

Arizona State Board for Charter Schools

April 13, 2015

1616 West Adams Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Suite 170

SUMMARY

<p>Members Present- Janna Day - President Diane Douglas – Superintendent Peter Bezanson - Charter School Operator Carol Crockett - Public Member Aracely Espinoza - Charter School Teacher Royce Jenkins - Reservation Resident Member Jake Logan - Business Member (joined during item E) Matthew Mason - Business Member Greta Mayans - Public Member Freddy Mendoza - Public Member</p> <p>Meeting began at 9:07 a.m.</p>	<p>Members Absent- Kathy Senseman - Vice President</p>
<p>Agenda Item A. Pledge of Allegiance</p> <p>Agenda Item B. Moment of Silence</p> <p>Agenda Item C. Roll Call</p>	<p>Bianca Ulibarri called the roll and confirmed a quorum.</p>
<p>Agenda Item D. Introduction of New Members</p>	<p>Recorded comments are available.</p>
<p>Agenda Item E. Call to the Public</p>	<p>The following individual(s) addressed the Board. Glenn Hamer, Lisa Graham Keegan, Todd Juhl, Doug Pike, Eileen Sigmund, Ildi Laczko-Kerr, and Sue Douglas. Recorded comments are available.</p>
<p>Agenda Item F. Arizona Charter Schools Association Update</p>	<p>Recorded comments are available.</p>
<p>Agenda Item G. Superintendent’s Report</p>	<p>Recorded comments are available.</p>
<p>Agenda Item H. Executive Director’s Report</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Status of charters with previous and/or on-going board actions: Founding Fathers Academies, Inc. and International Charter School of Arizona, Inc. 2. 18 month follow up to the Auditor General 13-12 Performance Audit and Sunset Review of the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools 3. Complaint Submissions through ASBCS Online 4. Staffing changes 	<p>Recorded comments are available.</p>
<p>Agenda Item I. Consent Agenda</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consideration to approve charter holder amendment requests for the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Archway Classical Academy Lincoln - Procurement 	<p align="center">MOTION</p> <p>Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move to approve the Consent Agenda item (1) a-j, (2) a-b, and (3) a-b.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Laws & USFRCS Exceptions b. Archway Classical Academy Trivium East - Procurement Laws & USFRCS Exceptions c. EAGLE Arizona - Change in legal status of the Charter Holder d. Innovative Humanities Education Corporation – Increase in instructional days e. Lincoln Preparatory Academy - Procurement Laws & USFRCS Exceptions f. PACE Preparatory Academy – Change in Charter mission g. Pathways KM Charter Schools, Inc. - Adding grade levels h. Pima County Board of Supervisors - Change in entity name i. San Tan Montessori School, Inc. - Adding grade levels j. Tucson Small School Project - Change in entity name <p>2. Consideration to approve the proposed Voluntary Surrender and Termination of the Charter Contract for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Daisy Education Corporation dba Sonoran Science Academy - Ahwatukee b. International Charter School of Arizona, Inc. <p>3. Consideration to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Mohave Accelerated Learning Center b. Daisy Education Corporation dba Sonoran Science Academy 	<p>Jake Logan seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p>
<p>Agenda Item J. Early Renewal Policy</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Jake Logan made the following motion: I move that we accept the recommendations as purposed by staff for agenda item J. Royce Jenkins seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p>
<p>Agenda Item K. Charter Renewal</p> <p>1. The renewal application package for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Center for Creative Education, Inc. b. Desert Rose Academy, Inc. 	<p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Royce Jenkins made the following motion: I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Center for Creative Education, Inc. Jake Logan seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Jake Logan made the following motion: Renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder. In this</p>

<p>c. James Sandoval Preparatory High School</p>	<p>case, the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Renewal Summary, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. The Charter Holder has, however, provided evidence that it has implemented an improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive instructional monitoring system, a comprehensive professional development system, and a system for ensuring students in grades 9-12 graduate on time. Additionally, valid and reliable data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder demonstrates improved academic performance in 8 out of 9 measures. While the Charter Holder's data did not demonstrate improved academic performance in all measures as required by the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress criteria, the Charter Holder has come very close to meeting the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress criteria and the Board has adopted an academic performance framework that allows for additional consideration of the Charter Holder throughout the next contract period. With that taken into consideration, as well as having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Desert Rose Academy, Inc.</p> <p>Peter Bezanson seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed unanimously</p> <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Peter Bezanson made the following motion: Renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the charter holder. In this case, the charter holder did not meet the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Board's Performance Framework but was able to demonstrate sufficient progress towards the Board's expectations. Additionally, the Board has adopted an Academic Performance Framework that allows for additional consideration of the charter holder throughout the next contract period. There is a record of past contractual noncompliance which has been reviewed. With that taken into consideration, as well as having considered the statements of the representatives of the charter holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal</p>
--	---

<p>d. Prescott Valley Charter School</p>	<p>compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the charter holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to James Sandoval Preparatory High School. Royce Jenkins seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Peter Bezanson made the following motion: Having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the contents of the renewal portfolio which includes the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder provided to the Board for consideration of this request for charter renewal, I move to deny the request for charter renewal and to not grant a renewal contract for Prescott Valley Charter School on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Renewal Executive Summary, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Valid and reliable data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance in all measures as required by the Demonstration of Sufficient Progress criteria. The Charter Holder has, however, provided evidence that it has implemented an improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, comprehensive assessment system, comprehensive instructional monitoring system, and comprehensive professional development system. The Board, therefore, will grant a renewal contract to Prescott Valley Charter School for the continuation of Prescott Valley School on the conditions that the Charter Holder agrees to: (1) amend its current charter contract to subject the Charter Holder to specific monitoring and reporting requirements to ensure the consistent and sustained implementation of the improvement plan identified in the DSP evaluation and that these changes result in improved academic performance for FY2016, (2) include in its renewal contract specific monitoring and reporting requirements to ensure the consistent and sustained implementation of the recent systemic changes identified in the DSP evaluation and that these changes result in improved academic performance for FY2017, (3) include in its renewal contract provisions that make operation under the renewal contract contingent the successful fulfillment of all of the amended terms of the current contract regarding the specific monitoring and reporting requirements for</p>
--	--

2. The reconsideration of the renewal application for the following:

Items take out of order

- b. Painted Desert Demonstration Projects, Inc.

FY2016, and (4) include in its renewal contract provisions that require closure of the school and termination of the contract at the end of FY2017 if the Charter Holder does not successfully fulfill all of the specific monitoring and reporting requirements for FY2017. The amendment to its current contract and the execution of the renewal contract must be completed within 60 days of today's date or it is the Board's decision that Prescott Valley Charter School's request for renewal of its charter is denied for the reasons already specified. Or if the Charter Holder resubmits its DSP that includes a recast of the data already submitted, not submission of new data, to the State Board for Charter Schools' staff within the next 15 days and if it is the decision of the State Board for Charter Schools' staff that such a resubmission would have qualified them to get a unconditional renewal, then it's the decision of this Board to grant Prescott Valley Charter Schools an unconditional renewal of its charter. Jake Logan seconded the motion.

Motion passed unanimously

MOTION

Diane Douglas made the following motion: I move that renewal is based on consideration of academic, fiscal and contractual compliance of the charter holder. In this case, the charter holder did not meet the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Board's Performance Framework. However, the Charter Holder demonstrated the capacity and willingness to make improvements by agreeing to renewal contract terms that include provisions requiring heightened monitoring and accountability, submitting a Performance Management Plan that meets the Board's evaluation criteria, and increasing its A-F Letter Grade from a D in FY2013 to a C in FY2014. Additionally, Board has adopted an Academic Performance Framework that allows for additional consideration of the charter holder throughout the next contract period. With that taken into consideration, as well as the information provided to the Board on June 9, 2014 and today for consideration of this renewal application package, and during its discussion with representatives of the charter holder, I move to reverse the previous decision and approve the request for charter renewal and grant a renewal contract to Painted Desert Demonstration Projects, Inc. incorporating the renewal contract terms found in the materials provided. Carol Crockett seconded the motion.

**Motion passed unanimously
(Break: Logan)**

<p>a. Children's Success Academy, Inc.</p>	<p>No Action Taken</p>
<p>Agenda Item L. Academic Performance Reviews</p> <p>1. <u>DSPs Demonstrating Comprehensive Systems</u></p> <p>a. Edkey, Inc. dba Sequoia Charter School</p> <p>b. Edkey, Inc. dba Sequoia School for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing</p> <p>c. Imagine Prep Coolidge, Inc.</p> <p>d. Kaizen Education Foundation dba Summit High School</p> <p>e. Pinnacle Education - Casa Grande, Inc.</p> <p>f. Pinnacle Education - Tempe, Inc.</p> <p>g. Salt River Pima-Maricopa Community Schools</p> <p>2. <u>DSPs Demonstrating Limited Systems</u></p> <p>a. Academy Del Sol, Inc.</p> <p>b. Akimel O'Otham Pee Posh Charter School, Inc. (78966)</p> <p>c. Arizona Community Development Corporation</p> <p>d. GAR, LLC</p> <p>e. Intelli-School, Inc.</p> <p>f. StarShine Academy</p>	<p>MOTION</p> <p>Diane Douglas made the following motion: I motion to table item L, the Performance Reviews.</p> <p>Peter Bezanson seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion not passed through majority vote (9-1) (No: Bezanson, Crockett, Espinoza, Jenkins, Logan, Mason, Mayans, Mendoza, Day)</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Royce Jenkins made the following motion: I move that the board direct staff to continue monitoring these Charter Holders through the Academic Intervention Schedule as set out in the Academic Performance Framework and Guidance document. If the academic performance of the schools operated by these Charter Holders, as reported on the Academic Dashboard, does not improve through the continued implementation of the improvement plans identified in the these FY2015 DSP evaluations, the Board will again review the performance of these Charter Holders and may impose disciplinary action at that time.</p> <p>Peter Bezanson seconded the motion.</p> <p>Motion passed unanimously (Recused: Espinoza)</p> <p>MOTION</p> <p>Jake Logan made the following motion: I move that the board direct staff to implement heightened monitoring of these Charter Holders on agenda item 2 (a-f). Specifically, the Charter Holders identified in this staff report shall 1) submit a revised PMP no later than June 15, 2015, using a template provided by Board staff and 2) submit evidence of the implementation of a sustained improvement plan that includes implementation of a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive instructional monitoring system, and a comprehensive professional development system, and, if required a system for ensuring students in grades 9-12 graduate on time, and a system for keeping students motivated and engaged in school along with data and analysis to demonstrate improvements in academic performance at quarterly intervals (September 15, December 15, March 15, June 15) until the Charter Holder's Academic Dashboards demonstrate improved academic performance or until further consideration of the Charter Holder's academic performance by this Board. If these Charter Holders do not submit acceptable PMPs, do not submit evidence of the implementation of comprehensive systems at the quarterly monitoring, or if the academic performance of the schools operated</p>

Break taken at 1:28 p.m.
Board returned at 1:42 p.m.

3. DSPs Demonstrating Fragmented Systems or No Systems
 - a. Academy of Excellence, Inc.

by these Charter Holders does not improve as reported at quarterly monitoring or through the Academic Dashboard, the Board will again review the performance of these Charter Holders and may impose disciplinary action at that time.
Freddy Mendoza seconded the motion.

Motion passed unanimously

MOTION

Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move for Option 1, which in summary directs staff to work with the Academy of Excellence to create a Consent Agreement. And if the Consent Agreement is not accepted by June 30, 2015, then that leads to a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter.

Royce Jenkins seconded the motion.

Motion passed through majority vote (9-1)

(No: Douglas)

Option 1: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Academy of Excellence, Inc. on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, or a comprehensive professional development system. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Academy of Excellence, Inc. to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a

b. Bradley Academy of Excellence, Inc.

Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:

- Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed;
- Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and
- Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school.

MOTION

Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move for Option 1, same summary as the last.

Aracely Espinoza seconded the motion.

Motion passed through majority vote (9-1)

(No: Douglas)

Option 1: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Bradley Academy of Excellence, Inc. on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, or a comprehensive professional development system. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Bradley Academy of Excellence, Inc. to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template

<p>c. Concordia Charter School</p>	<p>contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed; - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school. <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Jake Logan made the following motion: I move that the Board adopt Option 1 as recommended by staff. Carol Crockett seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (9-1) (No: Douglas)</p> <p><u>Option 1:</u> I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Concordia Charter School on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive</p>
------------------------------------	--

<p>d. Desert Sky Community School, Inc.</p>	<p>assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, or a comprehensive professional development system. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Concordia Charter School to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board’s evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed; - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school. <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Freddy Mendoza made the following motion: I move that we adopt the language for Option 2. Diane Douglas seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion not passed through majority vote (2-7) (No: Bezanson, Crockett, Espinoza, Jenkins, Logan, Mason, Day) (Abstain: Mayans)</p> <p><u>Option 2:</u> I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Desert Sky Community School, Inc. on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework</p>
---	--

	<p>as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, or a comprehensive professional development system. Further, the Charter Holder's delivery of the education program and operations do not reflect the essential terms of the educational program as described in the charter contract. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to implement heightened monitoring of Desert Sky Community School, Inc. Specifically, the Charter Holder shall 1) submit a revised PMP that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015, using a template provided by Board staff and 2) submit evidence of the implementation of a sustained improvement plan that includes implementation of a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive instructional monitoring system, and a comprehensive professional development system, and, if required a system for ensuring students in grades 9-12 graduate on time, and a system for keeping students motivated and engaged in school along with data and analysis to demonstrate changes in academic performance at quarterly intervals (September 15, December 15, March 15, June 15) until the Charter Holder's Academic Dashboards demonstrate improved academic performance or until further consideration of the Charter Holder's academic performance by this Board. If Desert Sky Community School, Inc. does not submit an acceptable PMP, does not submit evidence of the implementation of comprehensive systems at the quarterly monitoring, or if the academic performance of the school operated by the Charter Holder does not improve as reported at quarterly monitoring or through the Academic Dashboard, the Board will again review the performance of this Charter Holder and may impose disciplinary action at that time. The Charter Holder must also submit a program of instruction amendment request to the Board no later than May 15, 2015. This submission must provide sufficient information for the Board to determine whether it is appropriate to approve changes to the essential terms of the educational program as described in the charter contract in order to reflect the delivery of the education program and operations.</p>
--	--

MOTION

Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move Option 1.

Royce Jenkins seconded the motion.

Motion passed through majority vote (9-1)

(No: Douglas)

Option 1: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Desert Sky Community School, Inc. on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, or a comprehensive professional development system. Further, the Charter Holder's delivery of the education program and operations do not reflect the essential terms of the educational program as described in the charter contract. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Desert Sky Community School, Inc. to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. The Charter Holder must also submit a program of instruction amendment request to the Board no later than May 15, 2015. This submission must provide sufficient information for the Board to determine whether it is appropriate to approve changes to the essential terms of the educational program as described in the charter contract in order to

<p>e. Phoenix Advantage Charter School, Inc.</p>	<p>reflect the delivery of the education program and operations. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed; - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school. <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Carol Crockett made the following motion: Motion to accept Option 1.</p> <p>Jake Logan seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (8-1) (No: Douglas) (Recused: Bezanson)</p> <p><u>Option 1:</u> I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Phoenix Advantage Charter School, Inc. on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, or a comprehensive professional development system. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Phoenix Advantage Charter School, Inc. to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template</p>
--	---

<p>f. Tucson International Academy, Inc.</p>	<p>contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed; - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school. <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Royce Jenkins made the following motion: I move that we approve Option 1 as written. Greta Mayans seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (9-1) (No: Douglas)</p> <p><u>Option 1:</u> I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Tucson International Academy, Inc. on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. The Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive</p>
--	---

<p>g. Tucson Preparatory School</p>	<p>assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, a comprehensive professional development system, and a comprehensive system for ensuring students in grades 9-12 graduate on time. Further, the Charter Holder has an egregious record of non-compliance with regard to submission of information required as part of the Academic Intervention schedule.</p> <p>All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Tucson International Academy, Inc. to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. As an additional term, the Charter Holder must agree that upon an additional instance of non-compliance with regard to the submission of information as required by the Academic Intervention Schedule, the Board shall notify the Charter Representative of the non-compliance and the Charter Holder shall submit a surrender agreement to be effective at the end of the fiscal year in which the non-compliance occurred. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed; - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and - Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school. <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move for Option 3.</p> <p>Diane Douglas seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (7-3) (No: Jenkins, Logan, Day)</p>
-------------------------------------	--

	<p><i>Option 3:</i> I move that the board direct staff to continue monitoring Tucson Preparatory School through the Academic Intervention Schedule as set out in the Academic Performance Framework and Guidance document. If the academic performance of the school operated by the Charter Holder, as reported on the Academic Dashboard, does not improve, the Board will again review the performance of this Charter Holder and may impose disciplinary action at that time.</p>
<p>Agenda Item M. Interval Reviews</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Overall Status</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ahwatukee Foothills Prep Early College High School, Inc. b. Anthem Preparatory Academy c. Arizona Agribusiness & Equine Center, Inc. (90779) d. BASIS Schools, Inc. (90508) e. Compass Points International, Inc. f. Daisy Education Corporation dba Sonoran Science Academy Peoria g. Ed Ahead h. El Pueblo Integral - Teaching and Learning Collaborative (87440) i. Leading Edge Academy Maricopa j. Legacy Education Group k. Lifelong Learning Research Institute, Inc. (90754) l. Pillar Charter School m. Rosefield Charter Elementary School, Inc. 2. <u>Compliance Issues</u> - Legacy Education Group 	<p>Informational report to Board. Recorded comments are available.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move Option 1. Carol Crockett seconded the motion.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion passed through majority vote (9-1) (No: Douglas)</p> <p><i>Option 1:</i> The Board may vote to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Charter Holder’s charter contract unless the Charter Holder enters into a Consent Agreement to restore the charter to acceptable performance. Staff recommends the following language provided for consideration: I move that, having considered the statements of the representatives of the Charter Holder today and the academic performance, the fiscal compliance, and legal and contractual compliance of the Charter Holder, the Board has sufficient basis to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter of Legacy Education Group on the grounds that the Charter Holder failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward the Academic Performance Expectations set forth in the Performance Framework as reflected in the Staff Report, the Inventory Documents, and the DSP Final Evaluation. Data and</p>

	<p>analysis provided by the Charter Holder does not demonstrate improved academic performance based on data generated from valid and reliable assessment sources. Additionally, the Charter Holder was unable to provide evidence that it has consistently implemented a sustained improvement plan that includes a comprehensive curriculum system, a comprehensive assessment system, a comprehensive monitoring instruction system, a comprehensive professional development system, and a comprehensive system for ensure students in grades 9-12 graduate on time. Further, the Charter Holder has an egregious record of contractual non-compliance as is reflected in the materials provided. All that taken into consideration, the Board directs staff to work with Legacy Education Group to create a Consent Agreement for the purpose of restoring the charter to acceptable performance using the Consent Agreement Template contained in the portfolio. The terms of the consent agreement to be negotiated include only the terms concerning the data that will be reported to the board and the methodology used to calculate that data. All other terms contained in the template must be accepted. Among other terms, these terms require that the Charter Holder shall complete and submit a Performance Management Plan that Meets the Board's evaluation criteria no later than June 30, 2015. As an additional term, the Charter Holder must agree that upon any additional instance of contractual non-compliance, the Board shall notify the Charter Representative of the non-compliance and the Charter Holder shall submit a surrender agreement to be effective at the end of the fiscal year in which the non-compliance occurred. I further move that if the terms of a Consent Agreement cannot be reached by June 30, 2015 the Board issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter for the reasons previously stated and that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Within 48 hours of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall notify staff and parents/guardians of registered students of the Notice of Intent to Revoke and the Notice of Hearing and provide a school location where the copy may be reviewed;- Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide copies of all correspondence and communications used to comply with the preceding provision; and- Within 20 days of receipt of the Notice the charter operator shall provide the Board with the names and mailing addresses of parents/guardians of all students registered with the school.
--	--

<p>Agenda Item N. Compliance Matters - Harvest Power Community Development Group, Inc.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">MOTION</p> <p>Peter Bezanson made the following motion: I move to find Harvest Power Community Development Group, Incorporated is in noncompliance with state law and its charter contract for its failure to timely submit the annual single audit reporting package and approve withholding 10% of the charter holder’s monthly State aid apportionment until a complete fiscal year 2014 single audit reporting package is submitted. Aracely Espinoza seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously</p>
<p>Agenda Item O. Summary of Current Events, Future Meeting Dates and Items for Future Agendas</p>	<p>Recorded comments are available.</p>
<p>Agenda Item P. Adjournment The meeting adjourned at approximately 5:05 p.m.</p>	