</p> <p>Cassandra Larsen seconded the motion. Motion passed through majority vote (9-1) (No: Morley)</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item K. Executive Director’s Report</p> | <p>No update provided.</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Stacey Morley made the following motion: I move that we authorize the executive director and staff to create the amendments and execute the amendments on the charter contracts that we voted on today for the renewals in order to incorporate all the conditions that we voted on and approved today.</p> <p>Kathy Senseman seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item L. Summary of Current Events, Future Meeting Dates and Items for Future Agendas</p> | <p>Recorded comments are available.</p> |
| <p>Agenda Item M. Adjournment The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:53 p.m.</p> | |