Demonstration of Sufficient Progress

DSP Evaluation

Charter Holder Name: Compass High School, Inc.
School (s): Compass High School
Date Submitted: November 17, 2014
Site Visit Date: December 9, 2014
Purpose of Demonstration of Sufficient Progress:

- ☐ Annual Monitoring
- ☐ Interval Review
- ☐ Renewal
- ☒ Failing School
- ☐ Expansion Request

Academic Dashboard Year:

- ☒ FY2013
- ☒ FY2014

Evaluation Overview:

The following serves as an evaluation of the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress process and includes:

- An overall rating for each area of Curriculum, Monitoring Instruction, Professional Development, Assessment, Data, Graduation Rate (if applicable), and Academic Persistence (if applicable).
    - Whether questions were sufficiently answered at the site visit
    - Whether documents provided by the Charter Holder serve as sufficient evidence of implementation of described processes

Area I: Data

School Name: Compass High School

Data for All Applicable Measures and Subgroups						
1. What year-over-year comparative data demonstrates improved academic performance? Describe and provide data for each measure that does not meet the Board's standards in the relevant Academic Dashboards. Clearly label all data to demonstrate which measure(s) it addresses.						
Measure	**No Data Required**	**Data Required**	**Comparative Data Provided**	**Insufficient Comparative Data Provided**	**Data Does Demonstrate Improvement**	**Data Does Not Demonstrate Improvement**
1a. Student Median Growth Percentile (SGP) – Math	☐	☒	☐	☒	☐	☒
1a. Student Median Growth Percentile (SGP) – Reading	☐	☒	☐	☒	☐	☒
2a. Percent Passing – Math	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
2a. Percent Passing – Reading	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
2b. Subgroup, ELL – Math	☒	☐				
2b. Subgroup, ELL – Reading	☒	☐				
2b. Subgroup, FRL – Math	☒	☐				
2b. Subgroup, FRL – Reading	☒	☐				
2b. Subgroup, students with disabilities – Math	☐	☒	☐	☒	☐	☒
2b. Subgroup, students with disabilities – Reading	☒	☐				
4a. High School Graduation Rate	☐	☒	☐	☒	☐	☒
Valid and Reliable Data						
2. How does the Charter Holder know that the data provided above is valid and reliable?						
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No						
Conclusions Drawn From Data						
3. What analysis has the Charter Holder conducted for each measure that does not meet the Board's academic performance expectations? What are the results from the analysis?						
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No						

DATA OVERALL RATING		
Evaluation of DSP Report		
Meets	**Does Not Meet**	**Falls Far Below**
☐	☒	☐

The area of Data is evaluated as Does Not Meet. The Charter Holder has, for each required measure, provided data and analysis generated from valid and reliable assessment sources that demonstrates comparative *improvement* year-over-year for at least the two most recent school years for some required measures.

Data provided demonstrates improved academic performance for the following measures:

2a. Percent Passing – Math: data demonstrated fewer students began the school year below a 6th grade equivalent for Math than in the prior year.

2a. Percent Passing – Reading: data demonstrated fewer students began the school year below a 6th grade equivalent for Math than in the prior year.

The Charter Holder failed to provide data and analysis generated from valid and reliable assessment sources for all other measures.

Data provided does not demonstrate improved academic outcomes for the following required measures:

1a. Student Median Growth Percentile (SGP) – Math: No comparative data was provided

1a. Student Median Growth Percentile (SGP) – Reading: No comparative data was provided

2b. Subgroup, students with disabilities – Math: No comparative data was provided

4a. High School Graduation Rate: No comparative data was provided.

Area II: Curriculum

Evaluating Curriculum

1. What is the Charter Holder's process for evaluating curriculum? How does the Charter Holder evaluate how effectively the curriculum enables students to meet the standards?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

2. How does the Charter Holder identify gaps in the curriculum?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Adopting/Revising Curriculum

3. What is the Charter Holder's process for adopting or revising curriculum based on its evaluation processes?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

4. Who is involved in the process for adopting or revising curriculum?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

5. When adopting curriculum, how does the Charter Holder evaluate curriculum options to determine which curriculum to adopt?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Implementing Curriculum

6. What is the Charter Holder's process for ensuring consistent implementation of the curriculum across the school(s) operated by the Charter Holder?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

7. What tools exist that identify what must be taught and when it must be delivered? How does the Charter Holder ensure that all grade-level standards are covered within the academic year?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

8. What is the expectation for consistent use of these tools? How are these expectations communicated?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

9. What evidence is there to demonstrate usage of these tools in the classroom and alignment with instruction?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Alignment of Curriculum

10. How does the Charter Holder know the curriculum is aligned to standards?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Adapted to Meet the Needs of Subgroups

11. How has the Charter Holder ensured that the curriculum addresses the needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

☐ Not applicable

12. How has the Charter Holder ensured that the curriculum addresses the needs of English Language Learners (ELLs)?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

☐ Not applicable

13. How has the Charter Holder ensured that the curriculum addresses the needs of Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) students?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.
☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.
☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
☐ Not applicable
14. How has the Charter Holder ensured that the curriculum addresses the needs of students with disabilities?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.
☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.
☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
☐ Not applicable

CURRICULUM OVERALL RATING		
DSP Report Evaluation		
Meets ☐	Does Not Meet ☒	Falls Far Below ☐

The area of Curriculum is evaluated as Does Not Meet. As demonstrated by the evidence provided at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder has consistently implemented a limited curriculum approach. At the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder sufficiently demonstrated the following components of these required elements:

- evaluating curriculum, because the Charter Holder provided sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What is the Charter Holder's process for evaluating curriculum? How does the Charter Holder evaluate how effectively the curriculum enables students to meet the standards?*
- addressing the curriculum needs of relevant subgroup populations, because the Charter Holder provided sufficient evidence to address*:*
  - *How has the Charter Holder ensured that the curriculum addresses the needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?*
  - *How has the Charter Holder ensured that the curriculum addresses the needs of students with disabilities?*
- implementing curriculum, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What is the expectation for consistent use of these tools? How are these expectations communicated?*
- adopting/revising curriculum, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address*:*
  - *What is the Charter Holder's process for adopting or revising curriculum based on its evaluation processes?*
  - *When adopting curriculum, how does the Charter Holder evaluate curriculum options to determine which curriculum to adopt?*

However, at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder failed to sufficiently demonstrate the following components of these required elements:

- adopting/revising curriculum, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address*:*
  - *Who is involved in the process for adopting or revising curriculum?*
  - *What tools exist that identify what must be taught and when it must be delivered? How does the Charter Holder ensure that all grade-level standards are covered within the academic year?*
  - *What evidence is there to demonstrate usage of these tools in the classroom and alignment with instruction?*
- implementing curriculum, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What is the Charter Holder's process for ensuring consistent implementation of the curriculum across the school(s) operated by the Charter Holder?*
- evaluating curriculum, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the Charter Holder identify gaps in the curriculum?*
- ensuring curriculum is aligned with Arizona's College and Career Ready Standards, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the Charter Holder know the curriculum is aligned to standards?*

Area III: Assessment

Assessment System
1. What types of assessments does the Charter Holder use?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
2. What was the process for designing or selecting the assessment system?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
3. How is the assessment system aligned to the curriculum and instructional methodology?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
4. What intervals are used to assess student progress? How does the assessment plan include data collection from multiple assessments, such as formative and summative assessments and common/benchmark assessments?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Analyzing Assessment Data

5. How does the assessment system provide for analysis of assessment data? What intervals are used to analyze assessment data?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

6. How is the analysis used to evaluate instructional and curricular effectiveness?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

7. How is the analysis used to adjust curriculum and instruction in a timely manner? What intervals are used to adjust curriculum and instruction?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Adapted to Meet the Needs of Subgroups

8. How is the assessment system adapted to meet the assessment needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

☐ Not applicable

9. How is the assessment system adapted to meet the assessment needs of English Language Learners (ELLs)?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
10. How is the assessment system adapted to meet the assessment needs of Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) students?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
11. How is the assessment system adapted to meet the assessment needs of students with disabilities?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable

ASSESSMENT OVERALL RATING		
DSP Report Evaluation		
Meets ☐	Does Not Meet ☒	Falls Far Below ☐

The area of Assessment is evaluated as Does Not Meet. As demonstrated by the evidence provided at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder has consistently implemented a limited assessment approach.

At the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder sufficiently demonstrated the following components of these required elements:

- addressing the assessment needs of relevant subgroup populations, because the Charter Holder provided sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How is the assessment system adapted to meet the assessment needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?*
  - *How is the assessment system adapted to meet the assessment needs of students with disabilities?*

However, at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder failed to sufficiently demonstrate the following components of these required elements:

- assessing student performance based on clearly defined performance measures aligned with the curriculum and instructional methodology using data collection from multiple assessments, such as formative and summative assessments, and common/benchmark assessments, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What types of assessments does the Charter Holder use?*
  - *How is the assessment system aligned to the curriculum and instructional methodology?*
  - *What intervals are used to assess student progress? How does the assessment plan include data collection from multiple assessments, such as formative and summative assessments and common/benchmark assessments?*
- analyzing assessment data to evaluate instructional and curricular effectiveness, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the assessment system provide for analysis of assessment data? What intervals are used to analyze assessment data?*
  - *How is the analysis used to evaluate instructional and curricular effectiveness?*
- adjusting curriculum and instruction in a timely manner based on assessment results, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How is the analysis used to adjust curriculum and instruction in a timely manner? What intervals are used to adjust curriculum and instruction?*

Area IV: Monitoring Instruction

Monitoring the Integration of Standards

1. What is the Charter Holder's process for monitoring the integration of standards into classroom instruction? How does the Charter Holder monitor whether or not instructional staff implements an ACCRS-aligned curriculum with fidelity?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

2. How does the Charter Holder monitor the effectiveness of standards-based instruction throughout the year?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Evaluating Instructional Practices

3. What is the Charter Holder's process for evaluating the instructional practices? How does this process evaluate the quality of instruction?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

4. How does this process identify individual strengths, weaknesses, and needs?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Providing Analysis and Feedback to Further Develop Instructional Quality

5. How does the Charter Holder provide feedback on strengths, weaknesses, and learning needs based on the evaluation of instructional practices?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

6. How does this Charter Holder analyze this information? What does the data about quality of instruction tell the Charter Holder? What has the Charter Holder done in response?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Adapted to Meet the Needs of Subgroups

7. How does the Charter Holder monitor instruction to ensure it is meeting the needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

☐ Not applicable

8. How does the Charter Holder monitor instruction to ensure it is meeting the needs of English Language Learners (ELLs)?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
9. How does the Charter Holder monitor instruction to ensure it is meeting the needs of Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) students?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
10. How does the Charter Holder monitor instruction to ensure it is meeting the needs of students with disabilities?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable

MONITORING INSTRUCTION OVERALL RATING		
DSP Report Evaluation		
Meets ☐	Does Not Meet ☒	Falls Far Below ☐

The area of Monitoring Instruction is evaluated as Does Not Meet. As demonstrated by the evidence provided at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder has consistently implemented a limited instructional monitoring approach.

At the DSP site visit the Charter Holder sufficiently demonstrated the following components of these required elements:

- monitoring the integration of Arizona's College and Career Ready Standards into instruction, because the Charter Holder addresses:
- evaluating instructional practices, because the Charter Holder addresses:
  - *What is the Charter Holder's process for evaluating the instructional practices? How does this process evaluate the quality of instruction?*
  - *How does this process identify individual strengths, weaknesses, and needs?*
- evaluating instructional practices targeted to address the needs of relevant subgroup populations, because the Charter Holder addresses:
  - *How does the Charter Holder monitor instruction to ensure it is meeting the needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?*
  - *How does the Charter Holder monitor instruction to ensure it is meeting the needs of students with disabilities?*
- providing analysis and feedback to further develop instructional quality and standards integration, because the Charter Holder addresses:
  - *How does the Charter Holder provide feedback on strengths, weaknesses, and learning needs based on the evaluation of instructional practices?*

However, at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder has failed to sufficiently demonstrate the following components of these required elements:

- monitoring the integration of Arizona's College and Career Ready Standards into instruction, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What is the Charter Holder's process for monitoring the integration of standards into classroom instruction? How does the Charter Holder monitor whether or not instructional staff implements an ACCRS-aligned curriculum with fidelity?*
  - *How does the Charter Holder monitor the effectiveness of standards-based instruction throughout the year?*
- providing analysis and feedback to further develop instructional quality and standards integration, because the Charter Holder did not provide:
  - *How does this Charter Holder analyze this information? What does the data about quality of instruction tell the Charter Holder? What has the Charter Holder done in response?*

Area IV: Professional Development

Professional Development System
1. What is the Charter Holder's professional development plan?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
2. How was the professional development plan developed?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
3. How is the professional development plan aligned with instructional staff learning needs?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
4. How does this plan address areas of high importance?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Supporting High Quality Implementation

5. How does the Charter Holder support high quality implementation of the strategies learned in professional development sessions?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

6. How does the Charter Holder provide the resources that are necessary for high quality implementation?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No

☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Monitoring Implementation

7. How does the Charter Holder monitor the implementation of the strategies learned in professional development sessions?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☒ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

8. How does the Charter Holder monitor and follow-up with instructional staff to support and develop implementation of the strategies learned in professional development?

Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No

☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient.

☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient.

☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

Adapted to Meet the Needs of Subgroups
9. How does the professional development plan ensure that instructional staff receives the type of development required to meet the needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
10. How does the professional development plan ensure that instructional staff receives the type of development required to meet the needs of English Language Learners (ELLs)?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
11. How does the professional development plan ensure that instructional staff receives the type of development required to meet the needs of Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) students?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable
12. How does the professional development plan ensure that instructional staff receives the type of development required to meet the needs of students with disabilities?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes. ☐ Not applicable

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OVERALL RATING		
DSP Report Evaluation		
Meets ☐	Does Not Meet ☐	Falls Far Below ☒

The area of Professional Development is evaluated as Falls Far Below. As demonstrated by the evidence provided at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder has implemented no efforts or fragmented, ad hoc efforts to provide professional development that is aligned with instructional staff learning needs, focuses on areas of high importance, addresses the needs of relevant subgroup populations, and supports high quality implementation; and monitoring follow-up to support and develop implementation of the strategies learned. The efforts lack intentionality and/or prior planning, and are not consistently implemented.

At the DSP site visit the Charter Holder failed to sufficiently demonstrate the following components of these required elements:

- Providing professional development that is aligned with instructional staff learning needs and focuses on areas of high importance, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What is the Charter Holder's professional development plan?*
  - *How was the professional development plan developed?*
  - *How is the professional development plan aligned with instructional staff learning needs?*
  - *How does this plan address areas of high importance?*
- Providing professional development that addresses the needs of relevant subgroup populations, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the professional development plan ensure that instructional staff receives the type of development required to meet the needs of students with proficiency in the bottom 25%/non-proficient students?*
  - *How does the professional development plan ensure that instructional staff receives the type of development required to meet the needs of students with disabilities?*
- supporting high quality implementation of the strategies learned in professional development, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the Charter Holder provide the resources that are necessary for high quality implementation?*
  - *How does the Charter Holder support high quality implementation of the strategies learned in professional development sessions?*
- monitoring and providing follow-up to support and develop implementation of the strategies learned in professional development, because the Charter Holder did not provide:
  - *How does the Charter Holder monitor and follow-up with instructional staff to support and develop implementation of the strategies learned in professional development?*
  - *How does the Charter Holder monitor the implementation of the strategies learned in professional development sessions?*

Area VI: Graduation Rate

Ensuring Students in Grades 9-12 Graduate On Time
1. How does the Charter Holder monitor and follow up on student progress toward completing courses to meet graduation requirements?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
2. How does the Charter Holder identify students that are not successfully progressing through required courses?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
3. How does the Charter Holder provide additional academic supports to remediate academic problems for struggling students?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☒ Yes ☐ No
☒ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☐ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.
4. What data can the Charter Holder provide to demonstrate that these strategies are effective?
Question is Sufficiently Answered: ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Documents presented serve as detailed evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as sufficient. ☐ Documents presented serve as limited evidence of implementation of each of the relevant described processes, and thus are evaluated as insufficient. ☒ The Charter Holder failed to provide relevant documentation that can serve as evidence of implementation of described processes.

GRADUATION RATE OVERALL RATING		
DSP Report Evaluation		
Meets ☐	Does Not Meet ☒	Falls Far Below ☐

The area of Graduation Rate is evaluated as Does Not Meet. As demonstrated by the evidence provided at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder has consistently implemented a limited approach to ensure students in grades 9-12 graduate on time.

At the DSP site visit the Charter Holder sufficiently demonstrated the following components of these required elements:

- individual student plans for academic and career success which are monitored, reviewed and updated annually, because the Charter Holder provided sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the Charter Holder monitor and follow up on student progress toward completing courses to meet graduation requirements?*
  - *How does the Charter Holder identify students that are not successfully progressing through required courses?*
- strategies to address early academic difficulty, because the Charter Holder provided sufficient evidence to address:
  - *How does the Charter Holder provide additional academic supports to remediate academic problems for struggling students?*

However, at the DSP site visit, the Charter Holder failed to sufficiently demonstrate the following components of these required elements:

- strategies to address early academic difficulty, because the Charter Holder did not provide sufficient evidence to address:
  - *What data can the Charter Holder provide to demonstrate that these strategies are effective?*

Evaluation Summary			
Area	**Evaluation of DSP**		
	Meets	**Does Not Meet**	**Falls Far Below**
Data	☐	☐	☐
Curriculum	☐	☒	☐
Assessment	☐	☒	☐
Monitoring Instruction	☐	☒	☐
Professional Development	☐	☐	☒
Graduation Rate	☐	☒	☐