Deanna Rowe

From: Reed Gaddie <rgad@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Deanna Rowe
Subject: update and replacement

Dear Ms.
Rowe, Ja
nuary 17, 2013

Please replace the email dated January 14, 2013 with this email dated January 17, 2013 due to my oversight of being not proofread.

We recently received a letter below dated the 11th of January 2013, and an attached rubric which refers to a list of revisions the Board staff indicated they had received. To our surprise, however, it alleged that we did not submit revisions on four issues which the Board had previously indicated they had concerns with.

According to our computer log, those missing revisions were in fact uploaded to the Board's website online on the 18th of December according to our records and despite every careful effort was taken to track a fault on our part, we have not determined the reason the Board did not receive them.

Due to the concern we have at hand, we humbly request that you please have the staff re-review the revisions we submitted on December 18,2012 once again that we uploaded.

The reason we request this of you is the missing information would have met the concerns of the Board staff and our application would have actually achieved above 95% substantively complete.

Despite this issue exists, we believe our experience and success greatly outweigh any negative issues which may be placed before the Board.
One example of our experience and success is we have been operating an "excelling" and "A" rated charter school (Happy Valley School) which is one of the highest academic achieving schools in the State of Arizona for over 12 years with no late ADM reports, financial audit reports, or other reporting and we have been in compliance with all local, state and federal laws, rules, and regulations during those years over 12 years had we never had any missing information or data files.

Another issue worthy of mention is that we have accomplished our success using approximately $1500 less funding per student while providing free bussing services which proves we are not only capable of operating a charter school successfully, but efficiently as well.

We would like to take this opportunity to express our compliments to you and the Board staff for the courteous services and professionalism which was provided to us throughout the application process.

Please accept our humble gratitude for addressing our concerns in advance Ms. Rowe.

Respectfully yours,
Reed Gaddie
John Hancock Academy

From: Delite Gaddie [mailto:delitegaddie@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, January 11, 2013 4:39 PM
To: 'Reed Gaddie'
Subject: FW: Application Substantively Incomplete

_____

From: Steve Sarmento [mailto:Steve.Sarmento@asbcs.az.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2013 3:30 PM
To: rgad@cox.net; delitegaddie@gmail.com
Cc: Hugh Thompson
Subject: Application Substantively Incomplete

Arizona State Board for Charter Schools

Physical Address:	Mailing Address:
1616 West Adams Street, Ste. 170	P.O. Box 18328
Phoenix, AZ 85007	Phoenix, AZ 85009
(602) 364-3080	

January 11, 2013

John Hancock Academy, Inc.
Delite and Reed Gaddie, Authorized Representatives
833 E. 6th Ave
Mesa, AZ 85204

Dear Delite and Reed Gaddie:

The review of your revised charter school application by the Technical Review Panel is complete. Upon review of the completed scoring rubric, it has been determined that one or more of the following criteria was not met:

- ☐ No evaluation area received a Falls Below the Expectation;
- ☐ No more than one evaluation area in each section was scored as Approaching;
- ☐ 95% of all evaluated areas scored at the Meets level;
- ☐ All necessary information was provided for completing a Background, Fingerprint, and Credit Check report;
- ☐ An interview with the applicant demonstrated sufficient qualifications to operate a charter school.

A copy of the revised application scoring rubric has been included with this letter.

Because you submitted a request on January 9, 2013 that the revised application package for John Hancock Academy, Inc. move forward for Board consideration regardless of the results of the review of the revised application package, your application will be forwarded to the Board for consideration at its February 11th meeting. Please make a note of the following:

Meeting of the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools
1616 West Adams Street, Ste. 170
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Monday, February 11, 2013

Regular Session
1:00 P.M.

The portfolio for Board consideration will be available on the ASBCS website (http://asbcs.az.gov/) as a link on the posted agenda. Materials will be linked to the agenda as they are completed and may not be available at the time of the initial posting.

At the Board meeting, members will consider the qualifications of the applicant and the quality of the application in the portfolio. You will be asked to provide a brief 1-2 minute introduction of your application and members of your team (if any). You should be prepared to answer questions regarding the content of your application and the qualifications of the principals of the entity. It is suggested that you have a copy of the application and the completed scoring rubric at the meeting for reference, as necessary. You should not plan to distribute any additional information to the Board.

When the Board feels it has sufficient information, a motion will be made regarding your application. You will know the outcome of the Board's consideration while at the podium.

The meeting is scheduled to begin at 1:00 p.m. Because the Board may choose to alter the order of agenda items, staff cannot provide you with a specific time of consideration before the Board meeting. Because of the number of items on the agenda, seating may be limited. It is recommended that you plan to be available for the entire meeting time, although you are free to leave once your application has been considered.

If you have further questions about the application process, please contact me at (602) 364-3087 or hugh.thompson@asbcs.az.gov.

Sincerely,
Hugh Thompson
Hugh Thompson
Education Program Specialist